

3 November 2023

CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum to Decision 2021/012/R of the Executive Director of the Agency of 14 September 2021 issuing Issue 2 of the Certification Specifications for Operational Suitability Data (OSD) Flight Crew Data 'CS-FCD — Issue 2'

1. On page 6 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, '**GM1 FCD.100 Applicability**' point (7) was corrected in accordance with the Change Information document:

GM1 FCD.100 Applicability

[...]

(7) recent experience **credit** for operations on more than one type of aircraft;

[...]

2. On page 8 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, '**CS FCD.105 Definitions**' point (g) the following text in accordance with the Change Information document was added:

CS FCD.105 Definitions

[...]

(g) Differences Requirement (ODR) means a description of **the** differences regarding the level of training, **and** checking, or currency between a base and a candidate aircraft and their impact on flight characteristics and changes **of procedures, to be used by ATOs for the development of training courses and by operators for the development of ODR tables and training programmes.**

[...]

3. On page 10 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, '**GM1 FCD.105 Definitions**' the definition JAA is deleted in accordance with the Change Information document.

GM1 FCD.105 Definitions

[...]

~~JAA — Joint Aviation Authorities~~

[...]

4. On page 14 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, '**GM1 FCD.200 Determination of a pilot type rating and a variant**', the first paragraph is corrected in accordance with the Change Information document:

GM1 FCD.200 Determination of a pilot type rating and a variant

For aircraft described in CS FCD.200(a)(3)(a)(3) , it may be determined, during the type certification process or based on in-service experience, that the aircraft type requires a pilot type rating for safe operations. The TC applicant/holder is then requested to obtain approval of a minimum syllabus for pilot type rating training by including the OSD FC specifications in the certification basis. This ~~should~~ **be determination is** based on the considerations listed in that subparagraph.

[...]

5. On page 21 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, ‘**CS FCD.415 Difference levels — Training, checking, and currency**’ point (c) is corrected in accordance with the Change Information document:

CS FCD.415 Difference levels — Training, checking, and currency

(c)

[...]

- (3) Level C checking

Level C difference checking requires a partial check using a suitable ~~qualified~~ FSTD. A partial check is conducted relative to particular manoeuvres or systems and equipment.

[...]

6. On page 34 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, ‘**CS FCD.425 ‘Evaluation process and evaluation descriptions’**’ point (a)(5) was inserted and the following points renumbered in accordance with the Change Information document:

CS FCD.425 Evaluation process and evaluation descriptions

[...]

- ~~(34)~~ the applicant proposes which aircraft, variants, simulation devices, or analysis is needed to support the evaluation;
- ~~(45)~~ the aircraft, variants, training aids, FSTDs, or analyses that are needed to support the evaluation are identified;
- ~~(56)~~ the applicant proposes test procedures, schedules, and specific interpretation of the possible results.

- (d) Evaluation purpose and application

The evaluation purpose and application are summarised in the table below:

	EVALUATION PURPOSE	APPLICATION
T1	Establishes functional equivalence	Sets levels A/B
T2	Compares handling qualities	Pass permits T3, and A/B/C/D; failure sets level E and requires T5 and/or, if required, T2 + T3 for commonality credit

T3	Evaluates differences and sets training or checking requirements	Pass sets levels A/B/C/D; failure sets level E and requires T5 or T2+T3 for commonality credit Pass sets levels A/B/C/D; failure sets level E and requires T5 and/or, if required T2 + T3 for commonality credit
T4	Revises currency requirements	
T5	Sets training or checking for new or 'E' aircraft	Sets level E
T6	Evaluates CTLC	Sets recent experience requirements

[...]

7. On page 36 of the Annex to Decision 2021/012/R, 'CS FCD.425 'Evaluation process and evaluation descriptions' point (f) was corrected in accordance with the Change Information document:

CS FCD.425 Evaluation process and evaluation descriptions

[...]

- (f) Evaluation 2 (T2) — Handling qualities comparison

Evaluation purpose: to evaluate handling qualities using specific flight manoeuvres, to determine whether level A, B, C, or D training is appropriate to be validated via a T3 test, when required. At the discretion of EASA, the T2 evaluation may be completed through analysis when it is assessed that the nature of the proposed design changes does not affect the handling qualities of the candidate aircraft.

The test has also the purpose of validating the commonality, in terms of handling qualities, between two different aircraft types, when seeking approval for a reduced type rating training course.

Evaluation subjects: as established by EASA based on a proposal by the applicant.

[...]

8. Throughout the document some editorial corrections are made. All editorial and below corrections are arranged to show ~~deleted text~~ and **new text**.