

INVENTORY OF ANSWERS

to the consultation document on the basic principles and essential requirements for the safety and interoperability regulation of aerodromes

Question 1

The Agency is interested in knowing if stakeholders agree that the establishment of dedicated high level essential requirements at Community level is the best means to set the safety objectives for the safety regulation of aerodromes.

Comment number	From	Nature
0001 0065 0074	CAA Romania CAA Belgium British Helicopter Advisory Board	These stakeholders broadly support the adoption of Essential Requirements (ER) at Community level as the best means to set the safety objectives for the safety regulation of aerodromes.
0081 0107 0142 0172 0189 0198	CAA Spain DFS AEA BAA Aberdeen Airport Gloucestershire Airport BAA Central Airside Operations	Many of them at the same time emphasize certain aspects, which should be taken into account when regulating in this field: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - maximum consistency with ICAO SARPs - proportionate rules for small or non-commercial aerodromes - consistency with the Single European Sky (SES) regulations in CNS/ATM - setting ER on appropriately high level - harmonisation on minimum safety levels across all EU Member States
0217 0235 0265 0509 0564 0582 0611	BAA Heathrow Guernsey Airport Fraport AG CAA Slovak Republic CAA Netherlands CAA Denmark Glasgow Airport Airfield Operations	
0637 0700	Manchester Airport Nottingham East Midlands Airport	
0727 0738	AMS Schiphol Airport Belgian Gliding Federation	
0784 0911 0936 1046 1079 1093 1111 1120 1153 1172	CAA UK Birmingham Airport CAA Greece Irish Aviation Authority Airbus, France CAA Sweden Humberside Airport Dublin Airport Authority ENAC Italy Ministry of Transport Slovenia	
1196 1208 1219 1269	ECA CAA Norway Christian Marek Luton Airport	

1275 1286 1331 2967 2988 2997,3004	FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airports Association IFATCA CAA-Czech Republic ECOGAS EUROCONTROL	
0029 0098 0253 0308 0316 0319 0327 0330 0339 0359 0367 0375 0383 0391 0399 0415 0416 0424 0440 0461 0469 0476 0521 0530 0539 0545 0553 0574 0615 0709 0748 0756 0773 0823 0847 0859 0888 0989 1024 1074	Air League Bickerton's Aerodromes Ltd CAA Iceland Count of Atlas Chris Way Ian L. Craig Wood John H.E. Wells EGU Chris Lear Ian Rhodes Michael Shaw Helicopter Club GB Richard Bailey Helicopter Museum John Allwright Ian Wace Richard Kingston Chris Fox Swiss Aero Club Richard McLachlan Peter Stratten G.P. Glibbery Squirrel Helicopters GAAC David Marks Jason Tebaldi Edward and Susan Vandyk CAA Finland Tony Harrison-Smith Richard Dawson IAOPA Janet Christie Anthony Christie UK Flying Farmers Association Clifford P. Lockyer Roger Hopkinson C.J.H. Richardson AOPA Italy AOPA Norway British Hanggliding and Paragliding Association	These stakeholders support the adoption of ER as appropriate means to set the safety objectives provided that the small aerodromes used for recreational purposes or remote aerodromes would be excluded from the scope of this legal action. For the majority of them the threshold to identify large aerodromes should be set for commercial air transport or operations by aircraft with MTOM more than 10 tons.
1284	Dutch Civil Airports Association	This stakeholder does not see any benefit from the safety regulation, but sees a potential benefit for the internal market.
0011 0125 0448 0681 0875	KLM British International Teuge Airport BMV Germany Schweizer	These stakeholders do not support the development of ER as common safety objectives and would therefore rather continue existing practises with sole national implementation of ICAO SARPs.

0968 0977 1187 1238 1687	Flugplatzverein Avinor AS German Airport Association Finavia Prague Airport Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development	
0040 0295 1003 1139 1229	AOPA UK Aubrey Bristow DGAC France ACI Europe Lyon Airport	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position.

Analysis

The majority of stakeholders agree with the establishment of high level essential requirements at Community level. However, a considerable number of general aviation stakeholders would like to exclude aerodromes used only for recreational purposes. It can be noted that 15 Ministries (or National Aviation Authorities) expressed a favourable opinion, while only one Administration of a Member State is opposing the regulation at European level.

Question 2 on essential requirements

The Agency is interested in knowing whether the attached essential requirements actually meet the criteria developed here above and whether they constitute a good basis for the safety and interoperability regulation of the aerodromes bearing in mind the envisaged scope.

Comment number	From	Nature
0002 0099 0143 0173 0199	CAA Romania Bickerton's Aerodromes AEA BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Operations	These stakeholders can broadly accept the proposed draft ER as a good basis. In addition, many of them also proposed improvements to draft ER. These proposed improvements have been individually analysed in the 'CRD - Essential Requirements + General Comments'.
0218 0236 0317 0484 0565 0575 0583 0785 0914 0937 1024 1080 1094 1112 1154 1188 1220 1239 1276 2968 2998	BAA Heathrow Guernsey Airport Chris Way British Airport Operators Ass. CAA Netherlands CAA Finland CAA Denmark CAA UK Birmingham Airport CAA Greece Irish Aviation Authority Airbus, France CAA Sweden Humberside Airport ENAC Italy Finavia Christian Marek CAA Czech Republic FOCA Switzerland Prague Airport EUROCONTROL	Following more general issues were also raised by stakeholders in this context: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - enforcement of applicable rules - proportionality of rules - need to ensure compatibility with ICAO SARPs - need to explain better flexibility and risk assessment in the proposed framework - competence scheme of ground handling personnel
0030 0301 0309 0320 0360 0368 0392 0400 0417 0425 0433 0462 0477 0522 0546 0554 0616 0638 0749 0757	Air League G.M. Tipler Count of Atlas Ian L. Craig Wood Ian Rhodes Michael Shaw Helicopter Museum John Allwright Richard Kingston Chris Fox Michael Taylor Richard McLachlan G.P. Glibbery Squirrel Helicopters Jason Tebaldi Edward and Susan Vandyk Tony Harrison-Smith Manchester Airport IAOPA Janet Christie	These stakeholders consider that the proposed draft ER are not satisfactory due to their too general nature. Most of the comments also invited the Agency to consider national legislation and experience in this field.

0774 0815 0824 0848 0860 0889 0990 1025	Anthony Christie Michael Jacobsen UK Flying Farmer Association Clifford P. Lockyer Roger Hopkinson C.J.H. Richardson AOPA Italy AOPA Norway	
0912 0108 0127 0266 0682 2988	Birmingham Airport DFS British International Fraport BMV Germany ECOGAS	These stakeholders consider that the proposed draft ER are not satisfactory due to their too detailed nature. Some see the risk of expanding too much the responsibilities of aerodrome operators.
0296 0540 1230 2977	Aubrey Bristow David Marks Lyon Airport Teuge Airport	These stakeholders did not express a clear statement to the question itself, but proposed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - need of clearer definitions - need to exclude small aerodromes used for recreational purposes from the scope of this legal action - improvement of first aid requirements
0331 0348 0441 0470 0712 0876 1688	EGU E.A. Sturmer Swiss Aero Club Peter Stratten John Brady Schweizer Flugplatzverein Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development	These stakeholders would rather continue existing practises with sole national implementation of ICAO SARPs. In most cases this is because they do not regard draft ER as a good basis specifically for their activity, such as gliding or recreational flying.
0969 1146 1259 1289	Avinor AS ACI Europe Luton Airport Dutch Civil Airports Association	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position. Two of them consider that not enough information on the risk analysis and process has been given.

Analysis

The majority of stakeholders can broadly accept the proposed draft ERs as a good basis. Many of them propose improvements to the text. These proposed improvements have been analysed individually and separately in the Annex to the CRD.

On the contrary, a significant minority is of the opinion that the proposed ER are not a good basis either because they are too general or too detailed. A few stakeholders do not regard draft ER as a good basis specifically for their activity.

In any case an overwhelming majority stressed the need for clear definitions and clear scope of the regulation, as well as for proportionate requirements addressed to “small” aerodromes.

Question 2 on rescue and fire fighting

The Agency also welcomes any suggestion to improve these essential requirements, in particular as regards the requirements for rescue and fire fighting services.

Comment number	From	Nature
0012 0173 0199 0218 0266 0331 0449 0470 0712 0728 0969 1094 1146 1188 1276 1287,1288	KLM BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow Fraport EGU Teuge Airport Peter Stratten John Brady AMS Schiphol Airport Avinor AS CAA Sweden ACI Europe Finavia FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airports Association	These stakeholders have commented the issue of Rescue and Fire Fighting Services (RFFS) stressing for a need to follow exactly the line taken by ICAO in this matter.
0030 0099 0143 0190 0301 0320 0360 0368 0392 0400 0417 0433 0462 0477 0554 0575 0616 0638 0757 0774 0848 0860 0889 0915 1064 1112 1155 1230 2976	Air League Bickerton's Aerodromes AEA Gloucestershire Airport G.M. Tipler Ian L. Craig Wood Ian Rhodes Michael Shaw Helicopter Museum John Allwright Richard Kingston Michael Taylor Richard McLachlan G.P. Glibbery Edward and Susan Vandyk CAA Finland Tony Harrison-Smith Manchester Airport Janet Christie Anthony Christie Clifford P. Lockyer Roger Hopkinson C.J.H. Richardson Birmingham Airport British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association Humberside Airport ENAC Italy Lyon Airport Ministry of Transport Slovenia	These stakeholders have commented the issue of RFFS calling for some more flexibility in the level of protection. Some examples mentioned are as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - flexibility for general aviation - flexibility in case of infrequent use - flexibility based on regulatory impact assessment (RIA) - flexibility for all cargo aircraft <p>Many of them also emphasize a need for consistency with relevant operational rules and stress the flexibility needed to designate alternate aerodromes, which in most cases have to be used because of reasons related to weather or passenger safety.</p>

2989	ECOGAS	
1197 1209	ECA CAA Norway	These stakeholders have commented the issue of RFFS stressing for a need to have more stringent rules in the level of protection.
0082 0173 0199 0218 0726 0786+0788	CAA Spain BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow International Fire Training Centre CAA UK	These stakeholders have commented the issue of RFFS emphasizing a need for an appropriate qualification and number of RFFS personnel.

Analysis

The vast majority of stakeholders do not favour more demanding RFFS requirements than ICAO SARPs presently provide for. Many of them indicated a need to have more flexibility to better balance costs and safety needs as well as the necessary consistency with relevant operational rules. A number of stakeholders highlighted a need for an appropriate qualification and number of RFFS personnel.

Question 3

Do stakeholders consider that aerodromes, which are not open for public use, should be subject to Community legislation?

Comment number	From	Nature
0075	British Helicopter Advisory Board	These stakeholders insist that aerodromes, which are not open for public use, should not be subject to Community legislation.
0094	Nigel Minchin	
0100	Bickerton's Aerodromes	In addition, most of them highlight the need for a precise definition of 'aerodrome open for public use'.
0128	British International	
0144	AEA	
0254	CAA Iceland	
0291+0292	Steve Giddings	
0297	Aubrey Bristow	
0302	G.M. Tipler	
0310	Count of Atlas	
0318	Chris Wray	
0321	Ian L. Craig Wood	
0328	John H.E. Wells	
0329	Chris Read	
0332	EGU	
0341	Chris Lear	
0347+0349	E.A. Sturmer	
0361	Ian Rhodes	
0393	Helicopter Museum	
0407+0409	Jonathan Gordon	
0418	Richard Kingston	
0426	Chris Fox	
0432+0434	Michael Taylor	
0442	Swiss Aero Club	
0450	Teuge Airport	
0463	Richard MacLachlan	
0471	Peter Stratten	
0478	G.P. Glibbery	
0510	CAA Slovak Republic	
0523	Squirrel Helicopters	
0541	David Marks	
0555	Edward and Susan Vandyk	
0584	CAA Denmark	
0591	Pete Croney	
0592	Ian Smith	
0593	Vince Goddard	
0612	Tony Halsall	
0614	Richard Meredith-Hardy	
0617	Barrie Christie	
0623	Mike Hum	
0624	Tony Harrison-Smith	
0626	Trevor Sexton	
0651	Peter Coleman	
0652	Steve Slade	
0654	Paul Golden	
0655	Mark Henson	
0656	Reuben Howells	
0657	Bill Harling	

0660 0669 0670 0675 0678 0679 0713 0720 0725 0750 0758 0767 0775 0814+0816 0849 0857 0867 0869 0887 0890 0899 0903 0933 0939 0979 0991 1006 1023 1026 1048 1118 1173 1221 1223 1272 1277 1290+1291 1402 1975 2906	Edward F. Clapham K.M. Bowen Rob Thomasson Paul Verity Giuseppe Arcangeli David Sudworth John Brady R.B.F Alexander Howard Denton IAOPA Jant Christie David A. George Esq. Anthony Christie Michael Jacobsen Clifford P. Lockyer Gevraise Gail Gardner Schweizer Flugplatzverein Spencer Harvey C.J.H. Richardson Robert Tores M.J. Pool Apau CAA Greece German Airports Association AOPA Italy DGAC France Reseau du Sport de L' Air AOPA Norway Irish Aviation Authority Choux Benoit Ministry of Transport Slovenia Christian Marek Paulo Lemos Christophe Gandriau FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airports Ass. Michel Labbe Andre Cholley Richard Dawson	
	About 1600 persons with an identical response (see Annex 1 - list of commentors)	These persons sent an identical response insisting that aerodromes, which are not open for public use, should not be subject to Community legislation. Due to the very high number of identical comments, the names of these persons are not listed in this Inventory of answers. However, their names are contained in a separate file issued as part of the CRD.
0003 0013 0031 0083 0174 0200 0219	CAA Romania KLM Air League CAA Spain BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow	These stakeholders consider that aerodromes, which are not open for public use, should normally not be subject to Community legislation, but with some exceptions. Many of these stakeholders too highlighted the need for a precise definition of 'aerodrome open for public use', while some of them stressed that the threshold should be set at operations taking place with aircraft of at least 5.700 kg MTOW or more than 10

0237 0532 0566 0680 0683 0729 0790 0825 0988 1065 1081 1095 1113 1145 1168 2990	Guernsey Airport GAAC CAA Netherlands Deutscher Ultralightflugverband BMV Germany Schiphol Airport CAA UK UK Flying Farmers Association Eric Clark British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association Airbus France CAA Sweden Humberside Airport ACI Europe ENAC Italy ECOGAS	passenger seats. Some of these stakeholders pointed out that small aerodromes should be regulated at Community level in particular cases, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- commercial operations exercised (cargo flights, flight training, medical flights, crop dusting flights)- complex aircraft operations taking place- aerodrome operator elects to apply EU rules- public transport exercised- aerodrome published in the AIP- military aerodromes open for civil use- offshore and vessel helidecks One of them noted that guidance could be given by EASA also in case of non-public aerodromes. One of them requested that airfields should be excluded from safety regulation. An airfield would be defined to have less than 100 movements p.a. by aircraft below 500 kg.
0067 0269 0576 0639 0740 0916 1198 1210 1231 1240 1260+1262 1333 2969	CAA Belgium Fraport CAA Finland Manchester Airport Belgian Gliding Federation Birmingham Airport ECA CAA Norway Lyon Airport CAA Czech Republic Luton Airport IFATCA Prague Airport	These stakeholders consider that all aerodromes should be subject to Community legislation providing necessary proportionality being exercised on the level of implementing rules. These stakeholders too called for more precise definitions. Some of them highlighted the need to protect citizens living around aerodromes.
0041 0111 0191 0488+0489 0562 0970 1190	AOPA UK DFS Gloucestershire Airport British Airport Operators Association Chris Allen Avinor AS Finavia	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position because either public use is not clearly defined or not used in their country. Some stakeholders feel that the applicability should be specified for: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- water aerodromes- oil rigs- aerodromes used by manufacturers

Analysis

The vast majority of stakeholders stated that aerodromes not open for public use should not be regulated at Community level. A minority, however including 7 NAAs, considers that in some cases aerodromes, which are not open for public use, should be subject to Community legislation. Some stakeholders were of the opinion that all aerodromes should be regulated at Community level, providing that proportionate implementing rules will apply taking into account the need to protect citizens living near the aerodrome. In any case, an overwhelming majority of all stakeholders highlight the need for precise definition of an aerodrome open for public use.

Question 4

Do stakeholders consider that certain aerodrome equipment should be regulated at Community level? If so, what equipment?

Comment number	From	Nature
0004 0042 0054 0069 0084 0145 0238 0342 0443 0472 0567 0751 0791 0826 0940 0971 0992 1007 1027 1049 1096 1144 1211 1241 1278 1334 2970 3006	CAA Romania AOPA UK CAA Slovakia CAA Belgium CAA Spain AEA Guernsey Airport Chris Lear Swiss Aero Club Peter Stratten CAA Netherlands IAOPA CAA UK UK Flying Farmers Association CAA Greece Avinor AS AOPA Italy DGAC France AOPA Norway Irish Aviation Authority CAA Sweden ACI Europe CAA Norway CAA Czech Republic FOCA Switzerland IFATCA Prague Airport EUROCONTROL	<p>These stakeholders consider that safety related aerodrome equipment should be regulated at Community level, providing consistency with other rules (e.g. Single European Sky).</p> <p>The following types of equipment have been mentioned as examples of equipment to be regulated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fire Fighting equipment - SAR equipment - de-icing equipment - fuel supply equipment - Ground Power supplies and starters - SMGCS - fencing - visual aids - ground handling equipment - winter cleaning facilities - friction measurement equipment <p>Some of these stakeholders indicated the need of clear safety or economic benefit to be assessed before regulation takes place. Some also emphasized that implementing rules should address only equipment performance. One stakeholder suggested using Community Specifications developed by standardisation bodies as well.</p> <p>Some stakeholders would like to limit the applicability of EU regulations to large airports or where there is public access.</p> <p>One stakeholder indicated the need to regulate flight procedure design.</p>
0032 0255 0533 0640 1114	Air League CAA Iceland GAAC Manchester Airport Humberside Airport	In addition to the equipment listed above, these stakeholders would like to include in the aerodrome requirements also local radio navigation aids.
0014 0101 0131 0175 0192 0201 0220 0255 0272 0303 0322 0350	KLM Bickerton's Aerodromes British International BAA Aberdeen Airport Gloucestershire Airport BAA Central Airside Operations Tim Hardy CAA Iceland Fraport AG G.M. Tipler Ian L. Craig Wood E.A. Sturmer	<p>These stakeholders believe that specific aerodrome equipment should not be regulated at Community level because;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - sufficient ICAO SARPs already exist - it is aerodrome operator's responsibility - that would cause extra economical burden to aviation activities - that is already sufficiently covered in the Single European Sky framework - it would be disproportionate for specific activities such as gliding

0370	Michael Shaw	
0386	Richard Bailey	
0419	Richard Kingston	
0427	Chris Fox	
0451	Teuge Airport	
0479	G.P. Glibbery	
0490+0492	British Airport Operator Association	
0524	Squirrel Helicopters	
0548	Jason Tebaldi	
0585	CAA Denmark	
0684	BMV Germany	
0714	John Brady	
0741	Belgian Gliding Federation	
0759	Janet Christie	
0776	Anthony Christie	
0817	Michael Jacobsen	
0870	Gail Gardner	
0878	Schweizer Flugplatzverein	
0891	C.J.H. Richardson	
0917+0919	Birmingham Airport	
1122	Dublin Airport Authority	
1169	ENAC Italy	
1191	Finavia	
1222	Christian Marek	
1232	Lyon Airport	
1263+1265	Luton Airport	
1292	Dutch Civil Airports Ass.	
1690	Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development	
2991	ECOGAS	
0311	Count of Atlas	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position.
0577	CAA Finland	
1066	British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association	
1199	ECA	

Analysis

A slight majority of respondents do not consider that certain aerodrome equipment should be regulated at Community level. However, a significant minority, including 14 national authorities and main stakeholders, is of the opinion to regulate equipment and systems mentioned in ICAO Annex 14 through Community legislation. Some stakeholders indicated the need of a regulatory impact assessment as a pre-requisite to the implementing rules and some emphasized that the implementing rules should only address equipment performance.

Question 5 (a +b)

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders' views as regard:

- a) The need for detailed implementing rules to facilitate compliance showing with the essential requirements related to the physical characteristics and infrastructure of small aerodromes?*
- b) The need for detailed implementing rules to facilitate compliance showing with the essential requirements related to the operation and management of small aerodromes?*

Comment number	From	Nature
0005 0015 0043 0070 0146 0176 0193 0221 0256 0274 0514 0568 0578 0641 0685 0742 0794+0795 0941 0972 1008 1050+1051 1082 1115 1171 1175 1200 1212 1266 1335	CAA Romania KLM AOPA UK CAA Belgium AEA BAA Aberdeen Airport Gloucestershire Airport BAA Heathrow CAA Iceland Fraport AG CAA Slovak Republic CAA Netherlands CAA Finland Manchester Airport BMV Germany Belgian Gliding Federation CAA UK CAA Greece Avinor AS DGAC France Irish Aviation Authority Airbus Humberside Airport ENAC Italy Ministry of Transport Slovenia ECA, Brussels CAA Norway Luton Airport IFATCA	These stakeholders consider that detailed implementing rules (IR) for small aerodromes should be developed to facilitate compliance showing with the essential requirements. However; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Many stakeholders do not see a reason to make distinction in this context between aerodrome infrastructure and operations - Many stakeholders do not see a reason to make distinction in this context between large and small aerodrome; IR should be set at a proportionate level relating to the complexity of operations - Many stakeholders see a need to define clearly as what constitutes a small aerodrome
0085 0134 0452+0453 0586 0715 0731 0871 0879 1097 1192 1242 1279 1293 1691	CAA Spain British International Teuge Airport CAA Denmark John Brady Amsterdam Schiphol Gail Gardner Schweizer Flugplatzverein CAA Sweden Finavia CAA Czech Republic FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airports Assoc. Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development	These stakeholders consider that detailed implementing rules to facilitate compliance showing with the essential requirements are not needed for small aerodromes.

2992	ECOGAS	
0033 0076 0102 0293 0304 0312 0323 0343 0351 0363 0371 0387 0403 0411 0420 0428 0444 0465 0473 0480 0525 0535 0543 0549 0752 0760 0777 0818 0827 0863 0892 0993 1028 1067 1183 2908	Air League British Helicopter AB Bickerton's Aerodromes Ltd Steve Giddins G.M. Tipler Count of Atlas Ian L. Craig Woods Chris Lear E.A. Sturmer Ian Rhodes Michael Shaw Richard Bailey John Allwright Jonathan Gordon Richard Kingston Chris Fox Swiss Aero Club Richard McLachlan BGA G.P. Glibbery Squirrel Helicopters GAAC David Marks Jason Tibaldi IAOPA Janet Christie Anthony Christie Michael Jacobsen UK Flying Farmers Assoc. Roger Hopkinson C.J.H. Richardson AOPA Italy AOPA Norway British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association Martin Robinson Richard Dawson	These stakeholders consider that aerodromes, where their particular activities take place, should be excluded from the need to have implementing rules, unless they are collocated with commercial air transport. Such activities mentioned are; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - hot air ballooning - micro-light activities - gliding - recreational flying (in general) - farm air strips - helicopter landing sites
0981 1143 1233	German Airports Assoc. ACI Europe Lyon Airport	Comment does not address the question or is unclear.

Analysis

The majority of stakeholders consider that detailed implementing rules for small aerodromes should be developed, although these should be set at a proportionate level relating to the complexity of operations. A notable number of stakeholders, representing in the main the recreational flying community, consider that aerodromes where their particular activities take place should be excluded from the Community regulations.

Question 5 (c)

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders' views as regard:

c) The relevance in this context of the segregation already proposed in the essential requirements, between large and small aerodromes?

Comment number	From	Nature
0005 0015 0085 0256 0454 0578 0586 1008 1175 2971	Romania KLM CAA Spain CAA Iceland Teuge Airport CAA Finland CAA Denmark DGAC Transport Ministry Slovenia CAA Czech Republic	These stakeholders accept the proposed distinction between small and large aerodromes as a baseline, but in most cases have proposed amendments to the proposed criteria. Majority of the proposed amendments call in question the criteria related to the amount of persons required on aerodrome operations. Some stakeholders criticize the criteria of aerodrome operations at night. Some stakeholders prefer the nature of the traffic as a criteria rather than its extent.
0033 0070 0193 0534 0715 1166 1200 1266 1293 2292	The Air League CAA Belgium Gloucestershire Airport GAAC John Brady ENAC Italy ECA, Brussels Luton Airport Dutch Civil Airports Assoc. ECOGAS	These stakeholders propose alternative segregation criteria, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - criteria in EU Regulation 2330/2002 - criteria in EU Regulation 2096/2005 (SES ; Common Requirements for ANSP) - ICAO reference codes - aerodrome departure and/or approach characteristics - commercial/non-commercial operations - size and complexity of operations
0134 0473 0641 0971 0879 1052 1097 1115	British International BGA (Peter Stratten) Manchester Airport Avinor AS Schweizer Flugplatzverein Irish Aviation Authority CAA Sweden Humberside Airport	These stakeholders see proposed segregation in the NPA not clear. Some additional considerations indicated are; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a distinct definition in relation to heliports is needed - segregation proposed does not take into account their particular activity (recreational flying) - need for clear definitions for a 'small' or 'large' aerodrome
0176 0221 0274 0568 0685 0731 0742 0796 0941 1335	BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Heathrow Fraport AG CAA Netherlands BMV Germany Amsterdam Schiphol Belgian Gliding Federation CAA UK CAA Greece IFATCA	These stakeholders do not see it relevant to make any distinction between small and large aerodromes as regards the need for implementing rules.

Analysis

As regards the segregation between large and small aerodromes in this context, a similar number of stakeholders were divided between those who don't see any relevance in making a distinction and those accepting the proposed criteria as a baseline. However, almost all of the stakeholders accepting have proposed amendments to these criteria. Some stakeholders seek additional clarification on the definition of a small aerodrome, while others proposed alternative criteria.

Question 6 (a + b)

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regard:

- a) The need to require certification for the verification of compliance with the requirements related to the physical characteristics and infrastructure of small aerodromes?*
- b) The need to require certification for the verification of compliance with the requirements related to the operation and management of small aerodromes?*

Comment number	From	Nature
0006 0034 0044 0177 0194 0203 0222 0275 0495 0515 0535 0569 0579 0686 0732 0798+0799 0921 0942 0973 1053+1054 1083 1116 1142 1156+1167 1176 1201 1213 1267 1692 2993 3007	CAA Romania The Air League AOPA UK BAA Aberdeen Airport Gloucestershire Airport BAA Central Airside Ops BAA Heathrow FRAPORT AG British Airport Operators CAA Slovak Republic GAAC CAA Netherlands CAA Finland BMV Germany Amsterdam Schiphol CAA UK Birmingham Airport CAA Greece Avinor AS Irish Aviation Authority Airbus Humberside Airport ACI Europe ENAC Italy Transport Ministry Slovenia ECA Brussels CAA Norway Luton Airport Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development ECOGAS EUROCONTROL	These stakeholders consider that also the small aerodromes should be certified for the verification of compliance with the essential requirements and relevant implementing rules. However; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Many of them consider that one certification process should cover both infrastructure and operations - Many of them emphasize that flexibility and proportionality between small and large aerodromes should be contained in the implementing rule level - Some of them consider that small aerodromes should only be certified if flying training or commercial air transport takes place - One of them proposes a certification process as the initial verification and a system of self-declaration for renewals
0016 0077 0086 0103 0135 0257 0455+0456 0587 1009 1098	KLM British Helicopter Advisory Board CAA Spain Bickerton's Aerodromes Ltd British International CAA Iceland Teuge Airport CAA Denmark DGAC France CAA Sweden	These stakeholders consider that there is no need to certify the small aerodromes for the verification of compliance with the essential requirements and relevant implementing rules. Some of them propose demonstrating compliance on a self assessment basis or to leave the decision to the NAA.

1243 1280 1297	CAA Czech Republic FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airports Assoc.	
0294 0305 0313 0324 0335 0344 0354 0372 0404 0421 0429 0466 0481 0526 0544 0550 0558 0620 0716 0743 0753 0761 0778 0828 0864 0872 0880 0994 1068 1184 2909	Steve Giddins G.M. Tipler Count of Atlas Ian L. Craig Woods EGU Chris Lear E.A. Sturmer Michael Shaw John Allwright Richard Kingston Chris Fox Richard McLaghlan G.P. Glibbery Squirrel Helicopters David Marks Jason Tebaldi Andrew and Susan Vandyk Tony Harrison-Smith John Brady Belgian Gliding Federation IAOPA Janet Christie Anthony Christie UK Flying Farmers Assoc. Roger Hopkinson Gail Gardner Schweizer Flugplatzverein AOPA Italy British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association Martin Robinson Richard Dawson	These stakeholders consider that aerodromes where solely their particular activities take place should not be certified, as they should not be included in the Community competence. Such activities mentioned are; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - hot air ballooning - micro-light activities - gliding - recreational flying (in general) - farm air strips - helicopter landing sites
0114	DFS	This stakeholder considers that full consistency with SES should be ensured. Therefore the verification of compliance of infrastructure should be based on the SES Interoperability Regulation and operation and management on the SES Common Requirements (2096/2005).
0982 1029 1193 1234	German Airports Association Reseau du Sport d L'Air Finavia Lyon Airport	These comments do not address the question or is unclear.

Analysis

The majority of stakeholders consider that small aerodromes should be certified for the verification of compliance with the essential requirements and relevant implementing rules. But, many of them consider one certification process should cover both infrastructure and operations. Many of them also emphasize that flexibility and proportionality between small and large aerodromes should be contained in the implementing rule level. A minority of stakeholders consider that there is no need to certify the small aerodromes or that this process should be left to the NAA.

Question 6 (c)

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regard:

c) The relevance in this context of the segregation already proposed in the essential requirements, between large and small aerodromes?

Comment number	From	Nature
0086 0257 0457 0579 0587 1280	CAA Spain CAA Iceland Teuge Airport CAA Finland CAA Denmark FOCA Switzerland	These stakeholders accept the proposed distinction between small and large aerodromes as a baseline, but in most cases have proposed amendments to the proposed criteria. Some of them however criticize the criteria of aerodrome operations at night, and some would prefer the nature of traffic as a criteria rather than its extent.
0016 0194 0493+0495 0535 0921 1009 1157 1267	KLM Gloucestershire Airport British Airport Operators GAAC Birmingham Airport DGAC France ENAC Italy Luton Airport	These stakeholders disagree with the proposed criteria and offer alternative segregation criteria, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - size and/or complexity of operations - criteria in EU Regulation 2096/2005 (SES CR) - ICAO reference codes - threshold of 50 000 movements
0335 1098 1116	EGU CAA Sweden Humberside Airport	These stakeholders see proposed segregation in the NPA as unclear. Some additional considerations given by them are; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - segregation proposed does not take into account their particular activity (recreational flying) - clear definitions for a 'small' or 'large' aerodrome are needed
0177 0203 0222 0275 0515 0569 0686 0800 0942 1055 1083 1336 1692	BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Ops BAA Heathrow FRAPORT AG CAA Slovak Republic CAA Netherlands BMV Germany CAA UK CAA Greece British Hang- and Paragliding Association Airbus IFATCA Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development	These stakeholders do not see it relevant to make distinction between small and large aerodromes in relation to the need to require certification.

Analysis

The majority of stakeholders do not consider it relevant to make a distinction between small and large aerodromes in relation to the need to require certification as the means to verify compliance. However, if segregation is to be made, it is clear that the proposed criteria need to be amended before they can be acceptable to stakeholders.

Question 7

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regards the possibility for an operator to be entitled to operate several aerodromes under a single certificate?

Comment number	From	Nature
0007 0017 0045 0087 0147 0240 0258 0276 0458 0672 0733 0974 1124 1177 1202 1281 1299 1337 2973	CAA Romania KLM AOPA UK CAA Spain AEA Guernsey Airport CAA Iceland Fraport Teuge Airport CAA Estonia AMS Schiphol Airport Avinor AS Dublin Airport Authority Ministry of Transport Slovenia ECA Brussels FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airport Ass. IFATCA Airport Prague	These stakeholders can accept the possibility for an operator to be entitled to operate several aerodromes under a single certificate.
0035 0071 0136 0195 0204 0223 0516 0536 0570 0580 0588 0609 0687 0702 0801 0922 0943 0983 1056 1099 1117 1158 1214 1217 1235 1268 1693	Air League CAA Belgium British International Gloucestershire Airport BAA Central Airside Operations Tim Hardy CAA Slovak Republic GAAC CAA Netherlands CAA Finland CAA Denmark Glasgow Airport BMV Germany Nottingham East Midlands Airport CAA UK Birmingham Airport CAA Greece German Airports Association Irish Aviation Authority CAA Sweden Humberside Airport ENAC Italy CAA Norway Christian Marek Lyon Airport Luton Airport Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban	These stakeholders believe that each aerodrome should have its individual certificate encompassing infrastructure and management.

2994	and Regional Development ECOGAS	
1069 1141 1194	British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association ACI Europe Finavia	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position.

Analysis

A slight majority of respondents believes that each aerodrome should have its individual certificate encompassing infrastructure and management. In general, small operators belong to this majority, while stakeholders located in States where a major company operates more than one airport would be in favour of one certificate to operate several aerodromes.

Question 8

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regard:

- a) The possibility that assessment bodies be accredited to assess compliance with the requirements related to the physical characteristics and infrastructure of aerodromes?*
- b) The possibility that assessment bodies be accredited to assess compliance with the requirements related to the operation and management of aerodromes?*
- c) The possibility that the Agency be entitled to assess compliance with the requirements related to the physical characteristics and infrastructure of aerodromes?*
- d) The possibility that the Agency be entitled to assess compliance with the requirements related to the operation and management of aerodromes?*

Comment number	From	Nature
0036 0046 0060 0088 0115 0137 0148 0178 0196 0205 0224 0259 0336 0445 0459 0474 0497 0537 0571 0734 0745 0754 0802 0830 0923 0995 1100 1203 1215 1245 1257 1319 1338 2974 2995 3000	Air League AOPA UK CAA Slovak CAA Spain DFS British International AEA BAA Aberdeen Airport Gloucestershire Airport BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow CAA Iceland EGU Swiss Aero Club Teuge Airport Peter Stratten British Airport Operators Ass. GAAC CAA Netherlands AMS Schiphol Airport Belgian Gliding Federation IAOPA CAA UK UK Flying Farmers Ass. Birmingham Airport AOPA Italy CAA Sweden ECA CAA Norway CAA Czech Republic Luton Airport Dutch Civil Airports Ass. IFATCA Airport Prague ECOGAS EUROCONTROL	These stakeholders see it feasible in principle to develop a concept of assessment bodies or the Agency, in addition to the NAA, being accredited to assess compliance with all the requirements, provided that this leads to a more efficient system. Some of them highlight that all these entities should have a safety and quality management system. Others stressed the need for the assessment bodies to be subject to oversight by the Agency.
0008 0018 0072 0468	CAA Romania KLM CAA Belgium Richard McLachlan	These stakeholders consider that national authorities, neither the Agency nor assessment bodies, should assess compliance with the aerodrome requirements. Many of them stress the need for common rules and common procedures, as well as the need for

0581 0589 0688 0703 0882 0944 0976 0984 1011 1057+1058+ 1060 1147 1159+1160+ 1161+1162 1178 1195 1282 1694	CAA Finland CAA Denmark BMV Germany Nottingham East Midlands Airport Schweizer Flugplatzverein CAA Greece Avinor AS German Airports Ass. DGAC France Irish Aviation Authority ACI Europe ENAC Italy Ministry of Transport Slovenia Finavia FOCA Switzerland Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development	standardisation inspections by the Agency.
0277 0306 0314 0325 0345 0356+0357 0365 0373 0422 0482 0527 0551 0559 0621 0718 0762 0771 0779 0820 0853 0865 0874 0895 1070 1236 2910	Fraport G.M. Tipler Count of Atlas Ian L. Craig Wood Chris Lear E.A. Sturmer Ian Rhodes Michael Shaw Richard Kingston G.P. Glibbery Squirrel Helicopters Jason Tebaldi Edward and Susan Vandyk Tony Harrison-Smith John Brady Janet Christie David A. George Esq. Anthony Christie Michael Jacobsen Clifford P. Lockyer Roger Hopkinson Gail Gardner C.J.H. Richardson British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association Lyon Airport Richard Dawson	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position in relation to processes for large aerodromes open to commercial operations, beyond reminding that additional levels of bureaucracy will not be acceptable.

Analysis

A significant majority of stakeholders see it feasible in principle to develop a concept of other bodies, in addition to competent Authorities designated at national level, being accredited to assess compliance with requirements, providing this leads to a safe and more efficient system.

Question 8

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regard:

- e) *The relevance in this context of the segregation already proposed in the essential requirements, between large and small aerodromes?*

Comment number	From	Nature
0046 0137 0259 0336 0537 0589 0703 0745 0830 0976 1070 1100 1147 1162 1178 1203 1282	AOPA UK British International CAA Iceland EGU GAAC CAA Denmark Nottingham East Midlands Airport Belgian Gliding Federation UK Flying Farmers Ass. Avinor AS British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Ass. CAA Sweden ACI Europe ENAC Italy Ministry of Transport Slovenia ECA FOCA Switzerland	These stakeholders accept in principle the proposed distinction between small and large aerodromes, stressing that either large aerodromes open to commercial operations should be certified by the competent authority or that alternative processes could be used for the smaller ones. Examples of these alternative processes are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the role of assessment bodies should be limited to small aerodromes - peer reviews by professional colleagues - self declaration by the aerodrome operator Some of these stakeholders also criticize the criteria of aerodrome operations at night.
0018 0088 0178 0205 0224 0688 0718 0802 0944 0984 1011 1061	KLM CAA Spain BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow BMV Germany John Brady CAA UK CAA Greece German Airports Ass. DGAC France Irish Aviation Authority	These stakeholders do not see reason to make distinction in this context between small and large aerodromes, but emphasize that the rules and processes should be proportionate.

Analysis

A slight majority agree in principle with the simplification of processes for small aerodromes.

Question 8

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regard:

f) Other criteria to be used to decide which bodies are best placed to assess compliance with the applicable requirements.

Comment number	From	Nature
0046 0178 0205 0224 0241 0754 0802 0976 0995 1062 1147 1215 1319 3008	AOPA UK BAA Aberdeen Airport BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow Guernsey Airport IAOPA CAA UK Avinor AS AOPA Italy Irish Aviation Authority ACI Europe CAA Norway Dutch Civil Airports Ass. EUROCONTROL	These stakeholders have proposed additional criteria to be used to decide which bodies are best placed to assess compliance with the applicable requirements. These criteria proposed are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - demonstrated experience and competence in quality and safety management as well as in airport matters - safety competence based on common criteria and checked by EASA - economic efficiency - transparency - appeal procedure - liability - credibility and independence Some of them stress the need for quality and safety management systems to be applied also inside EASA and NAA.
0196 0497 0923	Gloucestershire Airport British Airport Operators Association Birmingham Airport	These stakeholders have proposed additional criteria to be used to decide which bodies are best placed to assess compliance with the applicable requirements. In this case the main criteria proposed is as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - safety competence based on common criteria and assessed by the NAA According to one, even the airport operator could assume the role of assessment body.
0259	CAA Iceland	For this stakeholder assessment bodies are either accredited by EASA or by the NAA.
0703	Nottingham East Midlands Airport	This stakeholder proposes regional grouping of NAAs and selection of the competent NAA by the regulated aerodrome.
0336 0537 0745	EGU GAAC Belgian Gliding Federation	For these stakeholders the relevant federations could become assessment bodies for their segment of recreational aviation.
0036 0137 0445 0589 0718 0984 1100 1164 1178	Air League British International Swiss Aero Club CAA Denmark John Brady German Airports Ass. CAA Sweden ENAC Italy Ministry of Transport Slovenia	These stakeholders provided an answer to question 8f but made no specific suggestion.

Analysis

The majority of stakeholders emphasises that organisations verifying compliance should be accredited, be transparent and independent and implement themselves a sound quality and safety management system.

Question 9

The Agency would be interested to know stakeholders views as regard the best means to regulate specific technical systems at an aerodrome?

Comment number	From	Nature
0009 0037 0069 0089 0138 0149 0206 0225 0242 0260 0337 0446 0475 0538 0572 0590 0735 0804 0831 0975 0985 1012 1034 1101 1140 1216 1283 1302	CAA Romania Air League CAA Belgium CAA Spain British International AEA BAA Central Airside Operations BAA Heathrow Guernsey Airport CAA Iceland EGU Swiss Aero Club Peter Stratten GAAC CAA Netherlands CAA Denmark AMS Schiphol Airport CAA UK UK Flying Farmers Association Avinor AS German Airports Association DGAC France Irish Aviation Authority CAA Sweden ACI Europe CAA Norway FOCA Switzerland Dutch Civil Airports Ass.	These stakeholders proposed regulation of aerodrome equipment at the level of Community implementing rules and acceptable means of compliance. These rules should be performance based and adopted only if clear safety and economic benefit could be shown. Some of them propose a declaration process to show compliance with the implementing rules. Some stress the need for proportionate rules applicable to specific activities (e.g. gliding).
0279 1204 1339	Fraport ECA IFATCA	These stakeholders propose, in addition to Community implementing rules, a certification process for safety critical technical systems at aerodromes.
0019 0197 0307 0326 0358 0423 0431 0460 0498 0528 0689 0704 0755	KLM Gloucestershire Airport G.M. Tipler Ian L. Craig Wood E.A. Sturmer Richard Kingston Chris Fox Teuge Airport British Airport Operators Ass. Squirrel Helicopters BMV Germany Nottingham East Midlands Airport IAOPA	These stakeholders think that either ICAO SARPs or the Single European Sky framework is sufficient in this context.

0763 0780 0821 0854 0866 0883 0924 0996 1125 1165 1189 1237 1246 1270 1695 2911 2975 2996	Janet Christie Anthony Christie Michael Jacobsen Clifford P. Lockyer Roger Hopkinson Schweizer Flugplatzverein Birmingham Airport AOPA Italy Dublin Airport Authority ENAC Italy Finavia Lyon Airport CAA Czech Republic Luton Airport Hessian Ministry of Economics, Transport, Urban and Regional Development Richard Dawson Airport Prague ECOGAS	
0746 1071	Belgian Gliding Federation British Hang Gliding and Paragliding Association	These stakeholders see no need for regulation related to their activity.
0573 0719 0945 1031	CAA Finland John Brady CAA Greece AOPA Norway	These stakeholders did not indicate a clear position.

Analysis

A slight majority of respondents do not consider that specific technical equipment should be regulated at Community level. A significant minority, including 12 national authorities and main stakeholders, is of the opinion to regulate aerodrome equipment through implementing rules and acceptable means of compliance. The rules should be performance based and adopted only if clear safety and economic benefit could be shown.

Some propose a declaration process to show compliance with the implementing rules.

Annex 1 to Inventory of Answers - CRD-06-2006

*List of commentors with identical response to
Question 3*

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2340	A. Bodin	1608	Alain Richard
2964	A. Charpentier	2526	Alain Robert
2839	A. Genel	1544	Alain Roy
2496	A. Retailleau	1697	Alain Ruault
2351	A. Zimmermann	1713	Alain Rullier
1643	A.Retailleau	1946	Alain Soubeyran
1527	Aalin Calvinhac	2811	Alain Thomain
2100	ACBA NOGARO	2699	Alain Vidal
834	Adam Marshall	1654	Alain Wendling
1891	Adrien Bourgain	2688	Alajouanine
1821	AE. Laurent	2141	Albert Billon
2804	Ae. Routier	2385	Albert Nalpowik
2935	Aguilar	2440	Albert Ukena
1847	Aime Rochat	1954	Albrecht Buchner
1822	Air Ground Solutions & Services	2832	Alcuta
2706	Alain Arnaud	1712	Alexandre Feuillet
2754	Alain Audoineau	1648	Alexandre Kujawa
1523	Alain Ballini	2584	Alexier Chauliat
2519	Alain Calet	2237	Alexis Vidal
2355	Alain Challoit	1374	Alloix Jean Paul
2866	Alain Charpentier	2222	Alois Ulrich
1722	Alain Chefdeville	1743	Alpha William
2232	Alain Cieslewicz	1715	Amelie Tournaire
1912	Alain Collignon	2095	Andre Bisman
2865	Alain Creach	1964	Andre Chatreau
1721	Alain Dechavanne	1975	Andre Cholley
1784	Alain Dericke	2344	Andre Chrariglione
2161	Alain Dupland	1428	Andre Cousin
1971	Alain Dussoux	2913	Andre Dufresne
2260	Alain Favre	2646	Andre Gadaud
2620	Alain Fournier	1866	Andre Gillon
2888	Alain Garcia	2099	Andre Guerard
2547	Alain Gaunet	1602	Andre Humeau
1906	Alain Gilbert	1829	Andre Ladureau
2051	Alain Gimenez	2588	Andre M. Gautie
2920	Alain Grissmer	1483	Andre Ollier
2350	Alain Guernager	1803	Andre Patrice
1833	Alain Hugault	2047	Andre Sebera
1440	Alain Jamet	1547	Andre Tousignant
2799	Alain Leclercqu	1366	Andrea Torri
1398	Alain Lecouvre	2250	Andrieu Sylvain
2112	Alain Leguen	1609	Anne Lavrand
2199	Alain Magneville	2725	Anne Le Pecheur
2592	Alain Marchand	2322	Anne Millien
1700	Alain Martial	2360	Anne-Marie Derbent
2324	Alain Meurant	2012	Anne-Marie Girault
2002	Alain Montmory	2608	Annet
2243	Alain Pelletier	2581	Anthony Bourguignon
1958	Alain Pierron	2205	Anthony Delluc
1813	Alain Pourel	2597	Antoine Bussat
1773	Alain PY	1362	Antoine Dal
2629	Alain Quesnel	2591	Antoine Demellier
1416	Alain Quilici	2423	Antonio Mesaglio
2462	Alain Raposo	933	Apau
2900	Alain Ratieuville	2126	Arbois
2048	Alain Reffray	1952	Armand Bourbon

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1831	Arnaud Brunet	2414	Bernard Poncet
1757	Arnaud Eychegaray	2215	Bernard Pouligny
1352	Arnaud Huboud-Peron	1921	Bernard Reymann
2135	Arnould	2578	Bernard Vallet
1800	ASLVAP	1702	Bernd Christian
1916	Association Aerotourisme	1504	Bernhard Herlin
2635	Association MosAiles	1421	Bernhard Herve
2615	Auradou	1981	Bernhard van Cauwenberge
1568	Aurelien Dhieux	1558	Berriat
1763	Axel Franchet D'Esperey	1481	Berthier
2846	Axel Thonus	2523	Berton
1706	Aymeric Chauveau	2726	Berton
2218	Azouard	2240	Bertrand
1347	Azzouni Mohamed	1423	Bertrand Courtois
2008	B. Bourin	1836	Bertrand Delpech
2680	B. Christian	1628	Bertrand Miguet
2573	B. Dumortier	2576	Bertrand Mikoff
1455	Ballester	1543	Besnard
1960	Banoun	2403	Besse
1901	Barbe	2740	Blin
2633	Barello	2656	Bocquet
1424	Barreau	1680	Bodron
1936	Batou	1377	Bon Oivier
1726	Beaufort	1592	Bongaerts
2418	Bedfert	2524	Bonnin
2767	Bellugue	1716	Bora Torrens
1890	Benedicte Penillon Fustier	1420	Boris Danhiez
2941	Benoit Choux	2304	Bouquin
1730	Benoit Godeau	2538	Bourhis
2301	Benoit Verwilghen	2696	Bourin
1789	Bernard Barbeau	1780	Bournaison
1633	Bernard Baudran	2300	Bouvier
2741	Bernard Becker	2806	Bruno Barbanson
2667	Bernard Bougouin	1880	Bruno Carandi
2642	Bernard Brunet	1384	Bruno Caretti
1897	Bernard Cammisar	1519	Bruno Casadei
1389	Bernard Chevalier	2162	Bruno Dupre
2480	Bernard Chirol	1686	Bruno Guillen
1466	Bernard Clair	2864	Bruno Hereng
2094	Bernard Costes	1625	Bruno Jaloux
2183	Bernard Cottet	2447	Bruno Kerrien
2801	Bernard Coulomb	951	Bruno Michel
2031	Bernard Darolles	2382	Bruno Thimonier
1572	Bernard Delafoulhouze	1677	Bruno Vinsonneau
1725	Bernard Durand	2698	C. Ducouret
2561	Bernard Garon	2728	C. Lartigue
1747	Bernard Gimbal	1468	C. Marcellin
2472	Bernard Guillemat	2448	Cable
1594	Bernard Hennel de Beaupreau	2579	Calmon
2517	Bernard Herin	2105	Cambedouzou
2851	Bernard Jais	1361	Camille Ducrot
1877	Bernard Javerlhac	1370	Camille Dal
2122	Bernard Julien	1400	Camus
2172	Bernard L Huillier	1582	Carlos Dionizio
2828	Bernard Labet	2762	Carmelia Pagano Auge
1766	Bernard Maenner	2520	Caroel Barrut Jouty

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1892	Castella	2604	Christian Le Marer
1848	Casteret	2555	Christian Lhomme
1843	Catherine Hugault	2899	Christian Maillard
2327	Cedric Chauchoy	2711	Christian Meyer
2053	Cenier	2492	Christian Oliviero
1969	Centre ULM Europeen (Alain Hansen)	2297	Christian Piccioli
2068	Chantal Farrigia	1796	Christian Porret
2504	Chantal Farrugia	2610	Christian Prevot
1432	Chantal Godefroid	1350	Christian Rauch
2459	Chanut Ludovic	1756	Christian Ravel
2537	Chappaz-Reynaud	1957	Christian Reveillac
2795	Chapperon	2603	Christian Roques
2117	Charamon	1656	Christian Roulet
1549	Charles Andrieux	2660	Christian Rue
2884	Charles Boulenguez	2536	Christian Soldevila
2570	Charles Caudrelier	2598	Christian Terrier
2815	Charles Chauvel-Trepier	1473	Christian Van den Bossche
2197	Charles Cuenoud	1613	Christian Vandamme
1417	Charles de Pontalba	2733	Christophe Bultez
2843	Charles Donnefort	1636	Christophe Chartroule
1412	Charles Hommet	1492	Christophe Dasseux
2685	Charly Bap	2510	Christophe Duffossez
2835	Charly Bravo Echo	2318	Christophe Gerbaud
1769	Chataigner	2919	Christophe Girard
1667	Chatain	1666	Christophe Gorjux
2167	Chenevier	2746	Christophe Jankovec
2148	Chevallier	2346	Christophe Koeppl
2208	Choiletz	1744	Christophe Maurel
1118	Choux Benoit	855	Christophe Napierai
1119	Chr. Gasulla	1496	Christophe Navoret
2399	Chris Michigan	1388	Christophe Neuville
2948	Christ. Napierai	1575	Christophe Oddoux
2930	Christ. Navoret	1764	Christophe Poggi
1560	Christiaens Benoit	2936	Christophe Robin
2020	Christian Arnal	1767	Christophe Sonnefraud
2271	Christian Auge	1953	Christophe Van Heule
1678	Christian Balansino	2776	Cl. Claverie
2463	Christian Batoux	1405	Clair
1705	Christian Bignon	2207	Claude Bousquet
2063	Christian Boblin	2613	Claude Canteau
1791	Christian Boesch	2771	Claude Claverie
2898	Christian Bonnafous	2654	Claude Cordelle
2744	Christian Bureau	2921	Claude Cralier
2586	Christian Casse	1545	Claude Deschamps
2712	Christian Couproun	2286	Claude Dunyer
1790	Christian Cuquel	2067	Claude Fiaux
1737	Christian Dechelette	1606	Claude Gahon
2529	Christian Dosse	2305	Claude Gentilhomme
2691	Christian Dubois	2720	Claude Holenweg
2478	Christian Fichot	1903	Claude Kerrien
1742	Christian Gasulla	2793	Claude Kin
2777	Christian Gorin	2589	Claude La Chaud
2602	Christian Gutzwiller	2873	Claude Lafabrie
1935	Christian Henry	2016	Claude Lapoutge
2770	Christian Hunziker	2663	Claude Marine
2844	Christian Koch	2760	Claude Segundo

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2606	Claude Stephanovitch	2710	Danielle Colas
2757	Claude Thomas	722	Danish Ultralight Flying Association
2812	Clement Defago	1797	Dany Gabert
1944	Clericy	2209	Darcy
2247	Cliquennois	1509	Darque
2295	Club Aero ULM Berch	2671	Daudet
2468	Club ULM	1627	David Dulamon
1976	Colle	1665	David Guy
1448	Constant	2742	David Venon
2672	Corinne Herren	2073	De Bersuder
2313	Corinne Solas	2001	De Jesus
2527	Cortial	1403	De Pooter Emmanuelle
1443	Cortico	2181	Deflandre
1406	Costa Bruno Dalla	2822	Degy
1580	Costallazione	2389	Delay
1929	Coybes	2823	Delemarle
2038	Croisille	907	Delombaerde
2256	Cyril Bardi de Fourtou	2037	Denis Catusse
1567	Cyril Mottier	2959	Denis Guilbert
2006	Cyrille Audinet	2723	Denis Guyet
1387	Cyrille Fabry	1819	Marylene Tanche
1898	D Hedin	1817	Denis Labilloy
2137	D. Blondeau	2272	Denis Lefebvre
2924	D. Dupin	1838	Denis Monte
2820	D. Gouloumes	2621	Denis Vasseur
1607	D. Ringenbach	2800	Denis Viautour
2487	D.Saur	2471	Derisbourg
2521	Damien Wagner	680	Deutscher Ultralightflugverband
2647	Damien Wiart	2669	d'Hauteville
1393	Daniel Barrouquere	2087	Dider Fourmeret
1563	Daniel Bouillot	2453	Didier Bluteau
1966	Daniel Breant	1900	Didier Bonin
1561	Daniel Christoph	2505	Didier Chaintreuil
1860	Daniel Clarens	1908	Didier Chicot
2467	Daniel Corre	2704	Didier Christien
2132	Daniel Coutant	1512	Didier Cotrel
1972	Daniel Cueroni	1619	Didier Cotte
1762	Daniel Dalby	2177	Didier Dehayes
1956	Daniel Dubois	2700	Didier Gomard
2370	Daniel Duhem	1675	Didier Gouloumes
1962	Daniel Dupin	2238	Didier Huguenin
1940	Daniel Durbano	1546	Didier Lauvand
1804	Daniel Grange	1439	Didier Maupilier
897	Daniel Huot	1886	Didier Peras
2484	Daniel Lioult	2212	Didier Poyet
2834	Daniel Marque	1816	Didier Rivage
2438	Daniel Mazzier	2565	Didier Rotzetter
1735	Daniel Mechain	2164	Didier Schaller
2887	Daniel Megoni	2860	Didier Tabur
2315	Daniel Morice	2802	Didier Viratelle
2268	Daniel Muller	905	Dirk Bakker
1498	Daniel Pierre Laurent	1910	Domi Martin
1459	Daniel Robin	2925	Domin. Agniel
2482	Daniel Scribot	2131	Dominique Lascaud
2541	Daniel Serge	1811	Dominique Agniel
1709	Daniel Wijns	2229	Dominique Berthelot

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1623	Dominique Chervet	2870	Eric Currell
1698	Dominique Cugny	2962	Eric Currelll
2855	Dominique Deschamps	2761	Eric Deplancke
2019	Dominique Feneyrols	1449	Eric Desbost
1413	Dominique Fermault	1469	Eric Desdrouesses
2026	Dominique Gattefin	1870	Eric Devaux
2116	Dominique Lascaud	2809	Eric Diteschy
1876	Dominique Lathuin	2748	Eric Echantillon
1685	Dominique Lenevu	1137	Eric Foulon
1723	Dominique Leonard	1801	Eric Galvagno
2817	Dominique Lorenzi	1682	Eric Juge
2764	Dominique Meglioli	2032	Eric Limpalaer
2352	Dominique Perrin	2886	Eric Moioli
1510	Dominique Prunier	1942	Eric Pieri
2028	Dominique Recoing	2858	Eric Roumeas
2736	Dominique Revoux	1893	Eric Schoubert
2485	Dominique Vachet	1996	Eric Weber
2655	Dominique Watrin	1515	Erich Schwartzweber
1562	Donimique Bettiol	1673	Erwan Renaut
1882	Donzeau	1661	Espinosa
2708	Doutouma	2957	Et. Chapatte
725	Dr. Howard Denton	2918	Etienne
2369	Drago	2889	Etienne Chappatte
2836	Du Passage	1922	Etienne Gilles
1464	Dubief	2219	Eugenio Paniagua
1806	Ducher	1611	F. Cadars
2508	Duont Eneida	1505	F. Pocholle
1471	Duponchelle	1669	F. Soupe
1399	Dupont	1138	F.P. Welsh
2599	Dupont	1933	Fabien Legeai
2477	Dussaud	1772	Fabrice Blot
2914	E. Schoubert	1401	Fabrice Comelli
1577	Eddy Behotte	1579	Fabrice Depardieu
1997	Edgar Grimm	2567	Fabrice Tenette
2701	Edm. Horta	1977	Fabrice Volpi
2196	Edmond Horta	836	Falc Jerome
1645	Edouard Hansets	2466	Ferard
2171	Edouard Lefevre	1931	FFSLA Federation
2275	Eic Sauzede	2574	Flor. Poulain
909	Elias Yves	2361	Florence Dufay
1849	Elisabeth Thomas	2758	Florence Leaute
1734	Emile Simorre	2488	Formey de St. Louvent
2210	Emile-Henri Laforgue	2021	Foucher de Brandois
1484	Emmanuel Ayme	898	Foucher de Brandois Pascal
2769	Emmanuel Lecomte	2861	Fr. Forgeot
2333	Emmanuel Marquis	2427	Francesco Chueca Gomez
2563	Emmanuel Toitot	1814	Francis Daspet
1807	Emmanuelle Chene	1774	Francis Douguet
2513	Emmmanuel Godeau	2436	Francis Gardre
1349	Eric Barre	1358	Francis Grisel
2015	Eric Boulet	1765	Francis Orfia
1947	Eric Boutry	1827	Francis Pollet
2515	Eric Broc (Vol Libre Correze)	2531	Francis Pouzenc
1635	Eric Brochier	2765	Francis Weiss
2475	Eric Changeur	1462	Franck Boullissiere
1927	Eric Coulon	1488	Franck Jouanny

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2752	Franck Petit	1858	G. Stakenburg
2124	Franck Rias	2916	Gaetan de Truchis de Lays
1896	Franck Richard	2653	Gallois
2145	Franck Tomasi	2449	Gandon
1435	Francois Bariaud	2783	Gass
1951	Francois Capelle	2892	Gaunel
2306	Francois Chevet	1644	Gavelle
2251	Francois Collet	2024	Gay Alain
2797	Francois Collin	2257	Gegu Yann
1379	Francois Colomes	1676	Genevois Bernard
1446	Francois Courtes	2234	Genna
2661	Francois Dehors	2558	Geo. Lefebvre
2410	Francois Delattre	2186	Georges Delalande
2810	Francois Dreyer	2039	Georges Delteil
2446	Francois Fortier	2511	Georges Krupa
2731	Francois Fouchet	2481	Georges Parent
1733	Francois Gonet	2413	Georges Relandeau
2509	Francois Guidon	845	Georges-Bernard Wesche
2332	Francois Hamonno	1524	Gerad Breysse
2367	Francois Kowal	1542	Gerad Testa
2640	Francois le Ber	2103	Gerald Goulard
1494	Francois Marcellin	2303	Gerard Champomier
1990	Francois Margotte	2398	Gerard Curel
2188	Francois Mounier-Poulat	1939	Gerard Degy
2269	Francois Pellicier	2365	Gerard Desaga
1603	Francois Steib	1834	Gerard Dru
2679	Francois Taboureau	2894	Gerard Durand
2926	Francois Xavier Charbonnier	1840	Gerard Ferrandi
1750	Francoise Bessac	1751	Gerard Francois
1867	Francois-Xavier Charbonnier	2674	Gerard Franconie
1346	Francois-Xavier Granveau	2922	Gerard Giraud
2192	Frans Verlaeckt	1585	Gerard Grosbertin
1032	Fred Pilot	1885	Gerard Latt
1460	Freddy Rixhon	1889	Gerard M. Chardon
1600	Frederic Carre	2293	Gerard Parizot
1525	Frederic Dessauw	2593	Gerard Quaetsers
2630	Frederic Duchemin	2030	Gerard Rayssac
1365	Frederic Dupuy	1928	Gerard Vinsonneau
2354	Frederic Esvan	1569	Geroges-Bernard Wesche
2036	Frederic Gabioud	857	Gevraise
2375	Frederic Juglet	2097	Ghislain Buisson
2474	Frederic Raybois	1348	Gibert, France
2473	Frederic Raybosi	1537	Gilbert Grech
2049	Frederic Regnier	2614	Gilbert Vauclair
2483	Frederic Ronceray	1457	Gilert Delage
1808	Frederic Ruby	1857	Gilles Allemand
2407	Frederic Touresse	2897	Gilles Chassignolle
2532	Frederik Stork	2057	Gilles Cros
1427	Fritz Schaub	2568	Gilles Disint
1932	Fritz Schaub!	1855	Gilles Finet
2296	Fruchart	1522	Gilles Geneste
2600	FSSLA	1810	Gilles Gennari
846	G. Beteille	1392	Gilles Gompertz
2121	G. Degy	2549	Gilles Gregoire
2782	G. Ghisolfi	1783	Gilles Hausknot
2650	G. Lequerre	2915	Gilles Laberthoniere

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2289	Gilles Larrieu	2550	Hoffmann
2644	Gilles Lequerre	1441	Horville
1657	Gilles Ribon	1851	Hubert
2089	Gilles Rochefort	1748	Hugo Gustin
1589	Gilles Savary	2246	Hugues Courbet
1683	Gilles Thesee	1991	Hugues Woane Hune
2091	Gizzo Riccardo	1771	Husson
1930	Glipa / Grogestio	2580	I. Mouys
1728	Gobin	1861	Ilic Fabbì
2270	Goffman	2724	Isabelle Dard
2213	Grandin	2694	Isabelle Grimaud
2244	Gregory Royer	2763	Ivan Auriel
1345	Grene Jean-Pierre	1493	Iwanszczuk
1382	Grit, France	1476	J C Durin
1895	Guillaume Fruleux	1531	J M Vannieuwenhuysse
1727	Guillaume Grange	1526	J M. Lang
1590	Guillaume Jacquin	2530	J. Boda
2719	Guillaume Richard	2343	J. Carpis
2309	Guilleray	2069	J. Denios
2659	Guy	2954	J. Falc
2933	Guy Besancon	2651	J. Lecaplain
1993	Guy Bettex	1758	J. Morlot
1612	Guy Bourasseau	2879	Ja. Rionde
1491	Guy Boustens	2522	Jack Vimeux
2090	Guy Buard	2319	Jacky Abbellard
1552	Guy Bubendorff	2624	Jacky Gilbert
2185	Guy Chancerel	1470	Jacky Massaia
1738	Guy Daumer	2464	Jacky Souillard
1830	Guy Englebert	1994	Jacques Azam
2111	Guy Haselbauer	1741	Jacques Biard
2280	Guy Lebeaud	2113	Jacques Blevanus
1704	Guy Lourdais	1662	Jacques Bohn
2444	Guy Parmentier	1586	Jacques Braguy
2664	Guy Rodary	2125	Jacques Breteche
2814	Guy Roy	2027	Jacques Cadilhac
2159	Guy Trier	2160	Jacques Dardenne
2545	Guy Vanhecke	2312	Jacques Depaepe
1988	Guy Warny	2033	Jacques Duthois
2789	H. Humblot	2052	Jacques Duthois
2170	Hans Krater	2326	Jacques Henry
1770	Heguy	1581	Jacques Herranz
2516	Heintz Raphael	2150	Jacques Marquevielle
2785	Henri Corderoy du Tiers	2005	Jacques Million
1835	Henri Dr Brosse	2595	Jacques Mirault
2560	Henri Junca	1487	Jacques Panagis
2902	Henri Lascombes	2627	Jacques Pasquette
2794	Henri Laurenchin	2666	Jacques Perroy
2917	Henri Mevel	1883	Jacques Pujol
1447	Henri Picca	1261	Jacques Religieux
2791	Henri Trichet	1477	Jacques Ripault
1385	Herbert de Coninck	2142	Jacques Routin
2084	Herve Barly	1731	Jacques Sola
2619	Herve Besnard	1538	Jacques Violin
2649	Herve Colas	2805	Jamier
1749	Herve Larcher	1227	Jan Fridrich, Czech Republic
1651	Herve Rolland	1934	Jarlot

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2831	JC. Paupe	2347	Jean-Claude Gfeller
1640	J-Christophe Chartroule	2187	Jean-Claude Guillot
1658	Jcmf Chevrier	2408	Gaston Rapin
1920	Jean Bardy	2409	Jean-Claude Heral
1701	Jean Bernard Bugnazet	1839	Jean-Claude Lyon
2790	Jean Bierienx	1853	Jean-Claude Meynier
1714	Jean Boda	2495	Jean-Claude Noble
1979	Jean Chane	2086	Jean-Claude Queval
1967	Jean Charles	2798	Jean-Daniel Tresch
2140	Jean Christophe Pelisse	1587	Jean-Dominique Digard
1516	Jean Claude Besse	2942	Jean-Fr. Massy
1431	Jean du Petit Thouars	2191	Jean-Francois Allain
1478	Jean- Francois Carraze	2837	Jean-Francois Coulon
2223	Jean G. Huguet	2461	Jean-Francois Ferdinand
2827	Jean Jaques Routaboul	1434	Jean-Francois Laclau
2018	Jean Lacroze	2611	Jean-Francois Massy
1490	Jean Lannou	2571	Jean-Francois Renard
2242	Jean Leboeuf	1841	Jean-Georges Godet
1965	Jean Lecour	2713	Jean-Guy Chardon
1507	Jean Lenoir	1472	Jean-Jacques Alibert
1626	Jean Loison	2072	Jean-Jacques Brachon
2092	Jean Louis Mainguy	2612	Jean-Jacques Mahieu
2766	Jean Louis Rebillard	2283	Jean-Jacques Willieme
1670	Jean Louis Tournaire	1845	Jean-Louis Braun
1444	Jean Louis Vayssiere	2044	Jean-Louis Carrasco
2230	Jean Louis Veron	2331	Jean-Louis Chanel
1430	Jean Luc Navez	2618	Jean-Louis Fantuz
2378	Jean Marc Magem	2193	Jean-Louis Gaillaguet
2891	Jean Maurice Dradem	2261	Jean-Louis Lounas
2502	Jean Michel Demelt	2190	Jean-Louis Petit
1396	Jean Michel Fevre	1437	Jean-Louis Quedinet
2885	Jean Moreau	1775	Jean-Luc Chaillou
2154	Jean Noel Come	1754	Jean-Luc Damour
2384	Jean Patrick Augereau	1782	Jean-Luc Guillemet
1376	Jean Paul Alloix	2848	Jean-Luc le Ray
2144	Jean -Pierre Ogier	2596	Jean-Luc Madras
1899	Jean -Pierre Ramet	2458	Jean-Luc Menier
2406	Jean Pol Bouilly	1634	Jean-Luc Rency
1419	Jean Racine	2163	Jean-Luc Tilloy
2718	Jean Renault	2054	Jean-Marc Chevallet
2949	Jean Simon	1818	Jean-Marc Jourgetoux
2128	Jean Sohier	2931	Jean-Marc Morel
2575	Jean Wiacek	1463	Jean-Marie Chaumard
2364	Jean Yvernoiseau	2868	Jean-Marie Chollet
2093	Jean Yves Massonnat	1844	Jean-Marie Delagarde
2628	Jean-Alex Pellegrin	2129	Jean-Marie Garcia
1351	Jean-Baptiste Berard	1559	Jean-Marie Menard
1614	Jean-Charles Cretin	1875	Jean-Marie Mougenot
2368	Jean-Christophe Solon	2174	Jean-Marie Nesme
1535	Jean-Claude Allain	2059	Jean-Michel Demelt
1452	Jean-Claude Beteille	2877	Jean-Michel Dexmier
2176	Jean-Claude Bodin	1815	Jean-Michel Dreau
1530	Jean-Claude Braillard	2217	Jean-Michel Huvet
1394	Jean-Claude Brosse	2499	Jean-Michel Le Lu
2373	Jean-Claude Buron	1795	Jean-Michel Mohr
2739	Jean-Claude Feve	1550	Jean-Michel Pasquier

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2840	Jean-Michel Peron	2872	Jerome Falc
2876	Jean-Michel Renaud	2631	Jerome Jeambrun
2675	Jean-Noel Cabuzel	2066	Jerome Meunier
2397	Jean-Noel Remacle	2401	Jerome Prompsy
1825	Jean-Paul Adam	1616	Jf Deniau
2004	Jean-Paul Beugnet	2577	JF Mousseau
1710	Jean-Paul Brun	2743	Jg. Pagnano
2400	Jean-Paul Daval	2381	JM Agboton
2307	Jean-Paul Denece	1950	JM Tirbisch
2512	Jean-Paul Denisart	1520	Joel Bellanger
2554	Jean-Paul Diette	1578	Joel Boby
1381	Jean-Paul Dubois	1768	Joel Pelnier
1497	Jean-Paul Duroch	2441	Joel Sigoillet
1955	Jean-Paul Granier	1987	Joel Vendel
1802	Jean-Paul Guillemet	1360	Joel Yvernault
2465	Jean-Paul Hautier	2349	Joelle Camus
1719	Jean-Paul Joliat	1499	Joelle Pauleve
2634	Jean-Paul Lathuiliere	2450	John Bonvin
2155	Jean-Paul Lepetit	1508	Johnny Boeres
1914	Jean-Paul Redureau	2546	Jose Morales
1565	Jean-Paul Sanchez	1820	Joseph Garcia
2007	Jean-Philippe Michel	2220	Josse Bernard
2643	Jean-Pierre	1919	Jost Armand
2374	Jean-Pierre Boucherie	1963	JP Cretien
1631	Jean-Pierre Carriol	1911	JP Manach
2645	Jean-Pierre Cursolle	2060	JP. Lafond
2874	Jean-Pierre de Lazzari	2023	Jp. Thirion
2206	Jean-Pierre Denis	1760	JR Gibrin
1367	Christophe Girard	2228	Julie Boulloin
1368	Jean-Pierre Faivre	2035	Julien Hebert
2556	Jean-Pierre Garnier	2695	Khalid Kaab
1511	Jean-Pierre Gondre	2372	Kimenau
1869	Jean-Pierre Hecq	2332	Kind
1503	Jean-Pierre Jacobee	2426	Klaus Guy
2311	Jean-Pierre Lacalmette	2276	Krystof Ramos
2717	Jean-Pierre Mahe	2904	L.Pochet
2419	Jean-Pierre Morizet	2371	Labregere
1433	Jean-Pierre Riou	2960	Lafabrie
2200	Jean-Pierre Schaeffer	2683	Laffon
2123	Jean-Pierre Simonnet	2274	Larribe
2681	Jean-Pierre Turmel	1986	Lartigue
2329	Jean-Pierre Vaillant	2677	Lascombres
1660	Jean-Pierre Van Laer	2168	Lattes
2826	Jean-Remy Lize	2254	Laurent Bertaud
2014	Jean-Rene Reinle	1573	Laurent Combacau
1528	Jean-Stephane Salles	2076	Laurent de Villeplee
2566	Jean-Yves Le Bihan (Aircreation)	1865	Laurent Dupouy
1576	Jean-Yves Robert	2310	Laurent Duronzier
2341	Jean-Yves Simon	1363	Laurent Gabriel
2689	Jelu Thomas	2585	Laurent Goujon
1534	Jero Hajweski	2609	Laurent Gregoire
2787	Jerom Claverie	2803	Laurent Husson
2533	Jerome Calmels	2857	Laurent Le BIhan
2353	Jerome Claverie	2553	Laurent Lefevre
1681	Jerome Combet	2359	Laurent Mas
2096	Jerome Delamare	2460	Laurent Philippe

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2716	Laurent Tomas	2951	M. Knup
837	Laurie Hurman	2961	M. Saily
2947	Laurnet Rixhon	2071	M. Strupiechonski
2411	Le Bleu	1984	M.C. Goodrich
1918	Le Dorze	2842	M.Debailleul
1968	Le Francois	2050	M.Robert
2491	Le Meillour	2617	Machot
1404	Le Vernoy	2402	Mad Fekhari
2456	Lebrun	2003	Manssens
2179	Ledahu	1330	Manuel Reis Fernandes
2530	Lederer	1624	Marc Andreucci
2490	Leflon Syvlain	1999	Marc Beranger
2214	Leharivel	2264	Marc Bienvenu
2041	Leon Pikros	1787	Marc Cechetti
1226	Leonardo Sayago	2745	Marc Debailleul
2684	Leonhard Van Bakel	2335	Marc Doliszny
2734	Lepage	2420	Marc Doublet
2082	Les Ailes du Carladez	2156	Marc Fournier
908	Levy	2862	Marc Gosselin
2722	Leygnat	2543	Marc Lachasse
1752	Lezy	2290	Marc Londieres
1642	Lion Richard	2528	Marc Mariel
1359	Lionel Louineau	2366	Marc Metrez
2396	Lionel Migy	2102	Marc Mijoin
1703	Lionel Pille	2457	Marc Perryman-Holt
2569	Loevenbruck	1458	Marc Perryman-Holt
2221	Loic Bourdon	1615	Marc Peyramaure
2824	Loic Pochet	1736	Marc Pollet
2045	Loravia	2081	Marc Tetue
2040	Lothar Heck	2737	Marc Trannoy
1380	Louis Collardeau	2587	Marc Van de Steene
1557	Louis de Dorlodot	2245	Marcin
1597	Louis Delvaux	1364	Frederic Dupuy
2337	Louis Kocet	1373	Jerome Margottin
2927	Louis Macabiau	1647	Marie -Paule Perny
1425	Louis Rodicq	2784	Marie-Ange Adolphe
1842	Louis-Pierre Frileux	1556	Marie-Helene Lay
2017	Luc Bontout	1540	Marie-Laure Cursolle
2291	Luc Bourry	2781	Marielle Bayer
1959	Luc Bruneaux de La salle	2616	Marlene Vauclair
1777	Luc Chauffournier	842	Martin R. Knup
1533	Luc de Tilly	1982	Martine Vidberg
1926	Luc Delhaye	2497	Martinez
1937	Luc Legoux	1862	Mary
2422	Luc Mutzig	2061	Mary Guillaume
2146	Luc Riviere	2594	Matthieu Moulierac
2559	Lucien Arnaud	2437	Maurice Brotonne
2637	Ludwig	1571	Maurice Cachot
2454	Lutz	2813	Max Bientz
1902	M Cippa	2751	Maxime Brackenier
2774	M. Bienvenu	1925	Maxime Tholance
2451	M. Charlat	1659	Mayeul Kawi-Thevenin
2808	M. Cippa	2239	Mbou Pamphyle
2759	M. Debailleul	1610	Mcihel Guezou
2077	M. Gagnard	2639	Melchiori
2939	M. Galliot	2507	Mengin

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

2083	Mercier	2548	Michele Barette-Dedock
839	Meureuze Dominique EMF	2395	Michigan
2529	Michael Muller	1995	Mikula, Tahiti
2903	Michel Bazot	2133	Millot
1998	Michel Bostvoronnois	1854	Mohamadi Godeau
2753	Michel Brun	1357	Mohammed Azzouni
2552	Michel Castelin	2391	Montigny Remi
1805	Michel Chapuis	2693	Morosini
1646	Michel Chopinet	1461	Motte jl
1429	Michel Coignet	1397	Moussier
2638	Michel David	2114	Mouzo Candido
1375	Michel De Pooter	2883	Nadeau
2856	Michel Debernardy	2928	Nathalie Monier
1541	Michel Desbats	2882	Nauleau
2278	Michel Doux	901	Neil Broughton
2288	Michel et Francoise Cavigioli	902	Neil Broughton
2703	Michel Firmenich	1637	Nelly Brun
1724	Michel Folschweiller	1945	Nic Bontout
1720	Michel Fressenge	2434	Nicola
2938	Michel Galliot	1271	Nicolas Cottin Descruey
1879	Michel Gardini	2775	Nicolas Feminier
2152	Michel Garin-Davet	2298	Nicolas Ferchaud
2147	Michel Garneret	2088	Nicolas Nolhier
1409	Michel Grezaud	2225	Nicolas Sauliere
2182	Michel Haillant	1418	Nicoloux, France
2169	Michel Hidalgo	2153	Noblet
1708	Michel Hirmke	2287	Norbert Celerier
1809	Michel Jouet	2707	Norbert Lasse
2118	Michel Kayser	2668	Norbert Lemberg
2189	Michel Kieffer	1601	Notelet
1378	Michel Lebon	2284	Novel
2108	Michel Lefevre	2780	O. Louchart
1671	Michel Legout	2065	O. Recoing
2139	Michel Lerda	1881	Olivier Bonvin
2455	Michel Lopez	2348	Olivier Caffy
2042	Michel Maison	2098	Olivier Catta
2825	Michel Marc Michel	1593	Olivier Caullery
1846	Michel Marque	856	Olivier de Gavelle
2940	Michel Maugard & Artis	2292	Olivier Dirix
2075	Michel Mege	2881	Olivier Donati
2328	Michel Montagnon	2130	Olivier Flahaut
1539	Michel Morillon	2013	Olivier Marchand
1868	Michel Orsini	1832	Olivier Moule
2198	Michel Pache	2058	Olivier Orssaud
1894	Michel Pantani	2263	Olivier Pellet
2896	Michel Perrin	2727	Olivier Prouvoust
1426	Michel Picq	2101	Olivier Ros
2339	Michel Piron	1888	Ollivier Didier
2893	Michel Raynaud	2852	P. Becker
1856	Michel Rieux	2506	P. Bertholon
1574	Michel Ruaux	2958	P. Francey
2871	Michel Saily	2308	P. Hennon
2626	Michel Sauvagnac	1536	P. Legros
1604	Michel Vettillard	1989	P. Lochar
1923	Michel Vignaud	2845	P. Lorenzo
1390	Michel Viriot Sarl-Ulm	2682	P. Maerten

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1501	P. Morichaud	1617	Perrier
2652	Pablo Bergel	840	Peter Reeves
1905	Pacal Lecoursonnais	1871	Petitedemange
2236	Parise	2195	Peul Geneste
2211	Pascal Abbet	2582	Philippe Marrocco
2452	Pascal Balet	2503	Philippe Andre
2658	Pascal Bohr	1740	Philippe Bernard
2235	Pascal Bossi	2000	Philippe Bertholon
2201	Pascal Chaillou	1422	Philippe Boyer
2267	Pascal Dauger	1913	Philippe Bralet
2078	Pascal Delamotte	2678	Philippe Capelle
2379	Pascal Denneulin	1584	Philippe Ceccato
1450	Pascal Faure	2853	Philippe Champavel
2792	Pascal Guyon	1529	Philippe Chartrain
2945	Pascal Zanin	1872	Philippe Chatagnier
2445	Pascale Dolques	2850	Philippe Christian
1850	Patrice Briant	1974	Philippe Corroy
1518	Patrice Cursolle	2796	Philippe Dessaigne
1779	Patrice Guyot	2085	Philippe Dhotte
2204	Patrice Jeannez	2404	Philippe Dhotte
2673	Patrick Achard	2818	Philippe Dufay
2735	Patrick Barthomeuf	1718	Philippe Gaudebert
2625	Patrick Bourrut	1978	Philippe Guyon
2670	Patrick Chantrel	2357	Philippe Haulait
2390	Patrick Choisine	1679	Philippe Henon
2376	Patrick Crocis	1707	Philippe Hoegy
2838	Patrick De Baillenx	1591	Philippe L.M. Soupart
2859	Patrick de Verneuil	1521	Philippe Labbe
2590	Patrick Evrad	2166	Philippe Lion
2880	Patrick Francey	1985	Philippe Lochard
2518	Patrick Gaultier	2055	Philippe Marocco
2233	Patrick Gillet	2750	Philippe Muller
2493	Patrick Lance	2756	Philippe Nazal
2469	Patrick Le Breton	2109	Philippe Neykens
2227	Patrick Mellot	2056	Philippe Sabourin
2415	Patrick Moos	1812	Philippe Wolles
1755	Patrick Morvan	1655	Philippe Meriglier
1641	Patrick Musique	2714	Piarry Chantal
2557	Patrick Oettinger	2514	Pichancourt
1506	Patrick Pinard	1717	Pierre Armandie
1629	Patrick Renaud Roudeau	2525	Pierre Auge
2255	Patrick Rouzaut	2648	Pierre Beard
1948	Patrick Simeoni	2380	Pierre Becker
1992	Patrick Solal	1474	Pierre Belliol
1555	Patrick Verdier	2424	Pierre Bobrosky
2965	Patrick Verneuil de	1548	Pierre Bregeron
1588	Patrick Villers	2841	Pierre Brogne
2772	Patrick Wuerzer	1874	Pierre Buvat
841	Paul Collins	2416	Pierre Caggini
2377	Paul Dellac	2138	Pierre Chamayou
2405	Paul Henry Bernard	2120	Pierre Chatel
833	Paul Robshaw	2011	Pierre Corneloup
2830	Paul-Emmanuel Dupois	2442	Pierre Daire
1224	Paulo Lemos	2747	Pierre Dalmau
1225	Paulo Lemos	1828	Pierre Darras
2705	Pellereau	1467	Pierre Favreau

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1884	Pierre Galetti	2258	Rene Sanceo
2175	Pierre Graupner	721	Rene Verschueren
1961	Pierre Lejuif	2867	Rene Viguie
2657	Pierre Leuenberger	1823	Reusse
1414	Pierre Lorenzo	2079	Reynaud Chappaz
1781	Pierre Maerten	2158	Reynaud-Chappaz
2636	Pierre Marguier	2788	Reze
2151	Pierre Marmeth	2755	Ribet
1465	Pierre Metoz	1514	Richard Colle
2738	Pierre Michel Jacomet	1386	Richard Faure
2302	Pierre Milot	1630	Richard Olivier
2345	Pierre Plouviez	2439	Richard Rodriguez
2070	Pierre Soragna	1907	Richard Thorin
1699	Pierre Stalder	1500	Robert Barthel
2528	Pierre -Yves Lallement	2869	Robert Barthel
1480	Pierre-Alain Aubert	1873	Robert Bourbon
2849	Pierre-Jean Badiou	1622	Robert Cadot
2046	Pierre-Jean Latour	2494	Robert Dousse
1445	Pierre-Joseph Delvaux	1517	Robert Guillot
2641	Pierre-Marie Unal	1941	Robert le Borgne
2314	Pieters Stan	2412	Robert Tortorici
2010	Pl. Genillier	2231	Robin Coudeyras
2334	Prof. Dr. Jan Kerstens	1407	Robin Gille
2470	Proix Francois	2768	Roche
2632	Qulichini	2535	Rodier
720	R P F Alexander	2136	Rodolphe Claudeaux
2819	R. Athenour	2386	Rodriguez
2963	R. Barthel	1859	Roger Courtaban
1917	R. Bontemps	2203	Roger Richard
1595	R. Marchetto	1761	Roger Toulet
2955	R. Tores	1369	Roger Vallais
2479	R.Dubost	1653	Roger Vaucamp
2333	R.Guillaume	1826	Roland Coquaze
2730	Raoul D'Orange	2443	Roland Cros
2080	Raphael Neouze	2226	Roland Daquin
2786	Raymond Brize	1551	Roland Grozinger
1746	Raymond Delaunay	2901	Roland Heinrich
2534	Raymond Vilers	2216	Roland Jantot
1732	Regis Cardineau	1663	Roland Lequesne
2562	Regis Comte	1453	Rolland Loubiere
2540	Regis Deschamps	2043	Romain Biegnon
2330	Regis Duparc	1596	Romero Fernando
1973	Reiner Roehlich	2863	Romuald Midavaine
1632	Remi Naveau	1864	Ron van Broekhoven
1785	Remy Bucheler	2605	Rosnet
1502	Remy Carnelos	2690	Rousseau
2425	Renaud Bournonville	2709	Roussel
2729	Renaudin	2821	Roustan
1788	Rene Bonin	1410	Rusciani
2194	Rene Fouvet	2854	S. Barthelmy
2476	Rene Georges	2721	S. Durantel
1570	Rene Hauser	2929	S. Lacombe
2009	Rene Juppet	2946	S. Pournin
2241	Rene Martini	2500	S. Vandamme
2277	Rene Poli	2564	S. Whittaker
1793	Rene Rialland	2184	S. Simon

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1684	Sacha Bravo	2249	Steve Coibon
1824	Sallaberry	2104	Steven Dakin
2387	Sandrine Chamvoux	2149	Suzan Badin
1794	Santalucia	2202	Sylvain Andrieu
1554	Santos Morales	2157	Sylvain Lacombe
2687	Saudraix	1915	Sylvie Colombier
2622	Sauveniere	1495	T. Touzeau
2551	Sauverniere	2362	Telleria
2388	Schneider	2778	Terrats
2498	Schneider	2732	Tet. Menager
2336	Sclacmeuld	2501	Teurnier
2394	Sebastien Comte	2956	Th. Vilet
2607	Sebastien Deshayes	1745	Thibaut Cammermanns
2252	Sebastien Gehin	2358	Thierry
2106	Sebastien Lalanne	2875	Thierry Barbier
1415	Sebastien Michaux	1878	Thierry Berney
2299	Sellier	2749	Thierry Blin
2531	Serge Bieber	2715	Thierry Charrier
2259	Serge Cholot	2878	Thierry Claisse
2173	Serge Durantel	1759	Thierry Couderc
1664	Serge Lavarenne	2932	Thierry Delbauche
1639	Serge Lefebvre	2697	Thierry Faivre
2029	Serge Poletto	1408	Thierry Girault
1938	Serge Rachete	2417	Thierry Lacassagne
2074	Serge Reclus	1564	Thierry Le Ninan
2847	Serge Scheurer	2383	Thierry Leger
2107	Serge Seguret	2295	Thierry Ligtot
1792	Serge Unterreiner	2542	Thierry Loudiere
1489	Serge Vandamme	2034	Thierry Montegut
2486	Serge Varin	2062	Thierry Moreau
2890	Sete Ulm	2807	Thierry Pradines
2435	Siamart Xavier	1668	Thierry Steiner
2323	Silvain Chabrol	2601	Thierry Thieboux
2572	Silvair Services	2895	Thierry Vilet
838	Simart Xavier	1638	Thierry Vigoureux
1776	Simeoni	1353	Thomas
844	Simon	1354	Thomas
1583	Simon Christian	1924	Thomas Boyer
2393	Skadarka	1479	Thomas Gourgner
1863	Slama	1949	Thomas Laurent
2665	Souchaud	1383	Thomeret
1411	Soulas	1442	Tilquin
2944	St. Zeltner	1451	Tirel
1970	Stan Zeltner	1482	Toni Rodriguez
1599	Stanislas Leszczynski	1371	Tony Dhont
1980	Stehlin	1618	Tourneux
2692	Stephan Bierberg	2266	Tournier
2934	Stephan Hesse	2342	Travers
1887	Stephane Bianchin	2285	Trier
2833	Stephane Boubee	2265	Turmel
1798	Stephane Gauer	2539	Ulrich Knaup
1943	Stephane Guyon	2115	V. Hamo
2338	Stephane Jarreau	1649	Val Romain
2392	Stephane Leboeuf	1852	Valains
1652	Stephane Ricol	2110	Valerie Lescanne
2923	Stephane Samaille	1436	Van Ettinger

List of commentors with identical response to Question 3

1395	Vatot
2686	Viallard
1372	Vignerou, France
2676	Vincent Barraud
2544	Vincent Beaufrere
2325	Vincent Bisiaux
1391	Vincent Cartigny
1553	Vincent Hubert
2119	Vincent Joannes
2127	Vincent Trousselard
2421	Vlad Andrei
1485	W. Walschaerts
2489	Wardavoir
1566	Wener Iten
2702	Werner Fischer
1837	Werner Roelandt
1786	Wilde
1711	Wilfried Coppens
2623	William Bouland
1799	William Lederer
2064	Winer
2937	Xavier Allaire
1739	Xavier Bovard
1456	Xavier Brabander
2253	Xavier Cordin
2953	Xavier Ranz
2952	Xavier Simart
2950	Y. Lavigne
1904	Y. Ravassard
2779	Yannick Jiquel
843	Yannick Lavigne
2248	Yohann Ozenne
1513	Yvan Chatonnet
1650	Yvan Rossignol
1621	Yves Chaussier
1672	Yves de La Casiniere
1909	Yves Fossiz
2281	Yves Hubert
1620	Yves Klein
2262	Yves Lebrun
2143	Yves Libert
2773	Yves Lucas
2025	Yves Meyer
2943	Yves Muscat
1475	Yves Nouvier
1674	Yves Perrine
2816	Yves Petillot
1778	Yves Suire
2279	Yvon Rousseau
1729	Z. Przybylo