

**FAQs:**

[CAMO \(Continuing Airworthiness Management Organisation\)](#), [Part-M](#), [Continuing Airworthiness](#), [Regulations](#)

**Question:**

**Under which condition can a CAMO or CAO use the indirect approval procedure to amend AMP (Aircraft Maintenance Programme) task(s) under Part-M?**

**Answer:**

The indirect approval procedures may only be used for:

- non-safety-related tasks as described in case 2 of [FAQ n.48248](#) and example 3 of [FAQ n.48249](#)
- de-escalated tasks as described in example 1 of [FAQ n.48249](#)
- additional tasks as described in example 2 of [FAQ n.48249](#)
- editorial issues, typos, etc., (without having an effect on the AMP content)

In such case, as required by M.A.302(c) and M.B.301(c), the CAME (Continuing Airworthiness Management Exposition) or CAE (Combined Airworthiness Exposition) must include, and the competent authority shall approve, a procedure describing as a minimum:

- which AMP amendments are eligible for indirect approval;
- who in the organisation is responsible to issue the indirect approval;
- how the amendments are controlled; and
- how and when the competent authority is informed of an AMP amendment.

Based on M.A.302(c), the indirect approval may only be used when:

- the aircraft is managed by a CAMO/CAO or there is a limited contract between the owner and the CAMO/CAO for the development and approval of the AMP;
- and
- the aircraft managed by the CAMO/CAO is registered in the Member State ensuring the oversight of this CAMO/CAO (unless an agreement exists between the competent authority for the AMP and the competent authority of the CAMO/CAO).

**Remark**

AMPs regulated by Part-ML are not subject to an approval by the competent authority.

**Last updated:**

29/01/2021

**Link:**

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/sv/faq/19061>