

European Aviation Safety Agency

COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT (CRD) TO NOTICE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT (NPA) 2008-22B & 2009-02D

for an Agency Opinion on a Commission Regulation establishing the Implementing Rules for authority requirements

and

draft Decision of the Executive Director of the European Aviation Safety Agency on Acceptable Means of Compliance and Guidance Material related to the Implementing Rules for authority requirements

"Authority Requirements"

CRD c.2 - Comment Response Summary Table Part-AR

Table of Contents

Ι	DRAFT OPINION PART-AR	10
	SECTION I- GENERAL	10
	AR.GEN.101 Scope	10
	AR.GEN.105Definitions	11
	AR.GEN.110 Safety Programme	12
	AR.GEN.115 Oversight capabilities	12
	AR.GEN.115 Oversight documentation	13
	AR.GEN.120 Means of compliance	14
	AR.GEN.125 Informationto the Agency	
	AR.GEN.135 Immediate reaction to a safety problem	17
	AR.GEN.140 Flexibility provisions	19
	SECTION II- MANAGEMENT	22
	AR.GEN.200 Management system	
	AR.GEN.205 Use of qualified entities	
	AR.GEN.210 Changes in the management system	
	AR.GEN.220 Record-keeping	27
	SECTION III- OVERSIGHT, CERTIFICATION AND ENFORCEMENT	
	AR.GEN.300 Continuing oversight	30
	AR.GEN.305 Oversight programme	
	AR.GEN.310Initial certification procedure – organisations	35
	AR.GEN.315 Procedure for issue, revalidation, renewal or change of licences, ratings, certificates or attestations - persons	
	AR.GEN.330 Changes – organisations	38
	AR.GEN.345Declaration organisations	39
	AR.GEN.350 Findings and corrective actions – organisations	40
	AR.GEN.355 Enforcement measures - persons	45
	Section IV - Ramp inspections of aircraft of operators under the regulator	
	oversight of another State	
	AR.GEN.405 Scope	
	AR.GEN.410 Definitions	
	AR.GEN.415 General	
	AR.GEN.420 Prioritisation criteria	
	AR.GEN.425 Collection of information	
	AR.GEN.430 Qualification of ramp inspectors	
	AR.GEN.435 Conduct of Ramp inspections	
	AR.GEN.440 Categorisation of Findings	
	AR.GEN.445 Follow up actions on findings	
	AR.GEN. 450 Grounding of aircraft	
	AR.GEN. 455 Reporting	
	AR.GEN. 460 Agency coordination tasks	/0

Part-AR

	AR.GEN.465 Annual Report	
_	AR.GEN.470 Information to the public	
Su	bpart OPS - Specific requirements related to air operations	72
	Section I - Certification of commercial air operators	
	AR.OPS.100 Issue of the air operator certificate	
	AR.OPS.105 Code-share agreement	
	AR.OPS.110 Lease agreements	78
	Section II - Approvals	79
	AR.OPS.200 Specificapproval procedure	79
	AR.OPS.205 Minimum equipment list approval	80
SU	BPART FCL - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO FLIGHT CREW LICENSING	82
	SECTION 1 - GENERAL	82
	AR.FCL.120Record-keeping	_
	· ·	
	AP ECL 200 Procedure for issue, revalidation and renewal of a license, rating or	
	AR.FCL.200 Procedure for issue, revalidation and renewal of a licence, rating or certificate	
	AR.FCL.205 Monitoring of examiners	
	AR.FCL.210 Information for examiners	
	AR.FCL.215 Validity period	
	AR.FCL.220 Procedure for the re-issue of a pilot licence	
	AR.FCL.250 Limitation, suspension and revocation of licences, ratings and certificates	
	SECTION 3 - THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE EXAMINATIONS	90
	AR.FCL.300 Examination procedures	
Su	bpart CC - Specific requirements related to cabin crew	93
	Section I - Organisations providing cabin crew training or issuing cabin	
	crew attestations	93
	AR.CC.100Approval of organisations to provide cabin crew training or to issue cabin crew attestations	93
	Section II - Cabin crew attestations	94
	AR.CC.200 Procedures for the issue of a cabin crew attestation	94
	AR.CC.205 Format and specifications for cabin crew attestations	95
	AR.CC.215 Suspension or revocation of cabin crew attestations	95
SU	BPART ATO - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO APPROVED TRAINING	
	ORGANISATIONS (ATOs)	
	SECTION 1 - GENERAL	98
	AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme	98
	AR.ATO.120 Record-keeping	98
	SECTION 2 - FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE (FSTD) QUALIFICATIONS1	01
	AR.ATO.200 Initial evaluation procedure	

	AR.ATO.210 Issue of an FSTD qualification certificate	
	AR.ATO.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification	
	AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate	105
SUBPA	ART AEEMC - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AERO-MEDICAL	1 A E
	CENTRES (AeEMCs)	
	TION I - GENERAL	
	AR.AeMC.110 Initial certification procedure	
	AR.AeMC.150 Findings and corrective actions - AeMC	105
SUBPA	ART MED - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AERO-MEDICAL CERTIFICATION	106
SEC	TION 1 - GENERAL	
	AR.MED.120 Medical assessors	
	AR.MED.125 Referral to the licensingauthority	
	AR.MED.130 Medical certificate format	
	AR.MED.135 Aero-medical forms	
	AR.MED.145 GMP declaration to the competent authority	
	AR.MED.150 Record-keeping	
SEC	TION 2 - AERO-MEDICAL EXAMINERS (AMEs)	108
	AR.MED.200 Procedure for the issue of an AME certificate	108
	AR.MED.240 General medical practitioners (GMPs) acting as AMEs	109
	AR.MED.245 Continuing oversight of AMEs and GMPs	110
	AR.MED.250 Limitation, suspension and revocation of an aero-medical	
	examiner's certificate	
	AR.MED.255 Enforcement measures	
	TION 3 - MEDICAL CERTIFICATION	
	AR.MED.315 Review of examination reports	
	AR.MED.325 Secondary review procedure	112
	dices	
	APPENDIX I - STANDARD REPORT FORM	
	APPENDIX II- PROOF OF RAMP INSPECTION FORM	
	APPENDIX III- RAMP INSPECTION REPORT	
	APPENDIX IV- AIR OPERATOR CERTIFICATE	
	APPENDIX V - OPERATIONS SPECIFICATIONS	
	APPENDIX VI- LIST OF SPECIFIC APPROVALS	
	APPENDIX VII - STANDARD EASA FLIGHT CREW LICENCE FORMAT	
	APPENDIX VIII - STANDARD EASA FORMAT FOR CABIN CREW ATTESTATIONS.	
	APPENDIX IX - CERTIFICATE FOR APPROVED TRAINING ORGANISATIONS	135
	APPENDIX X - FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE QUALIFICATION CERTIFICATE	137
	APPENDIX XI - CERTIFICATE FOR AERO-MEDICAL CENTRES (AeMCs)	
	APPENDIX XII - STANDARD EASA MEDICAL CERTIFICATE FORMAT	
II	DRAFT DECISION AMC AND GM FOR PART-AR	
SUBP#	ART GEN -GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	143

Ра	rt-	AR

SECTION I - GENERAL	143
GM1-AR.GEN.120 Means of compliance	143
GENERAL	143
SECTION II - MANAGEMENT	143
AMC1-AR.GEN.200(a) Management system	
GENERAL	
GM1-AR.GEN.200(a) Management system	
GENERAL	
AMC1-AR.GEN.200(a)(1) Management system	
DOCUMENTED POLICIES AND PROCEDURES	146
AMC1-AR.GEN.200(a)(2) Management System	147
QUALIFICATION AND TRAINING - INSPECTORS	147
GM1-AR.GEN.200(a)(2) Management system	148
QUALIFICATION AND TRAINING - GENERAL	148
GM2-AR.GEN.200(a)(2) Management System	148
SUFFICIENT PERSONNEL	148
AMC1-AR.GEN.200(d) Management system	150
PROCEDURES AVAILABLE TO THE AGENCY	150
GM1-AR.GEN.205 Use of qualified entities	151
CERTIFICATION TASKS	151
AMC1-AR.GEN.220(a) Record-keeping	152
GENERAL	152
AMC 1-AR.GEN.220(a)(1);(a)(2);(a)(3)Record-keeping	152
COMPETENT AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	
AMC1-AR.GEN.220(a)(4);(a)(5) Record-keeping	153
ORGANISATIONS	
AMC1-AR.GEN.220(a)(6) Record-keeping	154
PERSONS	
AMC1-AR.GEN.220(a)(8) Record-keeping	
COOPERATIVE OVERSIGHT	
GM1-AR.GEN.220 Record-keeping	
GENERAL	
GM1-AR.GEN.220(a) Record-keeping	
MICROFILM AND OPTICAL STORAGE	
GM2-AR.GEN.220(a) Record-keeping	
ORGANISATIONS - DOCUMENTATION	156
SECTION III OVERSIGHT, CERTIFICATIONAND ENFORCEMENT	157
AMC1-AR.GEN.300-OPS Continuing oversight	157
GENERAL	157
GM1-AR.GEN.300-OPS Continuing oversight	157
GENERAL	157
AMC1-AR.GEN.300(a)(2)-OPS Continuing oversight	158
OPERATIONAL APPROVALS ISSUED BY NON-EU STATE OF REGISTRY	158
AMC1-AR.GEN.305(b) Oversight programme	159
OVERSIGHT PLANNING CYCLE	
AMC1-AR.GEN.305(b)(1);(c);(d)(2) Oversight programme	159

	AUDIT 159	
	AMC1-AR.GEN.305(b)(1);(c);(d)(1);(g) Oversight programme	160
	RAMP INSPECTIONS	160
	AMC1-AR.GEN.305(b)-OPS Oversight programme	160
	OPERATIONS AUDITS, INSPECTIONS AND OVERSIGHT PROCEDURES	161
	AMC1-AR.GEN.305(b)(1)-OPS Oversight programme	164
	AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS	164
	GM1-AR.GEN.305 Oversight programme	165
	INDUSTRY STANDARDS	165
	GM2-AR.GEN.305 Oversight programme	165
	COMPLEXITY OF THE ORGANISATION	165
	AMC1-AR.GEN.310(a) Initial certification procedure – organisations	166
	VERIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE	166
	AMC1-AR.GEN.310(a)-OPS Initial certification procedure - organisations	168
	APPLICATION FOR AN AIR OPERATOR CERTIFICATE	168
	AMC1-AR.GEN.315(a) Procedure for issue, revalidation, renewal or change of licences, ratings or certificates -persons	170
	VERIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE	
	AMC1-AR.GEN.330 Changes – organisations	170
	GENERAL	170
	AMC1-AR.GEN.330-OPS Changes-organisations	172
	CHANGE IN NOMINATED PERSONS	172
	GM1-AR.GEN.330 Changes - organisations	172
	CHANGE OF NAME OF THE ORGANISATION	172
	GM1-AR.GEN.345 Declaration- organisations	173
	VERIFICATION - DECLARATION	173
	GM1-AR.GEN.350 Findings and corrective actions – organisations	173
	COMPETENT AUTHORITY	173
	GM2-AR.GEN.350 Findings and corrective actions - organisations	174
	TRAINING	174
Se	ction IV – Ramp inspections	174
	AMC1- AR.GEN.415 General	
	Ramp inspections	
	AMC1- AR.GEN.415(b) General	
	suspected aircraft	
	AMC1- AR.GEN.415 (c)(1)General	
	Minimum annual quota	
	GM1- AR.GEN.415 (c)(1) General	
	Minimum annual quota	
	GM1-AR.GEN.420 (b)(2)(i) Prioritisation criteria of operators	
	List of operators	
	AMC1-AR.GEN.425 (a) Collection of information	
	Collection of information	
	AMC 1- AR.GEN 430 (a) Qualification of inspectors	
	Background knowledge and experience	
	AMC 1-AR.GEN.430(b)(1) Qualification of inspectors	

Eligibility Criteria	182
AMC1-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)	182
Senior Ramp inspectors	183
AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2) INITIAL TRAINING REQUIREMENTS	184
SCOPE AND DURATION OF INITIAL TRAINING	
On-the-job training	
AMC3-AR.GEN.430(b)(2) Qualification of inspectors	
Qualification of the inspector after successful completion of training	
GM1-AR.GEN.430(b)(2) Qualification of inspectors	
Privileges of experienced inspectors	
AMC1-AR.GEN.430(c)	
Criteria for the qualification of training organisations providing trainin to ramp inspectors	g
GENERAL	
AMC2-AR.GEN.430(c)	191
CRITERIA FOR TRAINING ORGANISATIONS	191
GM2-AR.GEN.430(c)	192
Checklist for the evaluation of a 3rd party Training Organisation	193
GM2-AR.GEN.430(c) Qualification of ramp inspectors	194
Checklist for the evaluation of a 3 rd party training organisation	194
AMC4-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)	195
Checklist On- the-Job Training of Inspectors	195
AMC1- AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i) Qualification of ramp inspectors	209
Syllabus of theoretical knowledge for ramp inspectors	210
216	
AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i)	222
Syllabus of practical training for ramp inspectors	222
AMC 1-AR.GEN.430(b)(3) Qualification of ramp inspectors	230
Recurrent training	230
AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(3) Qualification of ramp inspectors	231
Recent experience requirements	231
AMC1-AR.GEN.435(b) Conduct of Ramp inspections	231
Conduct of ramp inspections	232
GM1-AR.GEN.435(b) Conduct of Ramp inspections	233
Unreasonable delay	233
Subpart OPS - Specific requirements related to air operations	234
Section I - Certification of commercial air operators	234
AMC1-AR.OPS.100 Issue of the air operator certificate	
Demonstration flights	
GM1-AR.OPS.110 Lease agreements	
DRY LEASE-OUT	
Section II - Approvals	
AMC1-to AR.OPS.200 Specific approval procedure	
PROCEDURES FOR THE APPROVAL OF CARRIAGE OF DANGEROUS GC	ODS 235
AMC2-AR.OPS.200 Specific approval procedure	235

PROCEDURES FOR THE APPROVAL FOR reduced vertical separation	225
minima (RVSM) OPERATIONS	
GM1-AR.OPS.205 Minimum equipment list approval	
Extension of rectification intervals	
GM1-AR.OPS.210 Local area	237
SUBPART FCL - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO FLIGHT CREW	
LICENSING	. 238
SECTION II -LICENCES, RATINGS AND CERTIFICATES	. 238
AMC1AR.FCL.205Monitoring of examiners	238
QUALIFICATION OF INSPECTORS	238
SECTION III - THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE EXAMINATIONS	. 239
AMC1AR.FCL.300 Examination procedures	239
General 239	
AMC1-AR.FCL.300(b)Examination procedures	240
THEORETICAL KNOWLEGDE EXAMINATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL	
LICENCES AND INSTRUMENT RATINGS	241
EXAM LENGTH, TOTAL QUESTIONS AND DISTRIBUTION OF QUESTIONS .	247
SUBPART CC - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO CABIN CREW	. 248
SECTION I – ORGANISATIONS PROVIDING CABIN CREW TRAINING OR	
ISSUING CABIN CREW ATTESTATIONS	. 248
AMC1-AR.CC.100(b) Approval of organisations to provide cabin crew training	
or to issue cabin crew attestations	248
PERSONNEL CONDUCTING EXAMINATIONS AND CHECKING	248
SUBPART ATO - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO APPROVED	
TRAINING ORGANISATIONS (ATOs)	. 250
SECTION 1 - GENERAL	. 250
AMC1-AR.ATO.120 Record-keeping	
FSTDs	
AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme	
GENERAL	
SECTION 2 - FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE (FSTD)	
QUALIFICATIONS	. 251
AMC1-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	251
ASSESSMENT PROCESS LEADING TO THE ISSUE OF AN FSTD	
QUALIFICATION	
AMC 2 to AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	
GENERAL	
AMC3-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	
INITIAL EVALUATION	
AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	
COMPOSITION OF THE EVALUATION TEAM	
AMC5-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	
FSTD evaluation report for initial and recurrent evaluation	
GM1-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	259

INITIAL EVALUATION	259
AMC1-AR.ATO.200(a)(3) Initial evaluation procedure	260
FUNCTIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS - SUGGESTED TEST ROUTINE	260
GM1- AR.ATO.200(a)(3) Initial evaluation procedure	263
GENERAL	
AMC1- AR.ATO.210 Issue of an FSTD qualification certificate	263
BASIC INSTRUMENT TRAINING DEVICE (BITD)	263
AMC1-AR.ATO.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification	263
GENERAL	263
AMC2-AR.ATO.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification	264
COMPOSITION OF THE EVALUATION TEAM	264
AMC 1-AR.ATO.230 Changes	265
GENERAL	265
GM-1-AR.ATO.230 Changes	266
QUALITY OF NEW TECHNOLOGY OR SYSTMES	266
AMC1-AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate	266
GENERAL	266
AMC2-AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate	267
SUSPENSION AND LIMITATION	
AMC3AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification	
certificate	
REVOCATION	267
SUBPART MED - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AERO-MEDICAL	
CERTIFICATION	
AMC1-AR.MED.120 Medical assessor	
EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE	
AMC2-AR.MED.120 Medical assessor	
TASKS	
AMC1 AR.MED.125 Referral to the licensingauthority	
Referral to the licensingauthority	
AMC1-AR.MED.135 Aero-medical forms	
Standard forms	268

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
I DRAFT OPINION PART-AR ANNEX 1 TO IMPLEMENTING REGULATION PART AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS (AR)				phanee
SUBPART GEN - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS				
SECTION I- GENERAL	more definitions to be included. Three comments request the State Safety Programme to be mandated, possibly after amending Article 2 of the BR. One commenter expressed disagreement with the provisions in this section. Two argued that the legal basis was missing for it. One expressed its wish that new rules should decrease paper work.	to the cover regulation in the final version). In the future, AMCs/GMs will be added to pro-		
AR.GEN.101Scope	MS:20 IND:8 IA:7 INDIV:2 1. Commenters specified that competent authorities should include EASA. 2. Three Member States question the legal basis for Authority Requirements. Comments were made to point	2. AR derives from the Basic Regulation. As for the role and obligations included for the		
		eas under the Basic Regulation.		
	Commenters wished to mention certificates instead of approvals. Comments requested to make clearer that the initial scope is limited to the FCL and OPS ar-	The text has been reviewed and clarified.		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		eas.			phanec
			Not accepted: the new paragraph addresses all air operations, thus including noncommercial operators of other than complex-motor powered aircraft.		
State	Regulation establishes administrative requirements to be followed by the Agency and Members for the implementation and enforcement of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementules, and specifically regarding:		New text provided – In the final version this text will be included in the cover regulation to Part-AR.		
1.	air operations;				
2.	personnel requirements; and				
3.	ramp inspections of aircraft of operators under the regulatory oversight of another State.				
AR.G	EN.105Definitions		In the final version, this will be transferred to the cover regulation for Part-AR and will be completed with definitions for all subparts to Part-AR.		
(a)	Acceptable Means of Compliance are non-binding standards adopted by the Agency to illustrate means to establish compliance with the Basic Regulation and its implementing rules.		The definition of AMC has been added for consistency (based on		
(b)	Alternative means of compliance are those that propose an alternative to an existing Acceptable Means of Compliance.		M.B.103). Definitions for alternative and		
(c)	Additional means of compliance are those that propose new means to establish compliance with the Basic Regulation and its implementing rules for which no associated Acceptable Means of Compliance have been adopted by the Agency.		additional means of compliance added to complement AR.GEN.120. Definitions on audit and inspec-		
(d)	Audit means a systematic, independent and documented process for obtaining evidence and evaluating it objectively to determine the extent to which requirements are complied with.		tion added in response to comments requesting clarification of		
(e)	Guidance material means non-binding material developed by the Agency that helps to illustrate the meaning of a requirement or specification and is used to support the interpretation of regulations and Acceptable Means of Compliance.		the meaning of audit and inspection; they are based on ISO 9000:2005 definitions.		
(f)	Inspection means an independent documented conformity evaluation by observation and				

Part-AR					4 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	judgement accompanied as appropriate by measurement, testing or gauging, in order to verify compliance with applicable requirements.				рнансе
			NB: A definition for CS was also requested, but this is already described in the BR.		
AR.G	SEN.110 Safety Programme		This paragraph was added fol- lowing comments raised for various sections within Part-AR requesting that a reference to the safety programme be added. In the final version, this will be transferred to the cover regula- tion for Part-AR		
(a)	The Member States and the Agency shall establish as afety programme aimed at continuous safety improvement. This programme shall provide for a State safety plan, developed in conjunction with the safety plan of the Agency, identifying the safety priorities in terms of safety promotion and oversight.		AMCs/GMs providing more detail will be prepared in a future rulemaking task.		
AR.G	SEN.115 Oversight capabilities		Paragraphs (a) to (d), addressed to Member States, will be transferred to the cover regulation.		
(a)	Member States shall designate one or more entities as the competent authority(ies) with allocated responsibilities for the issue, continuation, change, suspension, limitation or revocation of organisation certificates, and for the issue, revalidation, renewal, change limitation, suspension or revocation of a licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation, as well as for the oversight of persons and organisations subject to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules.		This new paragraph is proposed to be added in order to complement changes introduced in Section 3. It should provide the competent authority with the necessary powers to monitor the activities they are responsible for. It is based on the Basic Regulation and is also in line with what exists in the SES regulation.		
			The Review Group supported the new paragraph proposed by EASA and suggested that this new paragraph be added in AR.GEN.Section 1 and not Section.3 as initially planned. The Review Group further advised that all provisions addressed to Member States be singled out and grouped in one section. Text further amended for consistency with AR.CC.		
(b) If	a Member State designates more than one entity as competent authority, the areas of competence of each competent authority shall be clearly defined in terms of responsibilities and geographic limitation. Coordination shall be established between those entities to ensure effective oversight of all organisations and persons subject to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules within their respective remits.		Text originally defined in AMC1 AR.GEN.015 (following peer review) and further amended to clarify area of competence (responsibilities and geographic limitations).		

Part-AR

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(c) M	lember States shall ensure that the competent authority(ies) has(ve) the necessary capability to ensure the oversight of all persons and organisations covered by their oversight programme, including sufficient resources to fulfil the requirements of this Regulation.		Following advice of the Review Group, EASA further amended the text to clarify that these provisions apply to all organisations subject to oversight.	ded ese	
(d)	Personnel authorised by the competent authority to carry out certification and/or oversight tasks shall be empowered to perform at least the following acts: (1) examine the relevant records, data, procedures and any other material relevant to the execution of the required task; (2) take copies of or extracts from such records, data, procedures and other material; (3) ask for an oral explanation on site; (4) enter relevant premises, lands or means of transport; (5) perform audits, inspections, including ramp inspections and unannounced inspections; (6) take enforcement measures as appropriate.		As suggested by the Review Group, EASA checked these provisions against the amendment being drafted for Regulation (EC) No. 736, to ensure consistency. No overlap exists with the provisions as per proposed amendment to Regulations (EC) No. 736. AMCs/GMs providing more detail will be prepared in a future rulemaking task.		Annex 6 - Part I - App. 5 § 3.2
Such	acts shall be carried out in compliance with the legal provisions of the relevant Member State.				
AR.G	GEN.115 Oversight documentation				
comp	egislative acts, standards, rules, technical publications and related documents required by the petent authority to perform its tasks and to discharge its responsibilities shall be made available elevant personnel.		This new provision complements the paragraphs on oversight capabilities (AR.GEN.115), it will remain in AR.GEN.Section 1, therefore the rule reference remains AR.GEN.115.		

A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
AR.G	EN.120Means of compliance	the legal basis for these rules. 2. Some commenters wished the CSs to be dealt with by the same provisions. 3. A commenter suggested that EASA could publish the alternative MC without an NPA. 4. Some commenters argued that the alternative MC they may propose should not be published. 5. One commenter argued that fulfilling an AMC would make it hard law.	 CSs are different from AMCs, and are handled in a different way (cf. article 18 of the Basic Regulation, Part 21 and Agency procedures). It is important to ensure consultation before the alternative 	8.5 Agency: BR Articles 10 and 24	
(a)	The Agency shall develop Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC) that may be used to establish compliance with Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules.	Some commenters argued that this duplicated the Basic Regulation.			
	When the Acceptable Means of Compliance are complied with, the related requirements of the implementing rules are met.	specifying that the applicant should demonstrate compliance.	 Demonstrating compliance is already specified by OR as a general requirement. The addition of "voluntary" is not felt necessary as this is ob- 		

A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b)	Alternative Means of Compliance may be used to establish compliance with the implementing rules.	A commenter thought that this is not in line with Regulation (EC) No 216/2008.	The Agency does not agree with this statement (see above and explanatory note).		
	An organisation may use alternative means of compliance only when compliance with the implementing ruleshas been established.				
(c)	The competent authority shall evaluate all alternative means of compliance proposed by an organisationsubject to certification, by analysing the documentation provided and, if considered necessary, conducting an inspection of the organisation.	"safety assessment" was not a good wording, especially as some AMCs are not necessarily subject to a safety assess-	2. The implementing rule will not specify a timeframe, as it is defined by the administrative rules of each competent author-		
	When the competent authority finds that the alternative means of compliance are in accordance with the implementing rules, it shall without undue delay:	Some comments requested what happens in case the competent authority rejects the alternative MC.	In case an organisation disagrees with its competent au-		
	(1) notify the applicant that the alternative means of compliance may be implemented and, if applicable, amend the approval or certificate of the applicant accordingly; and	for EASA feedback before noti- fying the organisation, or even requiring an EASA approval to	Except in the case when it is the competent authority, the Agency can not approve the use of alternative AMCs. It is in any case the sole decision of the competent authority. It can not be prevented to do so, awaiting EASA's assessment. A possible negative assessment of EASA can not render the approval invalid.		
		cation by a single competent authority. It is suggested that			
	(2) notify the Agency of their content, including copies of all relevant documentation; and	Some commenters wished this notification to be made on request.			
	(3) make publicly available information that an alternative means of compliance was accepted.		New item on information to be provided on the existence of a new alternative means of compliance. GM is added to clarify what information is to be pro-		

A: Ru	ile	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
			vided.		рнансе
(d)	achieve compliance with Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules it shall make publicly available information that an alternative or additional means of compliance is used. The competent authority shall without undue delay notify the Agency. The competent authority shall provide the Agency with a full description of the alternative or additional means of compliance, including any revisions to procedures that may be relevant, as well as an assessment demonstrating that the implementing rules are met.	tional" to be explained. 2. Some commenters thought that this was a duplication of (c). 3. A commenter expressed that the rule should not refer to national procedures.	2. No duplication is identified. (c) applies to the case when the organisation takes the initiative and (d)when it is the MS that takes the initiative. 3. The Agency agrees to be more general when referring to procedures. New item on information to be provided on the existence of a new alternative means of compliance. GM is added to clarify what information is to be provided.		
		decision. 2. A commenter suggested using a network of specialists instead. 3. Another commenter argued	Agency will take into Noted: The Agency will collect the information on alternative and additional means of compliance to support the rulemaking and standardisation processes. There will be no individual Agency re-		
AR.G	EN.125Informationto the Agency	MS:2 IND:3 IA:3 INDIV:3 Comments stated that this is already covered somewhere else, while others stated that there was no legal basis for it. Some comments favoured a provision which would only be a state safety programme.		BR Article 15	
		RG comments suggested deletion of (a), as AR.GEN.200 is considered sufficient.			
(a)	The competent authority shall without undue delay notify the Agency in case of any problems with the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules.	 Some comments favoured giving a specific timeframe. Comments also stated that 	1. An AMC may give further guidance on the timeframe in the future.		

		Part-AR				
A: R	tule Cule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b)	The competent authority shall provide the Agency with safety significant stemming from the occurrence reports it has received.	information	this information should not be given to EASA only.	2. The requirement is to inform EASA, as EASA will need to improve the rules, if appropriate. This does not prevent from informing anybody else, as appropriate. This will be specified in a specific GM. Following the Review Group comment that "difficulty" was not clear enough, the word was changed.		phanee
			ing coordination of safety promotion programmes, outlining the crucial role of EASA in this respect. Some comments considered that publication means should			
			MS:24 IND:4 IA:2 INDIV:3			
				This paragraph is deleted as it overlaps with other paragraphs of AR.GEN.		
AR.	GEN.135Immediate reaction to a safety problem		MS:24 IND:12 IA:3 INDIV:4 Commenters asked the competent authority to be further identified. They asked EASA to refer to ESSI. The wording mandatory safety information seemed unclear to several commenters, some of them requesting a definition. Several commented that the paragraph should address "Immediate reaction to a safety problem." One comment	tent authority.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	suggested the use of the phrase "safety directive" instead. An explicit reference to Article 14 was also suggested. Some commenters argued that this would be an additional requirement to Article 14 of the BR and that it may pose problems, for instance as far as the scope of those safety directives is concerned. They suggested not making it an obligation. Another comment questioned the legal status of mandatory safety information. A comment stated that referring to competent authorities instead of to the Member State could be confusing.			
(a) The competent authority shall implement a system to appropriately collect, analyse and disseminate safety information.				
(a) The Agency shall implement a system to appropriately analyse any safety information received and without undue delay provide to Member States and the Commission any information, including recommendations or corrective actions to be taken, necessary for them to react in a timely manner to a safety problem involving products, parts, appliances, persons or organisations subject to Regulation (EC) No216/2008 and its implementing rules.	this information could be re-	The amended text considers the notification to be done to all persons, entities and organisations which need to comply.		
(c) Upon receiving the information referred to in (b), the competent authority shall take adequate measures to address the safety problem.				
(b) Measures taken under (c) shall immediately be notified to all persons or organisations which need to comply with them under Regulation (EC) No216/2008 and its implementing rules. The competent authority shall also notify those measures to the Agency and, when combined action is required, the other Member States concerned.	that the notification should be made to EASA and then that the Agency should notify the EC and other Member States. It was also suggested to re-	2. Notification is required instead.3. An immediate reaction to a safety problem implies reacting		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		3. One comment suggested a timeline instead of immediately.			
		MS:19 IND:27 IA:10 INDIV:3 Many commenters consider that this is covered by other EC regulations or directives dealing with occurrence reporting or with the European Central Repository. They argued that there is no need to have it in AR. If kept, then one commenter would like EASA role to be specified. Some comments raise concerns regarding the protection of information. A reporting form is requested.			
		Commenters asked for clarification.			
		A timeline is requested by one commenter.			
AR.G	SEN.140Flexibility provisions	MS:9 IND:7 IA:1 INDIV:2		BR Article 14 (a) Article 14.1 (b) Article 14.4 (c) Article 14.6	
		address Member States or	count, the paragraph was reviewed to ensure more explicit		
(a)	When applying Article 14 (1) of Regulation(EC) No 216/2008, the notification sent by the Member State shall include at least :		reference to the provisions of the Basic Regulation as well as to ensure applicability to every		
	(1) a description of the safety problem;	with Article 14 of the BR. Two others questioned the legal basis for it.	regulated product, part, appli-		
	(2) the affected requirements of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008and its implementing rules	One comment would like once issued long lasting privileges to be addressed.			
	(3) the identification of the product, part, appliance, person or organisation concerned;	A few commenters requested better time indications. The data were not considered			

	Part-AR					4 Oct 2010	
A: R	ule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
	(4)	the identification of the affected activity;	appropriate to all organisations (ANSPs, for instance). One comment requested "similar exemptions" to be explained. One commenter stated that the level of safety can not be measured.			phanee	
	(5)	the action required and its justification;					
	(6)	the time limit for compliance with the action required; and					
	(7)	its applicability date.					
(b)		n applying article 14(4) of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008, the notification sent by the Mem- State shall include at least:					
	(1)	the requirement from which the exemption is granted;					
	(2)	the reason for granting the exemption;					
	(3)	the identification of the product, part, appliance, person or organisation concerned by the exemption;					
	(4)	the type of operation or the activity concerned;					
	(5)	the applicability date and the duration of the exemption;					
	(6)	a reference to previous similar exemptions, if any; and					
	(7)	evidence demonstrating that the level of safety is not adversely affected, including, if applicable, a description of the mitigation measures put in place to ensure this.					
(c)	Wher ber S	n applying article 14(6) of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008, the notification sent by the Mem- State shall include at least:					
	(1)	the requirements from which the Member State intends to derogate;					
	(2)	the reason for granting the derogation;					
	(3)	the identification of the product, part, appliance, person or organisation concerned by the derogation;					
	(4)	the conditions that the Member State has put in place to ensure that an equivalent level of protection is achieved; and					

Part-AR

	Part-AR 4 Oct 2010				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
(5) evidence demonstrating that an equivalent level of protection is ensured.					
	Comments were raised by several MS claiming that no legal basis existed for defining implementing rules and management system requirements for competent authorities.	The legal basis for the Commission to adopt Implementing rules for all the fields covered by Community competence are specified in each of the articles in Chapter II of the Basic Regulation where the substantive Community requirements applicable to civil aviation are defined. In the field of airworthiness, it is Article 5(5) that establishes that implementing rules shall be adopted by the Commission through the Comitology procedure. For pilot licensing this is defined in Article 7(6), for air operations in Article 8(5), for aircraft used by a third-country operator into, within or out of the Community, in Article 9(4), and for oversight and enforcement, in Article 10(5).			
		Part-AR is required to define the share of Member States in ensuring all tasks laid down in the Basic Regulation, namely paragraphs 5 and 6 of Basic Regulation Article 5, Articles 7 and 8, with reference to certification (issuing, maintaining, amending, limiting, suspending or revoking those certificates), as well as Article 10(5) with reference to oversight and enforcement. Part-AR is based on JAA Joint Implementation Procedures and Section B requirements in existing airworthiness regulations (Reg. 1702/2003 and			

A: Ru	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
SECT	ION II- MANAGEMENT	MS:1 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0			
		Several comments were raised on the absence of reference to the State Safety Programme as per ICAO SARPS on SMS.	Noted: A reference to the State Safety Programme has been added in AR.GEN.110 and an AMC will be added at a later stage. When the European Aviation Safety Programme has been accepted, a new Rulemaking task will be launched to amend Part-AR, as necessary.		
		Two commenters (IND) suggested that management systems of authorities should be formally certified (ISO).	Not accepted: There is no legal basis for mandating such man-		
AR.G (a)	The competent authority shall establish and maintain a management system, including as a	Other general comments were: 1. Align numbering between Part AR and Part	 Noted: Numbering will be aligned for PART.AR GEN and PART.OR GEN as far as practicable. Not accepted: All re- 	Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 Articles 7.6(b) 8.5(b)(d)(e)(f)	
	(1) documented policies and procedures to describe its organisation, means and methods to fulfil the requirements of this Part. The procedures shall be kept up-to-date and serve as the basic working documents within that competent authority for all related tasks;	OR (IND) 2. Add specific requirements for EASA to establish its own management system when it is the competent authority (IND) and to create an independent body of experts.	quirements do apply in the same way to EASA when it is the competent authority. Item (a)(2) also covers the determi- nation of experts required for EASA when it is the competent authority.		Annex 6 - Part I - App. 5 §§ 3.1; 4.1; 4.2; 6.1; 7.1; 8.1 Annex 6 - PART III App. 1 § 4
	(2) a sufficient number of personnel to perform its tasks and discharge its responsibilities. Such personnel shall be qualified to perform their allocated tasks and have the necessary knowledge, experience, initial and recurrent training to ensure continuing competence. A system shall be in place to plan the availability of personnel, in order to ensure the proper completion of all tasks;	1. Commenters (MS, IND) requested further clarification on the terms "sufficient", adequate", "necessary", claiming that rules should be	For clarity, a requirement for competent authorities for a system to plan the availability of personnel has been added. Regarding terms used, the com-		Annex 6 – Part I – App. 5 §§ 3.1, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4 Annex 6 – PART III App. 1 § 3.1

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	drafted clearly, simply and precisely. 2. One commenter (MS) requested changes in wording to point out the difference between qualification and competence.	mon dictionary definitions apply in all cases where no specific definition is provided in the implementing rules. Further clarification is provided in AMC 1 AR.GEN.200 (a) and GM 1 AR.GEN 200(a). The objective of training is added in line with comments raised on the corresponding AMC1 AR.GEN.200 (a)(2). 2. Not accepted: qualification is the term used in all Implementing Rules. The term "competence" is subjective. Terminology: agreed to refer to recurrent training both for Parts AR, OR and OPS. "Adequately" removed following internal review (qualified implies already that it should be adequate).		
(3) adequate facilities and office accommodation to perform the allocated tasks;	no comments related to this item			
(4) a function to monitor compliance of the management system with the relevant require ments and adequacy of the procedures, including the establishment of an internal aud process and a safety risk management process. Compliance monitoring shall include feedback system of audit findings to the senior management of the competent authorit to ensure implementation of corrective actions as necessary; and	suggested the inclusion of a requirement related	risk management process		Annex 6 - Part I - App. 5 § 5.1 Annex 6 - PART III App. 1 § 5.1
(5) a person or group of persons, ultimately responsible to the senior management of the competent authority for the compliance monitoring function.	е	Following advice of the Review Group, the text has been amended to clarify this relates to the compliance monitoring function defined in (a)(4). The provision defined in (b) is related to the operational activities of the competent authority.		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b)	The competent authority shall, for each field of activity, appoint one or more persons with the overall responsibility for the management of the relevant task(s).	 Several commenters (2/3 MS, 1/3 IND) claimed that the term "field of activity" was too vague. Two commenters (MS) proposed to replace "person responsible" by "manager". 	 Noted: The intent of this provision is to ensure the competent authority nominates sufficient management personnel so as to cover all tasks it has to perform in accordance with Part-AR. Depending on how the authority is organised, this may be e.g. per organisational department or per process. The use of a more specific term in lieu of "field of activity" would remove flexibility for competent authorities to nominate management personnel in line with the organisational pattern it has adopted. The term "manager" has not been used in PART.AR, in order to ensure flexibility. Different Competent Authorities may use different terms for this. Wording has been changed however for more clarity, and in line with existing implementing rules. The Review Group supported these changes. 		
(c)	The competent authority shall establish procedures for participation in a mutual exchange of all	Several commenters (MS) claimed that oversight of MS by the Agency should be dealt with in the Standardisation Regulation (Reg. (EC) No 736) exclusively.	PART.AR contains all relevant	Regulation (EC) No	
(c)	The competent authority shall establish procedures for participation in a mutual exchange of all necessary information and assistance of other competent authorities concerned, including on all findings raised and follow-up actions taken as a result of oversight of persons and organisations exercising activities in the territory of a Member State, but certified by or making declarations to the competent authority of another Member State.	requirements related to mutual exchange of information as per Basic Regulation Article 15 was suggested (MS).		216/2008 Article 15	
(d)	A copy of the procedures related to the management system and their amendments shall be made available to the Agency for the purpose of standardisation.	Several commenters (MS) claimed that this require- ment was lacking a legal basis and should therefore	Not accepted: To ensure consistency and coherence throughout PART.AR, it was preferred to introduce this	Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 Article 24	

		art-AR	C. D		4 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		be deleted. Other comments suggested that AR.GEN.200,205 and 220 should be GM. 2. Some commenters (MS) pointed out that electronic publishing of documents should be allowed. 3. It was also requested (IND) to add a paragraph requiring CAs to make public their policies and procedures without costs.	provision in PART.AR at the level of the implementing rules. 2. Noted: Electronic publishing: the current wording does not prevent it. 3. Not accepted: The national legislation on public access to documents is not in the Community competence, but regulated only at national level. Within the Community, Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 sets the rules concerning the access to documents that are held by institutions, including EASA. Availability of competent authorities' policies and procedures should therefore be dealt with under national law. 3. The Review Group proposed to limit the provisions in subparagraph (d) to "for the purpose of standardisation inspections". The Agency, in the context of the revision of Regulation (EC) No 736 proposes to introduce continuing monitoring of MS, which would require inputs on changes on a continuous basis. Therefore, the provision should not be limited to the purpose of standardisation inspections		pilatice
				Regulation (EC) No	
AR.C	GEN.205Use of qualified entities			216/2008 Article 13, Annex V	
(a)	Before making use of a qualified entity to perform its tasks and discharge its responsibilities, the competent authority shall assess the technical competence of that qualified entity for the performance of such tasks and its ability to meet the criteria defined in Annex V of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008. The result of this assessment shall be documented.	quested the consideration of the possible use by the compe- tent authority of qualified enti- ties in line with Basic Regula-	Accepted: Additional paragraph added. The Review Group first sug-		
(b)	The competent authority shall define the level of management authorised to accept a qualified entity to perform its tasks and discharge its responsibilities based on the assessment as defined under (a). Such acceptance shall be documented.	tion Article 13.	gested that this paragraph be deleted, as in its initial version it overlapped with the provisions		
(c)	Before making use of a qualified entity, the competent authority shall ensure that:		defined in Annex V to the Basic		
	(1) a contract is established between the competent authority and the qualified entity to clearly define the tasks to be performed and related liability coverage;		Regulation. The Agency considers that an implementing rule for Basic		
	 the qualified entity documents responsibilities and accountability with regards to such tasks; 		Regulation Annex V is required		

	Part-AR 4 Oct				
A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(d)	 (3) the qualified entity documents the procedures for the performance of tasks on behalf of the competent authority, for the use and guidance of its personnel concerned in carrying out such tasks; and (4) the qualified entity provides the competent authority with a copy of these procedures and any future amendments thereto. The competent authority shall ensure that the internal audit process and safety risk management process required by AR.GEN.200 (a)(4) cover all tasks performed by qualified entities. For this purpose, the qualified entity shall notify the competent authority of any changes affecting its capability to perform such tasks on behalf of the competent authority. 		and amended the text accordingly, also taking into account the general principles laid down in management Board Decision 02-2007 "guidelines for the allocation of tasks to national aviation authorities or qualified entities". Text further amended based on feedback received from the OPS Review Group.		phance
AR.G	EN.210 Changes in the management system	As for AR.GEN.200, several MS claimed that	Not accepted: To ensure consistency and coher-	Regulation (EC) No 216/2008	
(a)	The competent authority shall have effective control over all changes that affect its management system.	this requirement was lacking a legal basis and should therefore be deleted, together with the related AMCs. Other commenters (MS) claimed that this requirement should be part of Regulation (EC) No 736. Some commenters claimed that in the absence of initial approval of the Compe-	ence throughout	Articles 7.6(b); 8.5(b)(d)(e)(f) Article 24	
(b)	The competent authority shall update its management system relating to any change to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules in a timely manner to ensure effective implementation.	tent Authority's management system by the Agency, there could not be any obligation on Competent Authorities to notify changes. Others commented that the requirements in (b) (now (c)) and (c) (now incorporated in (a)) were overly prescriptive.	as defined in the BR and its implementing rules. Following advice of the Review Group, the text has been amended accordingly. 3. Partially accepted: A new paragraph (a) is added to address changes affecting the management system, previous paragraph (c) is incorporated as (b).		
(c)	The competent authority shall notify the Agency of changes affecting its capability to perform its tasks and discharge its responsibilities as defined in Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules.	 Other commenters (MS) requested a clarification of the meaning of "significant change". One comment was raised (IND) to add further requirements on change management. 	The Review Group advised not to address change management as a separate requirement.		
		Several comments (MS) pointed to the lack of clarity in "clarification or changes".	Noted: Following advice of the Review Group, the Agency agreed to delete this subpara-		

	P	art-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
			graph.		
			This item is incorporated into new (a) on changes.		
AR.GEN.220 Record-keeping		MS:14 IND:2 IA:5 INDIV:4 Several comments (MS) requested further clarification of the text and of the term "record".		216/2008	No
	competent authority shall establish a system of record-keeping providing for adequate ge, accessibility and reliable traceability of:	One comment raised (MS) to indicate that throughout the NPA, competent authority was always used in singular.	lent requirement in OR.GEN.220.	7.5(b) 8.5(b)(d)(e)(f) 54.1(a)(b)	
(1)	the management system's documented policies and procedures;		This item is added to ensure exhaustiveness of record-keeping requirements with regards to AR.GEN.200 and to complement AR.GEN.200(c). The order of items listed in (a) is changed to match the order of related AMCs.		
(2)	training, qualification and authorisation of personnel;		Following advice of the Review Group, this new item is added.		
(3)	the use of qualified entities;		Added to ensure consistency with new AR.GEN.205		
(4)	certification processes and continuing oversight of certified organisations;	no comments related to this item	Certification and oversight are no grouped, this is required by changes made in the identification of related AMCs.		
(5)	declaration processes and continuing oversight of declared organisations;	One comment raised (MS) to indicate that declarations were not covered.	Accepted: Declarations added to		
(6)	processes for issuing personnel licences, ratings, certificates, and attestations and for the continuing oversight of the holders of those licences, ratings, certificates, and attestations;		Text added to ensure consistency with AR.FCL and AR.CC.		
(7)	processes for issuing FSTD qualification certificates and for the continuing oversight of the FSTD and of the organisation operating it;		New item added for consistency with AR.ATO.		
(8)	continuing oversight of persons and organisations exercising activities within the territory of the Member State, but certified by/ having declared their activity to the competent authority of another Member State, as agreed between these authorities;	One commenter (MS) claimed that monitoring of persons or organisations exercising activities on the territory of the Member State in line with AR.GEN.305 were not covered.	Accepted: new item added to cover the relevant areas.		
(9)	continuing oversight of aircraft used by operators residing in the territory of the Member State other than those covered under items (4) and (5)above;	Some commenters (MS) claimed that ramp inspections should not be separately listed, as they are part of oversight.	Accepted: It is not necessary to list ramp inspections as a separate item. The new text is required to ensure consistency with changes made in AR.GEN.305 (cf. §(g))		

	P	art-AR		1 Oct 2010	
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	(10) findings, corrective actions and date of action closure;	no comments related to this item			ришнее
	(11) enforcement measures taken;	no comments related to this item			
	(12) safety information and follow-up measures ; and		AR.GEN.135 has been amended to refer to "safety information to react to a safety problem". Following advice of the Review Group, the Agency agreed to further amend the text as to cover all types of safety information, including directives.		
	(13) the use of flexibility provisions in accordance with Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008;	One commenter (MS) claimed that no legal basis existed to create obligations for keeping such records.	Not accepted:		
			Added to ensure consistency with new AR.GEN.205		
(b)	The competent authority shall maintain a register of all organisation certificates, FSTD qualification certificates and personnel licences, certificates and attestations issued and declarations received.		New § added as a result of comment review for AR.OPS.020 and to ensure consistency with AR.ATO (FSTD qualification).		
(c)	All records shall be kept for the minimum period specified in this Part. In the absence of such indication, records shall be kept for a minimum period of five years.	(50% MS, 50% IND) regarding the indication of a 5 year minimum retention period, claiming it is not in line with			
		quested to delete this paragraph, as the provisions are	Accepted: In agreement with the Review Groupthis subpara- graph is deleted, as the issue is already sufficientlysubstantiated in the Basic Regulation.		
			Note: Basic Regulation Articles 15 and 16 do not apply to com- petent authorities. The European Directive on data protection has been implemented in all Member States. Hence, the reference in AR.GEN.220(c) to data protec-		

Part-AR

	Part-AR		4	Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		tion rules in the case of the Agency refers to BR Articles 16 and 58.4 and in the case of Member States to the applicable national rules for the protection of confidential and personal data.		
	One comment (IND) requested the inclusions of a new paragraph (d) on the protection of sensitive data.	Not accepted: Protection of confidential and personal data by Member States is subject to the applicable national rules implementing the European Directive on data protection. Protection of confidential and personal data by the Agency when it is the competent authority is subject to Basic Regulation Articles 16 and 58.4		
	MS:5 IND:1 IA:0 INDIV:2			
SECTION III- OVERSIGHT, CERTIFICATION AND ENFORCEMEN				

A: Rule	le	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		reorganisation and amendment of the title and the section in order to match the content of the section and for the se-	Partially accepted: The order of the items in the section title is changed to match the sequence of rule paragraphs: AR.GEN.300 contains the provisions applicable to oversight in general terms, followed by AR.GEN.305 Oversight Programme, then AR.GEN.310 and AR.GEN.315 where specific aspects of initial certification of organisations and persons respectively are addressed. The new order of the rule paragraphs better matches the principle of continuous monitoring.		
			Note: At this stage the proposed provisions are only applicable to OPS and FCL. For other fields of aviation (e.g. airworthiness, aerodromes) separate rulemaking tasks will ensure that additional requirements will be considered for Part-AR.		
AR.GEN	EN.300Continuing oversight	MS:8 IND:2 IA:3 INDIV:1 Several comments (MS) requested a reorganisation of section 3.	Accepted: order changed for consistency and logical sequence. Following advice of the Review Group, the initial term "continuing oversight" was preferred to "monitoring of activities".	Regulation (FC)	Annex 6 Part I 4.2.1.8 & Appendix 5 § 7 Annex 6 Part III 2.2.1.8 & Appendix 1 § 7
(a) T	The competent authority shall verify:	no comments related to this item			
((1) compliance with requirements applicable to persons, products or organisa to the issue of an organisation certificate, approval, FSTD qualification cer personnel licence, certificate, rating, or attestation, as applicable;	explanation regarding the meaning of undertakings in this text.	Noted: In line with changes made to other sections and to Part-OR, the word "undertakings" has been deleted. Editorial corrections and further text amendments (for consistency with AR.ATO, AR.CC and AR.OPS (relative to Part-SPA/special approvals) have been made.		
((2) continued compliance of persons, products or organisations with the applic quirements; and	an inconsistency in the scope	Accepted. The text has been amended to clarify the intent. This provision refers not only to		

A: R	ule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
			provision applies only to certified organisations. Comments from one Member State requested the difference between the provisions in AR.GEN.300 and AR.GEN.305 to be explained. The title was also questioned and it was requested to check consistency between the provisions in AR.GEN.300 and the provisions in AR.GEN.305.	but to also to organisations subject to declaration.		
	(3)	implementation of appropriate measures in respect of safety information for immediate reaction to a safety problem.	A few comments highlighted the wording of Mandatory Safety Information and a few comments requested to replace it with the term Directive or Safety Directive.	Text further amended in line with changes made to	1	Annex 6 Part I - Appendix 5 § 8 Annex 6 Part III - Appendix 1 § 8
(b)	This v	verification shall:				
	(1)	be based on documented procedures;				
	(2)	be supported by documentation specifically intended to provide personnel responsible for safety oversight with guidance to perform their functions;				
	(3)	provide persons and organisations concerned with the results of safety oversight activity;	A few comments requested clarification on the term "indication" in this provision.	Accepted. The term "indication" has been deleted. Editorial corrections have been made.		
	(4)	be based on audits and inspections conducted; and	audits and inspections. The proposed provisions will be revised to check which will be the most appropriate term in each case but in this case the	Definitions for audit and inspection will be included in the cover regulation. The reference to review is deleted, as this is not clearly defined and not used elsewhere in Section 3. It is understood that part of an audit can be done in form of a documentary review.		
	(5)	provide the competent authority with the evidence needed to support further action including the measures foreseen by AR.GEN.350 and AR.GEN.355.		transferred into a AMC/GM specific for the field of air opera-		
(c)		versight shall focus on the key risk elements in relation to the implementation of the programme.	to highlight the difference between AR.GEN.300 and AR.GEN.305.	Text amended to clarify the intent of paragraph AR.GEN.300 and AR.GEN.305 regarding the provisions for oversight and for the oversight programme respectively.		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(d)	The competent authority shall take the necessary measures to ensure that competent authority personnel will not perform an audit or inspection when it has evidence that this could result directly or indirectly in a conflict of interest, in particular family or financial interest.		New § added for consistency with AR.GEN.Section IV (cf. AR.GEN.435(a).		An-
AR.G	GEN.305 Oversight programme	Several comments request	Accepted: The title now refers to the oversight programme and the text has been amended for better clarity.		Annex 6 Part I 4.2.1.8 & Appendix 5 § .27 Annex 6 Part III 2.2.1.8 & Appendix 1 § 7
(a)	The competent authority shall establish and maintain an oversight programme proportionate to the complexity of the activities concerned and based upon the assessment of associated risks, to monitor persons and organisations exercising activities in the territory of the Member State, and products operated in the territory of the Member State. This oversight programme shall include and take into account oversight activities conducted under Section IV of this Subpart.	to delete the provisions requiring an oversight programme for organisations not certified by the competent authority and exercising activities in the MS' territory. 2. One comment suggested the addition of a specific requirement for the competent authority to establish the oversight programme for the or-	persons and organisation exercising activities in their territory. See also new AMC		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		the clarification of the respon- sibilities between the compe- tent authority overseeing the activities and persons within	•		
(b)	For each FSTD certificate holder and each organisation certified by the competent authority, the oversight programme shall be developed taking into account the complexity of the organisation, local knowledge, use of industry standards, alternative means of compliance used by the organisation, and past certification and oversight activities; and within each oversight planning cycle include: (1) audits and inspections, including ramp and unannounced inspections, as appropriate; and (2) meetings convened between the accountable manager and the certifying competent authority to ensure both remain informed of significant issues.		To ensure consistency with OR.GEN.200, it is suggested to refer to complexity instead of size of the organisation. GM is added to make reference to AMC OR.GEN.200(b). The reference to alternative means of compliance has been added, as these are directly relevant for the determination of the oversight programme. The Review Group recommended that declared organisations be dealt with in a separate subparagraph. This would have resulted in duplicating the relevant provisions, which is not in line with legal drafting principles. Reference to FSTD certificate holder added to ensure consistency with AR.ATO.		
(c)	For organisations declaring their activity to the competent authority, the oversight programme shall be developed taking into account the complexity of the organisation, local knowledge, use of industry standards, alternative means of compliance used by the organisation, specific approvals held by the organisation, and past certification and oversight activities; and include audits and inspections, including ramp and unannounced inspections, as appropriate;				
(d)	For organisations exercising activities within the territory of the Member State, but certified by or making declarations to the competent authority of any other Member State or the Agency, the oversight programme shall include: (1) inspections, including ramp and unannounced inspections, as appropriate; and (2) audits as agreed with the competent authority of the other Member State or the Agency.		Following advice of the Review Group, a specific subparagraph is added to address organisations in the context of cooperative oversight.	216/2008:	

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(e)	For persons holding a licence, certificate, rating, or attestation issued by or persons declaring their activity to the competent authority, the oversight programme shall include inspections, including unannounced inspections, as appropriate.		Following advice of the Review Group, a specific subparagraph is added to address persons separately. "making declarations" added to cover General medical practitioners (GMPs), for consistency with AR.MED.145.		
(f)	For persons exercising activities within the territory of the Member State, but holding a licence, certificate, rating, or attestation issued by or persons declaring their activity to the competent authority of any other Member State, the oversight programme shall include inspections, including unannounced inspections, as appropriate.		Following advice of the Review Group, a specific subparagraph is added to address persons in the context of cooperative oversight. "making declarations" added to cover General medical practitioners (GMPs), for consistency with AR.MED.145.	216/2008: Articles 10, 11, 15	
(g)	For aircraft operated in the territory of the Member State other than the ones referred to in (b),(c)and (d) above, the oversight programme shall include inspections, including ramp and unannounced inspections.		New subparagraph added to address aircraft used by operators residing within the territory of the Member State that are not subject to any certification or declaration.	216/2008 Article 4.1(b) and 4.1(c).	
		It has been proposed to delete "unannounced inspections".	The comment is not accepted: unannounced inspections are a useful tool for oversight and shall be included in the law. The use of this provision will be determined by the information the competent authority receives. Following advice of the Review Group, the Agency agreed to provide definitions for audit and inspection. Definitions used in the implementing rules will be transferred to the cover regulation.		
		fication regarding the extent of the inspections by the Compe- tent Authority every 24 months. Other comments have requested to make the 24	In agreement with the Review Group and in line with comments received, the reference to the 24-month oversight period is transferred to an AMC, as to cater for flexibility in line with the principle of risk based oversight.		
		Comments suggest adding 'or head of AeMC'. Some other comments requested clarification regarding which competent authority shall convene these meetings	 Not accepted: Specific requirements for AeMC will be addressed in the relevant subpart AeMC. The comments have been accepted and the text has been modified (cf. new bullet point (b)(2)). 		

A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		with the accountable manager	The text has been further amended and restructured following advice of the Review Group.		
			The need to focus on key risk elements is now addressed in new subparagraphs (b) to (g).		
(h)	The number and intervals of audits and inspections to be performed by the competent authority shall be determined by the results of past certification and oversight activities and key risk elements. The oversight programme shall include records of the dates when audits, inspections and meetings are due and when such audits, inspections and meetings have been carried out.	Some commentators proposed to split this subparagraph in two.	The comment is not accepted as the paragraph is not dealing with two different ideas. It is all linked to the update of the oversight programme. Following advice of the Review Group, the text has been further amended by deleting the reference to approved organisations, which would exclude declared organisations and by using audits and inspections, to ensure consistency in terms used.		
(i)	Without prejudice to the competencies of the Member States, for organisations established in more than one Member State, the concerned competent authorities shall assist each other in carrying out the necessary certification and oversight actions.		This subparagraph has been transferred from AR.GEN.030. The text is further amended as otherwise it would overlap with AR.GEN.305(d)(2).		
AR.G	EN.310 Initial certification procedure – organisations	MS:12 IND:12 IA:8 INDIV:1 One comment highlighted that the general provisions with the certification procedure for persons and products were missing.	· ·	Regulation (EC) 216/2008: Article 7.6(b) Article 8.5(b)(d)(e) Article 9.4(c)	Annex 6 Part I 4.2.1.8 & Appendix 5 § 6.2 Annex 6 Part III 2.2.1.8 & Appendix 1 § 6
(a)	Upon receiving an application for the initial issue of a certificate for an organisation, the competent authority shall verify the organisation's compliance with the applicable requirements.	1. Comments from two MS were not in favour of having one organisation certificate for all activities. One of those comments proposes it should be voluntary for the organisation to choose while other comments propose not to maintain the idea of a single certificate. 2. Some comments requested to differentiate between organisation which are subject to first organisation certificate and those which are subject to re-certification. 3. A few comments from MS	 The text as proposed does not prevent an organisation from applying for different organisation certificates. It has not been considered necessary to amend the text because it is proposed to have certificate of unlimited duration. Noted: The Review Group recalled the need to clarify the terms "audit" and "inspection". Acceptable means with regards to compliance verification are transferred to 		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	proposed to delete the words "where relevant" because it is considered always to be applicable to conduct an inspection before issuing an organisation certificate. 4. A comment from IND proposed to add "within 90 days" after "the competent authority shall". 5. A comment from IND recommended further developing this paragraph with FCL and OPS specific items.	 the related AMC. 4. Noted: Deadlines cannot be introduced in the general provisions, as they depend on the type of certificates. Subparts may contain more detailed provisions. 5. Not accepted: The applicable subparts FCL and OPS should provide the additional items to be considered. Provisions in Subpart GEN are to be used in conjunction with the relevant provisions in the related subparts. 		
(b) When satisfied that the organisation is in compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority shall issue the certificate/s, as established in the Appendix to this Part. The certificate shall be issued for an unlimited duration. The privileges and the scope of the activities that the organisation is approved to conduct shall be specified in the terms of approval attached to the certificate or certificates.	and to transfer it into this provision.			
	be more specific. Some of them would propose to make it domain-specific and to detail it in each specific domain. Few comments requested to clarify the meaning of 'shall agree'.	1. + 2: Noted: Changes requiring prior approval are those defined in OR.GEN.130, plus those additional changes that may be defined in the applicable subparts. Therefore, there is no room for the competent authority to determine changes requiring prior approval. However, the competent authority may agree with the organisation the types		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(c) To enable an organisation to implement changes without prior competent authority approval in accordance with Part-OR, the competent authority shall approve the procedure submitted by the organisation defining the scope of such changes and describing how such changes will be managed and notified.		of changes not requiring prior approval that should be notified. The text is therefore deleted, as it would conflict with OR.GEN.130 and the New paragraph added to ensure consistency with changes made in OR.GEN.130(c).		
	indirect approval, as well as	approval is used in Regulation (EC) 2042/2004, the comments have been accepted and the requirement has been removed.		
AR.GEN.315 Procedure for issue, revalidation, renewal or change of licences, ratings, certificates or attestations - persons	Two comments (MS) request a clarification and recommend to modify the title to read: "Procedure for issue, revalidation and renewal of."			
(a) Upon receiving an application for the issue, revalidation, renewal or change of a personal licence, rating, certificate or attestation and any supporting documentation, the competent authority shall verify whether the applicant meets the applicable requirements.		This provision has been transferred from AR.FCL.200 in line	216/2008: Article 7(b)	
(b) When satisfied that the applicant meets the requirements, the competent authority shall issue, revalidate, renew or change the licence, certificate, rating or attestation, as established in this Part, in the applicable Subparts or other applicable Parts.				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
AR.GEN.330 Changes – organisations	MS:6 IND:2 IA:4 INDIV:0 1. Somme comments requested further guidance and explanation on the criteria for the determination of which changes required prior approval.	1. The provisions have been amended to better reflect the intent. The provisions should be read in conjunction with the relevant provisions in Part-OR; the criteria for the determination of changes that can be implemented without prior approval by the competent authority, as well as the procedure that the authority will use to review those changes will be domain	Regulation (EC) 216/2008: Article 7.6(b) (e) (f) Article 8	Annex 6 Part I 4.2.1.8 & Appendix 5 § 7 Annex 6 Part III 2.2.1.8 & Appendix 1 § 7
	 There were five comments requesting the review of the provision when applying AR.GEN to the fields of aerodrome and ATM/ANS/ADR. One comment (IND) requested that this paragraph be further developed with OPS and FCL-specific items. 	specific. 2. The scope of Part-AR will be defined in the cover regulation. It will not include ATM/ANS specific rules. 3. Not accepted: The applicable subparts FCL and OPS should provide the additional items to be considered. Provisions in Subpart GEN are to be used in conjunction with the relevant provisions in the related subparts.		
Upon receiving an application for a change that requires prior approval, the competent authority shall verify the organisation's compliance with the applicable requirements before issuing the approval.		The time for replying to the change application will depend on the type of change and the field of activity. Therefore, a generic response time for all changes is not considered appropriate. Following advice of the Review Group, the text has been further amended to make it more generic. As a result of the peer review, the last part of the sentence is deleted. Acceptable means with regards to verification are added in the related AMC.		
The competent authority shall prescribe the conditions under which the organisation may operate during the change, unless the competent authority determines that the organisation approval needs to be suspended.	Comments to OR.GEN.030 proposed that this provision be transferred to PART.AR.			

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	When satisfied that the organisation is in compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority shall approve the change.		New text added inline with changes made to (a).		
(b)	For changes not requiring prior approval, the competent authority shall assess the documents provided to verify compliance with the applicable requirements. In case of any non-compliance, the competent authority shall: (1) notify the organisation about the non-compliance and request further changes.; and (2) in case of level 1 or level 2 findings, act in accordance with AR.GEN.350.	Two comments requested to clarify the text in this paragraph as it is dealing with changes that do not necessitate prior approval			
AR.G	EN.345 Declaration organisations	MS:15 IND:2 IA:2 INDIV:2 Comments on OR.GEN.045 claimed that there should not be any reference to "person".	Accepted: The reference to "person" has been deleted. Provisions for declaration applicable to natural persons are defined in AR.MED.145 "GMP declaration to the competent authority".		
(a)	Upon receiving a declaration from an organisation carrying out or intending to carry out activities for which a declaration is required, the competent authority shall verify that the declaration contains all the information required by Part-OR and shall acknowledge receipt of the declaration to the organisation.	1. There were many comments requesting clarification on the meaning of the declaration, when and by whom the declaration is required, the legal responsibilities for the competent authority in declaration with the declaration.	1. The text has been amended to better reflect the intent. Activities subject to declaration for organisations are defined in BR Article 8.3. In the future declaration will be applicable to additional areas (such as TCO, ATM etc).		
(b)	If the declaration does not contain the required information, or contains information that indicates non-compliance with applicable requirements, the competent authority shall notify the organisation about the non-compliance and request further information. If required, the competent authority shall carry out an inspection of the organisation. If the non-compliance is confirmed, the competent authority shall take action as defined in AR.GEN.350.	dealing with the declaration, as verification would be done without inspections, on the consequences for operators when a non-compliance is found. 2. There was one comment requesting a standard format of the acknowledge receipt.	 There is no need to propose a format for the acknowledge receipt because it is not a certificate, also, declared organisations can start their activities without it. The word "person" has been deleted from the provisions 		
		3. Several comments were questioning the link with the declared GMPs questioning application of the provision for those. 4. The relationship between the declaration and the analysis of findings in AR.GEN.350 and AR.GEN.355 as well as the provisions in AR.GEN.350 seem to be only for organisations certified and the provisions in AR.GEN.355 seem to be only for per-	and the organisation that can declare their activities are those specified in the Regulation (EC) 216/2008. For persons, the requirement for a declaration is currently applicable to GMPs only, the relevant authority requirement is defined in AR.MED.145 "GMP declaration to the competent authority" 4. The wording in AR.GEN.350 has been modified accordingly. The wording in paragraph (e) of AR.GEN.355 is appropriate for the case of		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	sons. 5. There was one comment from IND requesting to specify the delay for the competent authority to submit the acknowledge receipt to the organisation. 6. A comment from MS requested to explain the difference between application and declaration. 7. A comment from MS highlighted that with the declaration there is no possibility to charge.	organisations declaring the activities. 5. It is not necessary to specify any delay, as the organisation can start activities after having submitted the declaration to the competent authority. 6. An application is for a certification process, whereas declaration is not a certification process. The meaning of "declaration" is the normal dictionary meaning. 7. The draft regulation is about safety and not about charging schemes. (b) Further amended based on comments received from OPS Review Groups and following internal review.		
AR.GEN.350 Findings and corrective actions – organisations	MS:31 IND:6 IA:4 INDIV:5 1. There were some general comments proposing amendments to the text to increase the readability. 2. Others commented that the proposed provisions were considered to be too heavy for IND and organisations. 3. There were few comments requesting clarification on how the provisions apply in the case of declared organisations 4. One comment pointed out that immediate action will have been taken to limit or prohibit activities as a result of a Level 1 finding. However, the organisation will need to put in place corrective action to ensure a permanent solu-	4. Based on the definition of level 1 finding, this case may not occur. If need would be then we could add in (d)(2) something like unless acceptable	Regulation (EC) 216/2008: Article 7.6(b) (e) (f) Article 8.5(e) (f)	Annex 6 Part I 4.2.1.8 & Appendix 5 §§ 8.1 and 8.2 Annex 6 Part III 2.2.1.8 & Appendix 1 § 8.2

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	tion. Proposed Text (if applicable): An option to implement acceptable procedures for the duration of the noncompliance should also be included. 5. Comments requested clarification of the actions to be taken by the competent authority issuing the certificate and those to be taken by the authority overseeing. The comments suggest sending the reports only to the authority issuing the certificate. 6. Comments suggested to delete the regulation about penalties. Depending on the national juridical system, some CAs may not issue penalties. 7. Comments suggested that by limiting the possibility of raising findings to the CA having issued the certificate, legal uncertainty would be avoided for operators, while keeping the possibility for the other CA to react to urgent unforeseen safety problems as foreseen in Art. 14.	accepted as the text has been amended to clarify the different responsibilities. However, there is a difference between the proposal of the comment and the final proposal. The possibility for the competent authority not having issued the certificate to raise a finding to the organisation is already foreseen. However, it has been clarified that the competent authority not issuing the certificate does not have any action over the certificate. 6. The comment is not accepted. The proposal only refers to the application of any penalty laid down by the Member State and not by the CA itself. 7. The amendment to the text clarifies the roles of each authority and proposes a solution for effective local oversight and enforcement and a cooperation between the competent authorities New Guidance Material has been added to clarify responsibilities in relation to cooperative oversight.		
(a) The competent authority shall have a system to analyse findings for their safety significance as part of the safety programme.	 Some comments highlighted that the term "safety significant" is too vague and needed to be defined. Two comments request that a reference be made to the safety programme (in line with ICAO implementation of the SSP) Two comments (MS) requested to transfer this provisions into AR.GEN.200. Some comments requested 	 Noted. Safety significance means the possible impact on safety. Accepted: A reference to the safety programme has been added. Not accepted: AR.GEN.200 deals with the competent authority's own management system, not with the safety programme. The provisions in PART.OR have been transferred to PART.AR as the 		

Part-AR					
A: Ru	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		to have clarification of the meaning of level 1 and level 2 findings. Few comments proposes to move the definitions from the Part-OR to Part AR.	findings are issued by the competent authority.		phanec
(b)	A level 1 finding shall be issued when any significant non-compliance is detected with the applicable requirements of Regulation (EC) 216/2008 and its implementing rules, with the organisation's procedures and manuals, with the terms of an approval or certificate or with the content of a declaration which lowers safety or seriously hazards flight safety. The following in particular shall be considered level 1 findings: (1) failure to give the competent authority access to the organisation's facilities as defined in OR.GEN.140during normal operating hours and after two written requests; and (2) the lack of an accountable manager.	The definition of level 1 findings raised a significant number of comments (mainly from IND): 1. the reference to "safety standards" should read "safety" only. 2. guidance required for definition of significant non compliance; 3. avoid use of the word "hazard"; has a specific meaning for SMS, should be replaced by: "decreases safety standards and adversely affects flight safety"; 4. "lack of accountable manager or nominated post holder" should be deleted or level 2 finding (too incisive, could also happen by accident or unannounced); lack of a nominated person itself does not necessary constitute a flight safety hazard; 5. use of "or" at the end of (2) misleading; 6. list of events constituting level 1 findings is misleading, there are other events that constitute a level 1 finding; 7. definition needs to be adjusted for ADR/ATM/ANS; 8. new condition should be added: refusal to accept unannounced ramp inspection (in line with ICAO SARPS). 9. An AMC was requested to: 9.1. provide indications on implementation periods for cor-	Several editorial corrections have been made. 1. Accepted: the word "Standards" has been deleted; "and" has been replaced by "or" for better clarity. 2. Noted: further GM may be provided in the future if the need is confirmed. 3. Noted: The wording is aligned with existing rules under Part-M and Part-145 – no change at this stage. CS-25.1309 defines the term hazardous. 4. Partially accepted: "nominated persons" has been deleted. 5. Accepted: deleted. 6. Accepted: additional text has been added. 7. Not accepted, at this stage Part-AR will not apply to ADR/ATM/ANS. 8. Not accepted: This is covered under granting access, as this also includes access to aircraft (cf. new OR.GEN.140). 9. Partially accepted: 9.1.: implementation periods for corrective actions are now defined in subparagraph (d)(2)(i). 9.2.: the text has been amended for clarity and new GM has been added to clarify which authority may raise findings (cf. GM1-AR.GEN.350) As agreed with the Review Group, "in particular" has been added to the items to be considered level 1 findings.		

Part-AR

	Part-AR		2	Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(c) A level 2 finding shall be issued when any non-compliance is detected with the applicable r	rective actions (IND) 9.2. provide clarification regarding which competent authorities may raise findings (MS).	Not accepted: The wording is		pilatiec
quirements of Regulation (EC) 216/2008 and its implementing rules, with the organisation procedures and manuals, with the terms of an approval or certificate, or with the content of declaration which could lower safety or possibly hazard flight safety.	findings was commented as being overly complex and not in line with Part.21 definitions. 2. Several comments requested that a third level of findings be introduced, as it is the case with Part.21: 2.1. reference to recommendations already made in AMC2 to AR.GEN.305 (3); 2.2. competent authorities should provide good safety advice to the regulated persons. 3. In general, comments claimed that terms used be consistent with OR.GEN.200 and related AMCs (discrepancies, non-compliance).	aligned with Part M and Part 145. More specific criteria may be defined in the relevant AMCs for the specific subparts. 2. Not accepted: A third level of findings is currently not foreseen in Part M or Part-145. Limiting the finding levels to 2 only does not prevent competent authorities from providing recommendations. 3. Noted: the text in Section 3 should only refer to non-compliance. Several editorial corrections have been made.		
(d) When a finding is detected during an audit or by any other means, the competent authorishall, without prejudice to any additional action required by Regulation (EC) 216/2008 and implementing rules, communicate the finding to the organisation in writing. When the competent authority that raised the finding is the competent authority that issued the certificate received the declaration, it shall additionally request corrective action to address the no compliance(s) identified. When the competent authority that raised the finding is not the competent authority that issued the certificate or received the declaration, it shall inform the competent authority that issued the certificate or received the declaration.	lete the words "corrective actions" from here because the corrective actions will be required only if the finding is determined	because the provision only applies if a finding is detected. Text amended to provide clarifi-		
		Merged with new § (d) above.		

A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(1)	In the case of level 1 findings the competent authority that raised the finding shall take immediate and appropriate action to prohibit or limit activities, and if appropriate, the competent authority certifying shall take action to revoke the certificate or to limit or suspend it in whole or in part, depending upon the extent of the level 1 finding, until successful corrective action has been taken by the organisation.	 One comment proposed to replace the term operator by organisation. One comment raised to explain that for those organisations having more than one activity a level 1 finding in a single activity could also have an impact in general and revoke all other items carried our by this organisation at the same time. Some comments were concerned about the severity of the provision. 			
(2)	In the case of level 2 findings, the competent authority certifying or receiving the declaration shall:		Following advice of the Review Group, the structure has been changed to group all items relevant to level 2 findings.		
	(i) grant the organisation a corrective action implementation period appropriate to the nature of the finding, that shall not be more than three months. At the end of this period, and subject to the nature of the finding, the competent authority certifying or receiving the declaration may extend the three-month period for up to a further three months, subject to a satisfactory corrective action plan; and	Some comments requested to be more specific on the "period appropriate to the nature of the finding".	Partially accepted: the wording has been aligned with the one used in 21.B.225 as last amended by Regulation (EC) No. 1194/2009 of 30/11/2009 to include as standard corrective action implementation period.		
	(ii) assess the corrective action and implementation plan proposed by the organisation and, if the assessment concludes that they are sufficient to address the non-compliance(s), accept these.	no comments related to this item	Editorial change in line with changes made in the introductory part.		
(3)WI	there an organisation fails to submit an acceptable corrective action plan, or to perform the corrective action within the time period accepted or extended by the competent authority, the finding shall be raised to a level 1 finding, and action taken as laid down in (d)(1) above.	quested to amend the text as it	In agreement with the Review Group, any reference to penalties has been removed, as the application of penalties is subject to national rules to be laid down as foreseen in Basic Regulation Article 68. The text has been further amended to add a link to (d)(1) describing the actions to be taken in case of failure to perform the corrective action within the agreed time period.		
		One comment proposed to add a provisions for those circumstance in which the corrective action period could be extended. Two comments (IND) suggested creating a legal framework allowing the	been added. 2. Noted: This decision will have to be taken by the European Commission and the Member States. 3. The legal basis for this provi-		

A: R		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
		Agency to set a common penalties charging scheme for Europe, in order to have a level playing field 3. One comment suggested the legal basis for (c) (2)	quirements in Regulations (EC) No. 1702/2003 and		pliance
(e)	The competent authority that raised the finding shall record all findings and, where applicable, the enforcement measures it has applied. The competent authority certifying or receiving the declaration shall additionally record all corrective actions and date of action closure for findings it has raised or that have been communicated to it.	 Comment proposed to add and/or between measures taken and penalties. A comment requested if other findings levels would require traceability 	 Not accepted because 'and/or' in logic term means 'or'. Other finding levels do not require traceability. In agreement with the Review Group, references to penalties has been removed, 		
(f)	The competent authorities concerned shall cooperate when handling the corrective action(s) and, where necessary, facilitate the taking of appropriate enforcement measures.		This has been transferred from AR.GEN.355 (d); AR.GEN.355 as published in NPA now deleted. In agreement with the Review Group, any reference to penalties has been removed.		
(g)	If applicable, the competent authority that raised the finding shall inform the State in which the aircraft is registered.		This has been transferred from AR.GEN.355 (b)(3); AR.GEN.355 as published in NPA now deleted.		
AR.G	GEN.355Enforcement measures - persons	 MS:18 IND:1 IA:5 INDIV:1 One comment requested to clarify the text to differentiate between the CA that issued the licensing and the CA that did not issue the license. One comment requested to modify the text for the case that the certificate has been issued by an AeMC, AME of GMP or any other independent organisation that has the privileges Comment highlighted that the provisions will lead to many different systems and not to a level playing field because there are very different national legal systems for penalties. What can be subject to a penalty in one MS may not be the case in another one. Comment for (c)(1): Which of the three alternatives, 	 In agreement with the Review Group, any reference to penalties has been removed, 1. Accepted. The text has been amended to better reflect the intent 2. Accepted 3. Noted. The way Basic Regulation Article 68 is implemented is up to each Member State to decide. 4. The measure to be taken is dependant on the finding. Therefore there is no need to be more specific. 5. Noted. 6. Noted. The scope will be defined in the Cover Regulation. At this stage Part-AR will not be applicable to ADR. 7. Noted: The way Basic Regulation Article 68 is implemented is up to each Member State to decide. 8. Not accepted; AR.GEN.220 Record-keeping covers re- 	Regulation (EC) 216/2008: Article 7.6(b) (e) (f) Article 8.5(e) (f)	Annex 6 Part I 4.2.1.8 & Appendix 5 § 8.1 Annex 6 Part III 2.2.1.8 & Appendix 1 § 8.1

A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		limit, suspend or revoke, is to be chosen?	cords related to findings and corrective actions.		
		5. One comment required a legal evaluation of this provision. There is no legal basis to mandate this.	9. Noted.		
		6. There were some comments claiming this is not applicable to aerodromes			
		7. A comment requested to have more detailed procedures to overcome the different systems for the penalties and the fact that the fines in one Member State do not apply in another one.			
		8. One comment suggests that issuing and recording a finding is a matter of procedures and should be in AR.GEN.200.			
		9. One comment highlighted that the application of this provision for Non-EU may possibly be limited, depending on how this provision is incorporated into national regulatory frameworks.			
(a)	If during oversight or by any other means, evidence is found showing a non-compliance with the applicable requirements by a person holding a licence, certificate, rating or attestation issued in accordance with Regulation (EC) No. 216/2008 and its implementing rules, the competent authority conducting oversight shall raise a finding.		Not accepted: The Agency does not consider that this is necessary in the implementing rule. It could be in Guidance Material; domain specific. The reference to "authorisation" is deleted, as there are currently no authorisations defined in Part-AR and Part-OR with regards to persons.		
(b)	The competent authority that raised the finding shall record it and communicate it in writing to the licence, certificate, rating or attestation holder.		Text amended for better clarity on reference to competent authority.		
(c)	When the competent authority that raised the finding is the competent authority responsible for the licence, certificate, rating, or attestation, it shall carry out an investigation. If the finding is confirmed, it shall:		Text amended for better clarity on reference to competent authority. Text further amended based on feedback from OPS RG: There should be an intermediate step before taking action on the licence.		
	(1) limit, suspend or revoke the licence, certificate, rating or attestation as applicable, when a safety issue has been identified; and		"as applicable" added to ensure consistency with other changes made to AR.GEN. Editorial corrections have been made.		

	Part-AR 4 C				
A: Ru	ile	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	(2) take any further enforcement measures necessary to prevent the continuation of the non-compliance.		Following recommendations from the Review Group it was clarified that the definition and classification of findings in AR.GEN.350 only apply to organisations. In agreement with the Review Group, any reference to penalties has been removed.		
(d)	In all other cases, the competent authority that raised the finding shall inform the competent authority that issued the licence, certificate, rating or attestation and, where applicable, the person or organisation that issued the medical certificate, or attestation. In this case, the competent authority that issued the licence, certificate, rating or attestation shall take action in accordance with paragraph (c) above and inform the competent authority that raised the finding.	 One comment requested clarification regarding who would notify the relevant AeMC, AME etc in this case. One comment requested to add a provision that would allow the CA that has issued the license to request assistance from the CA that has raised the finding. 	 Accepted, text amended. However, this applies only to medical certificates or cabin crew attestations, which are the only personnel certificates not issued by the competent authority directly. Noted: This could be specified in an AMC, if the need is confirmed. Basic Regulation Article 10.1 provides for the need of Member States to cooperate. 		
(e)	If, during oversight or by any other means, evidence is found showing a non-compliance with the applicable requirements by a person subject to the requirements laid down in Regulation (EC) 216/2008 and its implementing rules and not holding a licence, certificate, rating or attestation issued in accordance with Regulation (EC) 216/2008 and its implementing rules, the competent authority that identified the non-compliance shall take any enforcement measures necessary to prevent the continuation of that non-compliance.	 A question was raised on who are these persons not holding a license, certificate It is not clear why there are not similar provisions to the one specified in this paragraph but applicable to organisations declared or certified, which are the persons and which are these enforcement measures to apply. 	 This applies to all persons that are subject to the Basic Regulation and its implementing rules, including GMPs that have to declare their activity (AR.MED.145). The text has been amended accordingly. For the organisation the relevant provisions are already included in AR.GEN.350. All persons subject to the Basic Regulation and its implementing rules are concerned here. Enforcement measures are all those to ensure that the non-compliance identified does not endanger flight safety. In agreement with the Review Group, all references to penalties have been removed, in order to avoid conflicting requirements, considering that penalties are subject to national rules implementing Basic Regulation Article 68. 		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	MS:18 IND:16 IA:7 INDIV:3 1. Many comments suggested to modify the text based on the following points: a. There is no legal basis. b. It is only applicable in the field of ATM/ANS c. Deleting the whole paragraph as it goes against mutual recognition of certificates d. The provisions should be limited to large air carriers that have many bases e. Clarify the responsibilities within the limits of art. 10 of BR f. Clarify the CA certifying is the one in charge of the oversight programme g. Clarify how the findings and corrective actions are coordinated. h. Clarify how does it affects organisations located outside the EU i. It is impossible to apply to examiners. j. Which legal system to be used for the penalties? k. Resources and clear responsibilities 2. There is a request for further details and definitions, for instance there is a need to specified further which are these persons and what it is covered under the terms activity and undertakings 3. One comment questioned if the Competent Authority in whose territory an activity is being performed had the authority to revoke or suspend an approval issued by another competent authority. The enforcement measures need to be defined 4. Comments: not applicable to ADR 5. Comment requiring guid-	 Partially accepted. In line with changes made to AR.GEN.305, and following advice of the Review Group, AR.GEN.355 is deleted. If need is confirmed, more guidance could be provided but this is not considered necessary at this stage. Only the competent authority that has issued the certificate can take action on it. The procedures for this are generally described in AR.GEN.350 and AR.GEN.355 Noted: Part-AR is not intended to apply to aerodromes at this stage. If need is confirmed, more guidance could be provided, but this would then be domain specific. 	6.	7.

Part-AR 4 Oct				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	ance on the practicality.			рнансе
	The use of qualified entities should be considered	Accepted: This is already provided for by the changes introduced in Section 2 (cf. new AR.GEN.205)		
	leting this provision or make it only applicable in case it is	Partially accepted: The competent authority overseeing the activity has to ensure its oversight responsibilities and apply the necessary enforcement measure as required by the Basic Regulation. This is now defined in AR.GEN.350 as amended.		
		Based on the changes intro- duced in AR.GEN.350, subpara- graph (c) is now redundant.		
		This subparagraph is incorporated into AR.GEN.350 as subparagraph (f).		
		Not accepted: This is already addressed in Article 10.1. of the Basic Regulation.		
Section IV – Ramp inspections of aircraft of operators under the regulatory oversight of another State		Title changed to reflect change in scope of Section IV: This section does not apply to ramp inspections of national operators.		
	should be specified. No justifi- cation for the application of Community methods to ramp inspections conducted by a Member State on aircrafts used	legal basis for a specific aspect of continuous oversight, the so called "cooperative oversight".		
	In addition, the proposed dis-	inspections on aircraft of opera- tors other than those they have		

	Part-AR				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	4 Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref. and com-	
	Member State from conducting, without following the SAFA program (and its methods), ramp inspections of foreign aircraft, as described in paragraph 2 of article 1 of directive 2004/36. 3. [MS] The connections, between the NPA-2009 2d) on the ramp inspections and the NPA-TCO are crucial but NPA TCO has not been published yet. For some parts of the proposed text, it is totally ineffec-	certified. Consequently, a competent authority must oversee the activities exercised by organisations or persons within its territory that are certified by or having declared their activity to the competent authority of another Member State. 2. Partially accepted. The scope of this section is now limited to aircraft used by third country operators (SAFA) or used by operators under the regulatory oversight of any	compliance		
	1 [MS] Comment: Δ Guidance	(OR) and OPS provide for acomprehensive and complete system which leaves no room for a provision such as Article 1.2 of Directive 2004/36/CE. 1. This Guidance Material will be			
	Material called "SAFA ramp in-	included in this section The process will start as soon as the			

Part-AR

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	Commission and all the Member States. Those dispositions should be reflected in the NPA.	2. The scope of this section is now limited to aircraft used by third country operators (SAFA) or used by operators under the regulatory oversight of any other Member State (SACA).		
AR.GEN.405 Scope				
This section establishes the requirements to be followed by a competent authority or the Agency when exercising its tasks and responsibilities regarding the performance of ramp inspections of aircraft used by third country operators or used by operators under the regulatory oversight of an other Member State when landed at aerodromes located in the territory subject to the provision of the Treaty. i	change the text because i.a.w. Art. 10/2 of BR ramp inspections are carried out by the MS only. 2. [MS] Use of the term "inspecting authority" rather than the competent authority has not been explained or justified. To avoid complications the obligations under section Subpart GEN Section IV should be placed on the competent authority 3. [MS] new text proposed: " (a) This subpart section establishes the requirements to be followed by a Member State inspecting authority or the Agency when exercising its task and responsibilities regarding the performance of ramp inspections of foreign aircraft subject to the Basic Regulation when landed in the terri-	the Basic Regulation the Agency shall for the purposes of the implementation of paragraph 1, conduct investigations in accordance with Article 24(2) and Article 55. Article 24.2 states that the Agency shall conduct investigations of undertakings to monitor the application of this Regulation and its implementing rules. Article 55 establishes the general principles, methodology and administrative requisites to be followed when conducting investigations of undertakings. According to this article the Agency may itself conduct or assign to national aviation authorities or qualified entities all necessary investigations of un-		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	craft." (b) This section shall be without prejudice to the Member States' right to carry out inspections not covered by this section and to ground, ban, or impose conditions on any aircraft landing at their airports in	"() to conduct inspections of aircraft in cooperation with		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		tent authority. AR.GEN.015 - Member States may designate more than one entity as a competent authority. 3. See previous response on the		
		scope of the Basic Regulation with regard to ramp inspections and article 1.2 of Directive 2004/36		
	consistent and efficient manner as the NPA-TCO has not been published yet. (Same as comment 508 from DGAC) 5. [IA and IND] Per article 10.2 the ramp inspections of this Section IV are only applicable to a Competent Authority's own registry. 6. [IA] The EASA "collective oversight" shall avoid repetition of the bad elements of the current SAFA inspections, causing a lot of operational disruption without any safety benefit. (SAFA inspectors have no common standards and are often inexperienced, incorrect findings) 7. [IA] It should be clarified that the provisions in this part of the NPA on Air Operations	5. Paragraph 2 of article 10 must be read in conjunction with paragraph 1 " The Member States, the Commission and the Agency shall cooperate with a view to ensuring compliance with this Regulations and its implementing rules". The sentence in paragraph 2 (), in addition to their oversight of certificates that they have issued, conduct investigations, including ramp inspections () should be understood in such a way that Member States must conduct ramp inspections on aircraft of operators other than those they have certified. This is the so called concept of "cooperative oversight".		
AD CEN 440 Definition o				
AR.GEN.410 Definitions For the purpose of this section the following definitions apply:			Article 2 Directive 2004/36/CE	
- 'grounding' means the formal prohibition of an aircraft to take-off and the taking of such steps as are necessary to detain it;			Article 1(1) Regulation (EC) No 351/2008	
- 'prioritisation of ramp inspections' means the dedication of an appropriate portion of the total number of ramp inspections conducted by or on behalf of a competent authority on an annual basis;		After RG meeting "or on behalf" added		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
'Ramp inspection' means the inspection of aircraft, of flight and cabin crew qualifications, if applicable, and of flight documentation in order to verify the compliance with the applicable requirements.	tion of "ramp inspection"; New proposed text: "ramp inspection' means the inspection of aircraft and handling of the aircraft during ground handling, taxi and take-off/landing where possible and applicable (based on information received from the respective organization), of flight and cabin crew qualifications and of flight documentation in order to verify the compliance with the applicable requirements." 2. [MS] Proposal: create a clear difference in the definitions of ramp inspection of European operators and TCO.	more at the moment there are no standards for ground handling services to assess. 2. see changed text		
	[MS] Propose to use the term foreign only to refer to third country operators or aircraft.	definition is not necessary, since AR.GEN.405is clear to which aircraft this section applies.		
	"third country operator" means an operator which is not under	Partially accepted: third country operators (TCO) will be defined in Part-TCO (NPA not published yet). The need for a definition of third country aircraft in Part-TCO will be assessed.		
AR.GEN.415 General	1 [MC] Clavity and logal con	1 Con province recognize on in		
 (a) Aircraft, as well as their crew shall be inspected against the applicable the requirements. (b) In addition to conducting ramp inspections included in its oversight programme established in accordance with AR.GEN.305 the competent authority shall perform a ramp inspection 	tainty is needed with regards to the meaning of "competent authority" to avoid any possible conflict or misinterpretation with the term inspecting authority. (see comment 418 and 181)			
			Article 4.1 and 4.2 Directive 2004/36/CE	
of an aircraft suspected of not being compliant with the applicable requirements;	2. [IND and MS] propose to delete "foreign". Any aircraft suspected of not being compliant shall be inspected, no matter if it is foreign or not. Level playing field. [IND] Proposal: remove for Community operators.			

A: Ru	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		" procedure. Such" 2. [INDIV and MS] criteria for the risk assessment are missing. 3. [IND] Proposal: "such a procedure shall be based on a			
(c)	Within the development of the oversight programme established in accordance with AR.GEN.305 the competent authority shall establish an annual programme for the conduct of	graph requires a Member State to conduct ramp inspections on aircraft operated by companies holding certificates issued by that Member State. This will cover only one aspect of the operator. The result will be an over emphasis on one, fairly coarse, inspecting process. It will also reduce the level of flexibility available to Member States in their own internal inspecting regimes. Comment 2: the requirement incorrectly as-	1 and 2. Accepted. See previous response on the scope of this section. 1. See previous response on inspecting authority. 3. Not accepted. Ramp inspection reports on Community operators shall only be shared between Member State's competent authorities.		
	ramp inspections of aircraft. This programme shall: (1) provide for a minimum annual quota of ramp inspections based on a calculation meth-				
	odology taking into account historical information on the number of operators and their number of landings at its aerodromes; and				

A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(2	enable the competent authority to give priority to the inspections of aircraft on the basis of the list established pursuant to AR.GEN.420(a).	that those data will receive protection and confidential treatment by the Agency according to the applicable rules included in this regulation and related data protection rules. 2. [INDIV and MS] Proposed	2. The Agency will have the pre- rogative to list potentially unsafe airlines. This list is based on in- formation from the European Commission and Member States. 3. See previous comment on cooperative oversight.		
		1. [MS and INDIV] Incorrect reference to paragraph "AR.GEN.455(b)(4)" should read "AR.GEN.460(b)(4)" 2. [MS and INDIV]. Proposal: The list shall only be provided when a risk is identified and it is required to inspect the operator. Also take into account prioritisation Regulation 351/2008. 3. [MS] Proposal: modify the sentence by "at least once after every air safety committee held in line with the dispositions of the EC regulation 2111/2005 or at least every 4 months, whatever is less".	2. see AR.GEN 420 3. Accepted See changed text.		
	Then deemed necessary by the Agency, the Agency it shall conduct ramp inspections on airaft to verify compliance with the applicable requirements for the purpose of:	posal "When deemed necessary by the Agency, it shall conduct ramp inspections on aircraft to verify compliance with the applicable requirements for the purpose of: (1) certification procedures assigned to the Agency by Regulation 216/2008; (2) inspection of a Member State when it is suspected of not carrying out its inspections tasks in accordance with this regulation; (3) inspections of undertakings in case of a detected level 1 (audits) or cat 3 (ramp inspections) finding on its organisation or aircraft. 2. [MS] Proposal: Replace 'it should conduct' by 'a specific	2. Not accepted. The verb "should" is not strong enough. The Agency doesn't consider it necessary to refer in the rule to a "specific team". When the Agency conducts a ramp inspection it will establish a team and will carry out the ramp inspection in cooperation with the		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	more precisely the definition of	cerned. Article 55.1(e) of the Basic Regulation indicates that ramp inspections must be carried out in cooperation with Member States.		
	3. [MS] Proposed text: AR.GEN.415 (e) (1) certification procedures assigned to the Agency by Regulation			
	216/2008; (2) inspection of a Member State when it is suspected of not carrying out its inspections tasks in accordance			
	with this regulations. When- ever the Agency has such a suspicion, it has to inform the relevant Member State and			
	await his respond for at least 30 days, before conducting a ramp inspection; (3) inspec- tions of undertakings in case of			
	a detected level 1 finding on its organisation or aircraft. (f) In cases where the Agency conducts a ramp inspection on			
	the territory of a Member State, it has to inform the relevant Member State of the planned action in advance and			
(4)	invite representatives of the relevant Member States to participate at the ramp inspection.			
(1) certification tasks assigned to the Agency by Regulation (EC) No 216/2008;		response on legal basis ramp inspection.		
(2) inspection of a Member State when it is suspected of not carrying out its inspections tasks in accordance with this Regulation; or	ings. Proposal: (e)(1) deleted. 5. [MS and INDIV] Proposal: (e)(2) deleted, inspection of MS shall be regulated in the CR			
	736/2006 and not here. 6. [IND] Proposal: A Member State's failure must not result in increased inspection activity for Community Operators. In	tion to the competent authority		
	this instance the Agency must address identified shortcomings with the competent authority concerned.			
(3) inspections of an organisation in case of a level 1 finding as referred to in AR.GEN.350 on its organisation or a category 3 finding as referred to in AR.GEN.440 on an aircraft .	7. [INDIV and MS] Proposal: (e)(3) reworded and added to the initial sentence. According Art 10 (3) the Agency may inspect undertakings when po-	"Undertaking" replaced by "or-		
	tential risk is identified by an operator (inspection of aircraft in cooperation with MS!) pro-			

	Pa	Part-AR			ct 2010
A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO refa
		posal: the Agency shall inspections of the undertakings in case of a detected level 1 finding on its organisation or aircraft based on certification procedures as- signed to the Agency by Regu- lation 216/2008			pitalice
AR.	EN.420 Prioritisation criteria				
(a)	The Agency shall provide the competent authorities with a list for the prioritisa tion of ramp inspections of operators or aircrafts identified as presenting a potential risk.		All parts related to the prioritisation of ramp inspections are transferred to AR.GEN.420.		
(b)	This list shall include:		(b)(1) and (2) are merged because the analyses referred to in (1) and (2) is the same as the analyses referred to in (a).		
	(1) operators of aircraft identified on the basis of the analysis of available data in accordance with AR.GEN.460(b)(4)				
			Not accepted. This is already covered in AR.GEN.425 "Collection of information"		
	(2) operators or aircraft communicated to the Agency by the Commission and identified on the basis of:				
	 (i) an opinion expressed by the Air Safety Committee (ASC) within the context of the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 that further verification of effective compliance with relevant safety standards through systematic ramp in- spections s necessary; 		The last sentence of (2)(i) is considered guidance for the competent authority and therefore downgraded to AMC 1-AR.GEN.420(b)(2)(i)		
	(ii) information obtained by the Commission from the Member States pursuant to Article 4 (3) of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.				
	(3) Aircraft operated into the Community by operators, included in Annex B of the list of operators subject to an operating ban pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.	required: how and by who can a banned aircraft be subject to a ground inspection? 2. [INDIV and MS] Comment: (c) Aircraft of operators, which aircraft are on Annex B of the list of air carriers subject to an operating ban pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005. 3. [MS] Proposal: Replace the sentence by "Aircraft of operators, which aircraft have been	1-3 Accepted. Text has been modified		
		subject to an operating ban pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005"			

	Part-AR					
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
(4	aircraft operated by operators certified in a State exercising regulatory oversight over operators included in the list referred to in (3)	whether "certified" refers to aircraft or to operators. The text could lead to misunder- standing; a clarification would be needed. 2. [INDIV] (d) Aircraft oper- ated by other operators certi-	2. Directive 2004/36 will be automatically revoked once Part Authority Requirements (AR) enters into force (art 69.5 Basic Regulation). The implementing rules based on Directive 2004/36 will be revoked by the Cover Regulation Part AR.		phanee	
(5)	of the EU for the first time	should be added between words "into" and "within". 2. [MS] Comment: there is no legal basis to include this criterion in the prioritisation criteria. If this criterion is left as it is though, a major modification is required: "Aircraft used by a third country operator that operates commercial transportation flight into within or out of the territory where the Treaty applies for the first time or has not been inspected for more than 6 months. [MS] What will be the tool used by the EASA to monitor this criterion and to	gramme" because the Agency considers that this should be part of the risk assessment carried out in accordance with AR.GEN.305 1. Accepted 2. This paragraph is included to address the oversight of third country operators that are authorised in accordance with the future Part TCO by the Agency or non- commercial operations operating with complex motor-powered aircraft which have made a declaration to the Agency. The Agency will inform the Member States in accordance with AR.GEN.420(a)			
	ne list shall be produced at least once every 4 months and after every update of the Commuty list of operators subject to an operating ban pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.	graph "(f) Aircraft operators or aircraft types or particular air-	Not accepted. Already covered following the route of AR.GEN.425, AR.GEN.460(b)(4)			

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	ing authority based in information collected under AR.GEN.425 (a)."	and AR.GEN.420 (a).		
	[MS] Proposal: add new para-	Not accepted. AR.GEN.420 does not exclude that all operators of		
		a particular country will be in-		
	operator with a licence in a state that is listed in the prior-	claded in the prioritisation list.		
	ity list In accordance with the provisions laid down in Article			
	3(2) as provided under Article 2 of Commission Regulation			
	351/2008/EC implementing Directive 2004/36/EC of 16			
	April 2008 as regards the prioritisation of ramp inspections			
	on aircraft using Community airports, Member States are to prioritise their ramp inspec-			
	tions on subjects landing at any of their airports open to			
	international air traffic.	Not accepted. Text is in line	Article 3 Directive	
AR.GEN.425 Collection of information	is no legal possibility to collect	with Article 3 of Directive 2004/36/EC. Moreover, compe-	2004/36/CE	
	craft/operator to ramp inspec-	tent authorities should also col- lect information to fulfil their re-		
	Therefore it is suggested to delete the whole paragraph or	sponsibilities under AR.GEN. Section 3.		
	at least review and reword it totally with the essential items.			
	In either case it shall be reduced only to "conducting			
	ramp inspections" and delete "continuing oversight".			
(a) The competent authority shall collect and process any information deemed useful for continuing oversight or conducting ramp inspections	essed data must be de-	2. See previous response on confidentiality.		
	identified and treated confidentially. (See also comment 16 above)	3. Covered in AR.GEN.015 (b).		
	3. [MS] Proposed Text: "(a)	4. The Agency will not have access to ECAIRS. Sources of in-		
	the Agency shall collect and	formation such as USOAP and FAA IASA results are taken into		
	ramp inspections. Where the	account when authorising a TCO in accordance with the future		
	inspecting authority is not the same as the competent authority for continuing oversight of			
	operators in the Member State, the inspecting authority shall	1		
	· · ·	A reference to the Agency has been deleted.		
	lects about the operators over- seen by the competent author-			
	ity." 4. [INDIV] Comment: This			

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b) The competent authority shall develop a report form to record this information on the basis of the form established in Appendix IIII	formation shall only referenced in the standard report when basis to select the operator/aircraft for ramp inspections. 2. [MS] Information received by the competent authorities of	2.The requirement to use the form as established in Appendix 1 has been deleted. The competent authority can use the form it considers appropriate. However it is still required to collect the information as listed in the		
	lete paragraph (b)			
AR.GEN.430 Qualification of ramp inspectors		 Accepted. See changed text. Not accepted. There is not legal basis in the Basic Regulation to include such a requirement. 	2.3.1, 2.3.2 and 2.4 Annex II to Directive 2008/49/EC	
(a) The competent authority and the Agency shall have qualified inspectors to conduct ramp inspections.		Not accepted. Already covered in paragraph (a).		

A: Ru	le		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b)	Ramp	inspectors shall:				
	(1)	possess the necessary aeronautical education or practical knowledge relevant to their area(s) of inspection.				
	(2)	have successfully completed:		This proposal will be considered in a future modification of GM to AR.GEN.430.		
		(i) appropriate specific theoretical and practical training, in one or more of the fol- lowing areas of inspection:	[MS] Proposal: add another category to the list of categories (e) " Ground Operations"	Not accepted. Categories A to D are areas of inspection. Ground operations are not such an area.		
		(A) flight deck;	[MS] Proposal: replace with "Operations".	Not accepted. The areas A to D of inspection are in accordance with the checklist (Appendix III).		
		(B) cabin safety;	[MS] Proposal: replace with "Licensing".	Not accepted The areas A to D of inspection are in accordance with the checklist (Appendix III).		
		(C) aircraft condition;	[MS] Proposal: replace with "Airworthiness".	Not accepted The areas A to D of inspection are in accordance with the checklist (Appendix III).		
		(D) cargo;	[IND, MS and IA] Proposal: to add (D) Dangerous Goods.	Not accepted. The areas A to D of inspection are in accordance with the checklist (Appendix III).		
		(ii) appropriate on-the-job training delivered by a senior ramp inspector appointed by the competent authority or the Agency.	[INDIV and MS] Proposal: Delete Agency because ramp inspections are only carried out by MS and not by the Agency.	inspections performed by the		
	(3)	maintain the validity of their qualification by undergoing recurrent training and by performing a minimum of 12 inspections in every 12 month period.	[MS] The continued validity	See previous response concerning the change of the scope of this section.		
(c)		raining in (b)(2)(i) shall be delivered by the competent authority or by an appropriately ied training organisation.				
(d)	cours	igency shall develop and maintain training syllabi and promote the organisation of training es and workshops for inspectors to improve the understanding and uniform implementation of this section.	[MS] Comment: It seems incorrect for Regulations that affect the Agency to be published in the AR Section. Questions: Does this paragraph indicate that the Agency itself will be running training courses for ramp Inspectors? Will the Agency become an appropriately qualified training organisation proving the training defined in AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i)? 2. [INDIV] The syllabus and not the full training programme shall be developed by the	The Agency will not provide training courses, but only determine the training content. 2. Accepted. Text modified.		

A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(e)	The Agency shall facilitate and coordinate an inspector exchange programme aimed at allowing inspectors to obtain practical experience and contributing to the harmonisation of procedures.				
AR.GI	EN.435 Conduct of Ramp inspections	inspection is a politically sensitive matter that has caused problems for operators in many EASA member states. It is not possible to combine a 'risk based system' with the notion of a national quota as described by EASA (see content of the workshops provided by EASA on these NPA's). The current proposal will only make such problems more common. 2. [IND and INDIV] Proposal: there is no Justification for an authority 'not having oversight' to perform a ramp inspection on an aircraft subject to another EASA Authority which does have oversight. 3. [IA and IND] Proposal: Add a requirement to establish a	vious that the participating States should have an equal contribution to those programmes. In addition the concept of minimum quota will enable the participating States to better identify the resources needed and better plan their activities. 2. See previous response to cooperative oversight. 3. The operators are immediately informed by the proof of inspection provided to the crew.	2004/36/CE	
			Not accepted. See previous response on legal basis for ramp inspection performed by the Agency. This paragraph is transferred to AR.GEN – Section 3.		
	np inspection shall be performed in a standardised manner using a ramp inspection report form oped by the competent authority on the basis of the form established in Appendix III				
(a)	When performing a ramp inspection, the inspector(s) shall make all possible efforts to avoid an unreasonable delay of the aircraft inspected.	possible efforts to avoid an un-	2. not accepted. Delay for safety reasons is justified.3. related GM is already clear enough	Article 4.5 Directive 2004/36/CE	

	P_{i}	art-AR		4.04	ct 2010
A: R	Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
(b)	On completion of the ramp inspection, the pilot-in-command/commander or, in his/her absence, another member of the flight crew or a representative of the aircraft operator shall be informed of the ramp inspections results using the form established in Appendix II.	completion of the ramp inspection, the pilot-in-command shall be informed of the ramp inspections results. [INDIV and MS] Proposal: Comment to (b) and (d): why using two separate forms (Appendix 2 and 3) with almost identical content. This is an unnecessary bureaucracy one	Noted. In case no crew is available than it will be provided to another representative. The requirement to use the form as established in Appendix 3 has been deleted. The competent authority can use the form or format it considers appropriate. However it is still required to	6.1 and 6.3 Annex II to Directive 2008/49/EC	pliance
		form shall be deleted.	use the inventory of items to be checked as listed in Appendix 3.	Directive 2008/49/EC An-	
AR.	GEN.440 Categorisation of findings			nex II 5.1	
	s		Text has been changed. Level 1 and 2 findings are replaced with Category 1, 2 and 3 findings. Moreover the provision has been brought in line with the findings defined in AR.GEN.350.		
(a).	a category 3finding when any significant non-compliance is detected with the applicable requirements or the terms of a certificate which has a major influence on safety .				
(b).	a category 2finding when any non-compliance is detected with the applicable requirements or the terms of a certificate which has a significant influence on safety a category 1 finding when any non-compliance is detected with the applicable requirements or the terms a certificate which has a minor influence on safety.				
AR.0	GEN.445 Follow up actions on findings	for information leaflets for crews, operators and authori- ties to help them become more and more familiar with the SAFA programme, to inform	Is already addressed in GM published in July and will be integrated in the current proposal		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(a)	For a category 2 or 3 finding the competent authority, or where relevant the Agency, shall:				
(2)	(1) communicate the finding in writing to the operator, including a request for evidence of corrective actions taken; and; inform the Agency, the competent authority of the State of the operator and, where relevant, the State in which the aircraft is registered or where the licence of the pilot-in-command/commander was issued. Where appropriate, the competent authority or Agency shall request for confirmation of their acceptance of the corrective actions taken by the operator in accordance with Part-AR.GEN.350, AR.GEN.355 or where relevant Subpart AR.TCO.(b) In addition to (a), in the case of a category 3 finding, the competent authority shall for a category 3 finding take immediate steps by:	Cannot have an opinion on this text until reading of the referred provisions. 2. [INDIV and MS] Proposal: (b): shall be deleted. According Art 10/2 only the MS shall carry out ramp inspections. 3. [IA] clarification: The current wording seems to imply	 see previous response legal basis for the Agency conducting ramp inspections. Not accepted. In principle Member States will perform ramp inspections on TCO. However if the Agency carries out the ramp inspection than the findings will be handled in accordance with Subpart AR.TCO. Accepted paragraph (e) is deleted; Noted. Will be taken into account when including the GM in the current proposal. 		
		"(d) 2. for category 3 findings and due to the significance of their potential influence on the	AR.GEN.440 already implies that a category 3 finding has significant influence on the safety of the aircraft and its occupants. 2. see modified paragraph (a).		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	cation process of the results of a ramp inspection on a TCO having raised significant or major finding should be trig- gered by the identification a category 2 or 3 finding.			
(i) imposing a restriction on the aircraft flight operation; or				
(ii) requesting immediate corrective actions; or				
(iii) grounding the aircraft in accordance with AR.GEN.450;		Already covered in Article 6.1 of Regulation 2111/2005		
(c) When the Agency has raised a category 3 finding, it shall request the competent authority where the aircraft is landed to take the appropriate measures in accordance with (b).		(e) is added to address the follow up actions to be taken by the Agency in case it raised a cat 3 finding.		
		First part of the comment not		
AR.GEN. 450 Grounding of aircraft	to which extend information shall be provided to the appropriate constitutions. Proposal: The wording in this paragraph should be changed from "grounding" to "delaying" and "aircraft is detained", respectively. The term "grounding" might cause irritations. Otherwise there should be a further description of what is meant by "grounding an aircraft" in the paragraph's context. 2. [MS] Comment: In order to be consistent with the current SAFA procedures well imple-	The term grounding is used in article 10(2) of the Basic Regulation. To avoid confusion implementing rules will use terms identical to the one used in the Basic Regulation. Definition of grounding in AR.GEN 410 is considered clear enough. 2. The Content of the current SAFA GM will be incorporated in this section. 3. Accepted. Actually an AMC was already "mapped" for this article, and it will be developed prior to the publication of the implementing rules.	2008/49/EC	

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(a)	In case of a category 3 finding where it appears that the aircraft is intended or is likely to be flown without completion by the operator or owner of the appropriate corrective action the competent authority shall:	1	accepted: see changed text see previous response on legal basis for conducting ramp inspections by the Agency		
	(1) notify the pilot-in-command /commander or the operator that the aircraft is not permitted to commence the flight until further notice; and	 [IA] Proposal: The pilot in command is always in command of the aircraft according to the law. No one else can appear to be in command of the aircraft [MS and IND] Proposal: Delete the words "or the person appearing to be in command" 	1 + 2. Accepted Text modified		
	(2) ground that aircraft.		After RG meeting: superfluous text deleted (covered by definition of "grounding")		
(b)	The competent authority where the aircraft is grounded shall immediately inform the competent authority of the State of the operator of the grounded aircraft and, the State in which the aircraft is registered, if relevant and the Agency in the case of a grounded aircraft used by a third-country operator.	scope of information - broad-cast to all member states the operator goes operates from/to. 2. [MS] Proposal: it is urgently requested to develop AMC to this requirement (for guideline, time frame, forms etc) 3. [MS] Proposal: replace by "The authority where the aircraft is grounded shall as soon as possible" 4. [MS] Comment: What is the benefit in terms of safety to inform immediately the Agency while the information will be contained in the report entered into the database. Proposal: remain consistent with the current SAFA procedures.	2. noted 3. ICAO Annex 8 also requires that the state must be informed immediately. 4. In the context of the responsibility of the Agency for the authorisation of TCO the Agency needs to be informed immediately on the grounding of an aircraft operated by a TCO.		
(c)	The competent authority shall in coordination with the State of the operator or the State of registry prescribe the necessary conditions under which the aircraft can be allowed to take-off	the paragraph: "Subpart P and the inspecting authority is sat- isfied with the restrictions and	compliance to align with the other parts and the categories of findings 1. A Part 21 Permit to Fly needs to accepted on the basis of article 11 of the Basic Regulation 2. see changed text		

A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		flown on the flight in question. Proposed text for the final sentence: "If the deficiency affects the validity of the certificate of airworthiness of the aircraft,	5. Article 9(4) of the Basic Regulation mandates the Agency to develop rules for aircraft that do not hold a standard ICAO certificate of airworthiness. Once adopted the Agency will issue an authorisation to aircraft that are sub ICAO.		
(d)	If the non-compliance affects the validity of the certificate of airworthiness of the aircraft, the grounding shall only be lifted by the competent authority when the operator shows evidence that it has obtained: (1) permission from third countries which will be over flown, if applicable; and (2) a permit-to-fly in accordance with Part-21, Subpart P for aircraft registered in a Member State; (3) a permit-to-fly or equivalent document of the State of Registry or the State of the operator for aircraft registered in a third country and operated by an EU operator; or (4) an operational authorisation in accordance with Part-TCO and a permit-to-fly or equivalent document of the State of Registry or the State of the operator for aircraft registered in a third country and used by a third country operator;	7. [IA] Proposal: Delete paragraph. It is unacceptable to grant the possibility to take off to an aircraft recognized unsafe, without corrective action. 8. [IA and MS] Comment: reference to TCO not available yet. (See comment33,277,358,529 above). 9. [MS] Comment: (d) "the Agency, in coordination with the State of the operator or the State of registry," may authorise the aircraft to take off only be lifted by the	permit to fly cannot be considered the same as a certificate of airworthiness therefore the operator cannot operate the aircraft commercially. However the permit to fly will allow the operator to carry out a ferry flight. 8. noted 9. noted 10. not accepted. The Agency will be responsible for the issuance of an so called "operational authorisation" 11. noted		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	practical from a field point of view. 10. [MS] Proposal: Replace by the following text "(d) When the aircraft with Subpart AR.TCO 210. These measures should be acceptable by the Inspecting Member State. If the deficiency" 11. [MS] Proposal: important potential problem laid down by this paragraph, a GM must be developed in addition of an AMC.			
AR.GEN. 455 Reporting	must be provided with a copy of all ramp inspection reports even if there are no findings arising. The Agency must con- firm that where an operator successfully appeals a ramp inspection finding databases	It is evident that the inspecting authority must update the re-		
 (a) information collected in accordance with AR.GEN.435 (a)shall be entered into the centralised database, referred to in AR.GEN.460(b)(2), within 21 calendar days after the inspection; (b) The competent authority or the Agency shall enter into the centralised database any information useful for the application of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules and for the accomplishment by the Agency of the tasks assigned to it by this Part, including the information referred to in AR.GEN.425(a); 	will be updated accordingly 2. [MS and INDIV] Comment: Wrong reference to AR.GEN.435(b). 3. [MS] Proposal: Delete Agency, according Art 10 (2) only the Ms shall carry out ramp inspections 4. [MS] Proposed text: "All relevant information relating to a ramp inspection shall be en- tered into the centralised Agency database within 21 cal- endar days after the inspec- tion" 5. [MS] Comment: It appears as mandatory to enter the data collected by ECCAIRS. It does not appear to be the case as the centralized database is de- signed to gather the informa-	4 and 5. See changed text; 21 days not accepted because it does not concern only information related to ramp inspections. 6See previous response on the change of scope of this section 7 and 8. Flagging of information concerning a potential hazardous safety threat is not done automatically and therefore need to be notified by the com-	rective 2004/36/CE 4.4 Annex II to Directive 2008/49/EC	

A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(c)	Whenever the information as referred to in AR.GEN 425 (b) shows the existence of a potential safety threat, such information shall also be communicated to the competent authority of the Member State and the Agency without delay	Only when third country operators are affected, the Agency will be informed separately. In all other cases the information are available in the data base 8. [MS] Proposed text: Whenever information collected under AR.GEN.425 or a ramp inspection shows the existence of a potential hazardous threat to safety, all relevant information shall be entered into the	should be made through the database. The intent of this requirement (in the SAFA Directive) was to communicate those cases where the noncompliances amount to a "Safety threat". There is no point of receiving a notification		
(d)	Whenever information concerning aircraft deficiencies is given by a person to the competent authority , the information referred to in)AR.GEN.425(b) and AR.GEN. 435 (a)shall be deidentified regarding the source of such information.	9. [IA] Proposal: Remove reference to AR.GEN.435 (d). Non voluntary by essence.	9. Not accepted. Also during ramp inspections information can be given voluntary. "voluntary" has been deleted to protect and therefore encourage disclosure of safety relevant information.	2004/36/CÈ	
		"National Authorities must as-	10. Not accepted. This kind of information is adequately protected by national law on data protection and article 15 and 16 of the Basic Regulation		
AR.GI	EN. 460 Agency coordination tasks	must be provided with a copy of all ramp inspection reports	Title changed after comments Review Group See previous response on ap- peals in AR.GEN.455	Article 2 Regulation (EC) No 768/2006	
(a)	The Agency shall manage and operate the tools and procedures necessary for the storage and exchange of:	,			
	(1) the information referred to in AR.GEN.425 and AR.GEN.435, using the forms as established in the Appendices 1 and 3;				

			art-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Ru	le		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	(2)	the information provided by third countries or international organisations with whom appropriate agreements have been concluded by the EU, or organisations with whom the Agency has concluded appropriate arrangements in accordance with Article 27(2) of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008.	[INDIV and MS] Question: Is it intended to have a link with the ECAIRS data base?	There is no intention to link the database with ECAIRS.		
b)	The n	nanagement shall include the following tasks:				
	(1)	store data from the Member States relevant to the safety information on aircraft landing at aerodromes located in the territory subject to the provisions of the Treaty applies;				
	(2)	develop, maintain and provide continuous updating of a centralised database containing				
		all the information referred to in paragraph (a)(1) and (2)	1. [INDIV] Comment: MS are not required to report according AR.GEN.425 and 435. When it is required, than this shall be mentioned in the effected points. 2. [MS] Proposed text: "All the information which Member States are obliged to collect and make available on the basis of AR.GEN.425, AR.GEN.435 and AR.GEN.425." [MS and INDIV Proposed text: "information which is re-			
			quired to be reported by the operator concerning the air safety of aircraft and of air operators operation".			
	(3)	provide necessary changes and enhancements to the database application;				
	(4)	analyse the centralised database and other relevant information concerning the safety of aircraft and of air operators and, on that basis:				
		 advise the Commission and the competent authorities on immediate actions or follow-up policy; 				
		(ii) report potential safety problems to the Commission and to the competent authorities;				
		(iii) propose co-ordinated actions to the Commission and to the competent authorities when necessary on safety grounds and ensure co-ordination at the technical level of such actions;		Covered in AR.GEN.030		
	(5)	liaise with other European institutions and bodies, international organisations and third country competent authorities on information exchange.		Review Group: third country added for clarification		

Part-AR

r	dit-AK	4 Oct 2010		
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO re and com- pliance
AR.GEN.465 Annual Report	[MS] Proposal: title for the paragraph "Information to the Commission". [MS] title for the paragraph "Annual Report".	Title has been changed	Article 5 Regulation (EC) No 768/2006	phanec
(a) The Agency shall prepare and submit to the commission an annual report on the ramp inspection system containing, at least, the following information:				
(1) status of the progress of the system		Review Group Reference to manual is obsolete.		
(2) status of the inspections performed in the year;				
(3) analysis of the inspection results with indication of the categories of findings;				
(4) actions taken during the year; and				
(5) Annexes containing lists of inspections sorted out by State of operation, aircraft type, operator and ratios per item.				
		Paragraph (b) and (c) is redundant because already covered in AR.GEN.470		
	[IND.] Typo error: replace "an advice" with "An advice"			
AR.GEN.470 Information to the public				
The Agency shall publish an aggregated information report annually that shall be available to the public and the stakeholders containing the analysis of the information received in accordance with AR.GEN.455. The report shall be simple and easy to understand, and the source of that information shall be de-identified.	avoided to present safety sen-	report doesn't contain sensitive safety information. Review Group: editorial changes		
SUBPART OPS - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AIR OPERATIONS				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	ECA - European Cockpit association Comment on AR.OPS.020: Transfer to AR.GEN.310, extend to all organisations. Justification: All organisations shall be subject to this register, and not only operators.	AR.OPS.020 is deleted because this is already covered by AR.GEN.220	•	
	Comment CAA-NL: The CAA-NL requests to clarify "declarations received" for reasons of clarity/ uniform interpretation.			
SECTION I - CERTIFICATION OF COMMERCIAL AIR OPERATORS				
AR.OPS.100 Issue of the air operator certificate				
(a) The competent authority shall issue the air operator certificate, when satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with the applicable requirements of: (1) Part-OR; and (2) Part-CAT, Part-SPO and Part-SPA, as applicable. (b) The certificate shall include the associated operations specifications.	AR.OPS.210 Comment: This provides for the issue of an air operator's certificate to include "general conditions". There is no other reference to this term in the NPA so its meaning needs to be clarified. 3.(MS) The operations specifications document does not include a dedicated part for the aircraft registration marks. If a specific system, as permitted in e) of Appendix 1 to OPS 1.175, has been approved by the registration authority, it should be noted in this part.	The operation specification details are defined in Appendix I to Annex 1 A section for Aircraft registration marks is included in the operation specification template. 2. The wording "and general conditions" is considered redundant and therefore has been deleted. Text modified after consulting RG.001: reference to Parts CAT, SPO and SPA		
	AR.OPS.210 there is no requirement on rule level to do an initial inspection to organization, facilities, operational arrangements, training, re-	Access to the operator's facilities, aircraft, etc is covered in		

Part-AR			4	Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	routes (new routes) of the AOC			
	applicant (ref. corresponding			
	requirements in			
	AR.OPS.300(a), AR.GEN.310,			
	AR.AeMC.010 and Reg. (EC)			
	No 2042/2003). AMC to			
	AR.GEN.310(a) (of NPA No			
	2008-22b or NPA 2009-2d) is			
	at too low level and the re-			
	quirement should be written at			
	rule level. More exact guide-			
	lines on how to do the inspec-			
	tion can be given in AMC.			
	Justification:			
	The operational arrangements			
	of a new operator must be in-			
	spected at the operating air-			
	port, in the facilities of the op-			
	erator and on representative			
	routes/areas to be flown before			
	granting an AOC.			
	The application and verifying			
	the OM is not giving all the			
	knowledge to satisfy the Au-			
	thority. Even if the applicant			
	for an AOC may have been			
	earlier an approved organisa-			
	tion on another area (Part-145			
	or FTO/ATO), beginning as a			
	new Commercial Air Operator			
	especially with complex aircraft			
	is so big a step that the opera-			
	tor must be inspected before			
	approval.			

Part-AR				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
	goes beyond the requirements of Subpart P of EU-OPS and will lead to additional bureaucracy and associated costs for no safety benefit. Furthermore they add there is	AR.GEN.310(c) and (d) and AR.GEN 330. 2. changes that need a prior approval are listed in GM to OR.GEN.030		
	must be immediately informed by the operator of those changes although those	The issue is not related to the publication of minor changes in the Operations Manual but the need to identify which of these changes require prior approval by the competent authority.		

	Part-AR		4	1 Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
AR.OPS.105Code-share agreement	1. (IA and MS) Part-TCO is not available for comments. 2. (IA and IND) Goes beyond EU-OPS and the Basic Regulation. 3. (MS) no legal basis for codeshares. This requirement is also in breach of existing ASAs 4. (MS) an arrangement under which an operator places its designator on a flight operated by a TCO cannot be interpreted as "used" by the community operator. Code-share falls within the scope of art.4.1(d). 5. (INDIV) Art.4(1)(c) was agreed on the assumption that this covers "lease agree-	1-5 Code-sharing is within the scope of Article 4.1(c) of the Basic Regulation and therefore implementing rules need to address code-sharing as well. From a safety perspective regulating code-share is fully justified. Passengers purchasing a ticket from a EU operator but flying with a third country codeshare partner will expect that this operator is subject to the same standards as applicable to the EU operator selling the ticket. However, it is considered disproportionate to require third country code-share partners to comply with the full set of implementing rules. Therefore, a possibility is created for the non-EU operator never flying into a	compliance	
(a) Before approving any code-share agreement involving a third-country operator, the corauthority shall:	mpetent (MS) propose to add"() the competent authority of the Member State issuing the AOC shall":	provision the approach for code- sharing established by the USA has been taken into account [see also response to comment 1-3 below on paragraph (1)]. 6. Transitional measures will be discussed in the context of the Cover Regulation to Part-OR. The competent authority re- sponsible for approving the code-share arrangements is de- fined in OR.GEN.105. "For the purpose of this Part the compe-		
		tent authority shall be: in the case of organisations: for organisations having their principle place of business in a MS, the authority designated by that MS".		

Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com-
(1) verify that the conditions specified in OR.OPS.AOC.115 are met;	European operators when they require a TCO authorisation for connection flights in third countries. Thus with TCOs which never enter Europe. 2. (IA and IND) this requirement does not take into account that many code-share partners never enter Europe. One way code-share. What about the case where a Blacklisted TCO puts a code on the flight of an EU airline? 3. (MS) Disadvantage: when TCO only acts as a marketing partner butTCO authorisation is nevertheless required. Not re-	1-3. A third country operator conducting commercial operations into, within or out of the EU will need to hold an authorisation issued by the Agency. So in case of code-share such an operator will need to apply for or hold a TCO authorisation. The oversight will be carried out by the Agency. If the code-share partner doesn't operate in the EU then it either applies for a TCO authorisation or the EU operator establish a code-share audit programme ensuring that the third country operator complies with the essential requirements. The oversight of the operator could also be ensured through IOSA audits provided that independency is ensured or an officially recognised standard is used certified by an independent standardisation authority e.g. CEN. However, the EU operator is ultimately responsible for the code-share partner. The competent authority in its turn will be responsible for the approval of the code-share audit program. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the Department of Transport (DOT) have established similar requirements for code-share. These can be found in "Code-share Safety Program Guidelines" from the DOT and FAA.		pliance

A: Ru	le		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	(2)	if applicable review the audit report provided by the EU operator showing compliance of the third-country operator with Annex IV to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008; and	share partners to comply with Annex IV goes beyond scope of the Basic Regulation (no legal basis). ICAO or equivalent should be sufficient (legal basis?) 3. No audits required when IOSA registered. 4. (MS) Instead of compliance with Annex IV, compliance with ICAO standards or equivalent should be considered. IOSA should be a recognised standard.	2. See previous comment on legal basis and IOSA standards 3-4 Compliance with Annex IV to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 could be demonstrated by applying the IOSA program audits provided that independency is ensured or an officially recognised standard is used certified by an independent standardisation authority e.g. CEN. 5. Audits will be carried out under the responsibility of the operator, not the competent au-		
			(MS) inconsistency with OR.OPS.035(a)(3)	Paragraph deleted since the content is already covered in paragraph (a)(1)		
	(3)	liaise with the competent authority of the State of the third-country operator as considered necessary.				
(b)	The a	approval of a code-share agreement shall be suspended or revoked whenever:				
	(1)	the third country operator is subject to an operating ban pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005; or	(MS) how inform SoO when authorisation is revoked	Proposed text in Subpart AR.TCO: AR.TCO.225: "The Agency shall inform the Commission and each Member State when it limits, suspends or revokes an authorisation it has issued" [Please note that the NPA on TCO is not published yet]		
	(2)	the authorisation of the third-country operator is suspended or revoked in accordance with Part-TCO; or				
	(3)	an audit as referred to in OR.OPS.AOC.115(d)reveals that the third-country operator was failing to maintain compliance with Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008.	(MS) proposal: add "(3) the competent authority of the MS issuing the AOC identifies any problems that the operator maintains compliance with Annex (IV) of the Basic Regulation or its standards."	See previous response to comment 4 on point(a)(2).		
AR.OF	PS.110	OLease agreements				
(a)		competent authority shall approve a lease agreement when satisfied that the operator cerin accordance with Part-OR complies with: OR.OPS.AOC.100 (b)(2) and (c)(1) and(2)concerning the inclusion of dry leased aircraft	Requirement for TCO approval	2. See changed text in		

A: Ru	ile	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b)	in its AOC; or (2) OR.OPS.AOC.110, for wet lease-in and dry lease-out of an aircraft. The approval of a wet lease-in agreement shall be suspended or revoked whenever: (1) the AOC of the lessor or lessee is suspended or revoked; (2) the authorisation of the lessor is suspended or revoked in accordance with Part-TCO; or (3) the lessor is subject to an operating ban pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005. (c) When asked for the prior approval of a dry-lease out agreement in accordance with OR.OPS.AOC.110 (d), the competent authority shall ensure: (1) proper coordination with the competent authority responsible for the continuing oversight of the aircraft in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003, if it is not the same authority; (2) that the aircraft is timely removed from the operator's AOC.	quirements imposed to the lessee will make wet lease-in impossible and not in line with Regulation 1008/2008. No safety justification. 2. (IND) delete entire paragraph and use the exact wording of Regulation 1008/2008	tions. A specific reference to OR.OPS.AOC.100 has been		
	ION II - APPROVALS PS.200 Specific approval procedure				
(a) (b)	Upon receiving an application for the issue of a specific approval or changes thereof, the competent authority shall assess the application in accordance with the relevant requirements of Part-SPA. and conduct, where relevant, an appropriate inspection of the operator. When satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority shall issue or amend. The approval shall contain: (1) the operation specifications, as established in Appendix I to this Part for commercial operations; or (2) the list of specific approvals, as established in Appendix II to this Part for noncommercial operations.	credit to operators for relief on national oversight by use of third party quality systems; IATA's IOSA system or ISO 9000-series certification. 2. (MS) AR.OPS.300 is a rather unclear statement. Proposal: The paragraph should be reviewed in accordance with AR.OPS.230 and OR.OPS.015.MLR (g), (h) 3. (MS) This paragraph needs some clarification on which part of Appendix I to Part-AR is supposed to be used by the competent authority according to the type of operation when issuing a Specific operations approval? The operation specifications template should be	3. See previous response The rule title "Certification procedure" has been changed for clarity to "specific approval". Point(b)(2) is added to cater for a new operation specifications template for non-commercial		

	ro	Part-AR			Oct 2010
A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
AR.O	PS.205 Minimum equipment list approval				
(a) (b)	When receiving an application for initial approval of a minimum equipment list (MEL)or an amendment thereof, from an operator, the competent authority shall assess each item affected, to verify compliance with the applicable requirements before issuing the approval. The competent authority shall approve the operator's procedure,, for the extension of the applicable rectification intervals B, C and D, if the conditions specified in OR.OPS.MLR.105 are demonstrated by the operator and verified by the competent authority.	approval, all operators without exception must be inspected by the Competent Authority to demonstrate their compliance with the necessary requirements to obtain that approval. 2. (MS) what is the intent of the "inspection of the Organisation" as mentioned in paragraph (a). 3. (IND) propose to delete point (b) from AR.OPS.305 and add a statement that rectification Intervals can be extended. The proposal is supported by the following considerations: "Although MMELs have been designed with the Rectification Interval Extension (RIE) in mind, not all MMEL have yet been updated to include a statement in the preamble. EU	fore the MEL approval do not lead to any benefit. Instead, the inspection activities must be conducted following the approval phase, and focussing on the application made by the operator, of the approved MEL and related maintenance and operational procedures. 2. The competent authority should audit the operator's conformance to MEL requirements on an on-going basis and as a part of any organisation audit programme. This is defined in OR.GEN and AR.GEN. The wording "and conduct where relevant, an inspection of the organisation" is deleted because the MEL and related maintenance and operations procedures approval is a documentary		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	AR.GEN.045 (2) is not correct (the provision referred to doesn't exist!) The current Authority Requirements (AR) and some of the related OR are completely	AR.OPS.210 is therefore deleted.		
AR.OPS.210 Local area The competent authority shall determine the radius of a local area for the purpose of: (a) carriage of documents; (b) operational flight plan; and (c) applicability of flight crew training requirements.		New rule to create the legal basis for the prior approval of local area, providing for certain alleviations.		

Part-AR 4				4 Oct 2010	
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance	
SUBPART FCL - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO FLIGHT CREW LICENSING					
SECTION 1 - GENERAL					
AR.FCL.120 Record-keeping		It is already covered by Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 Article 15 (1) (information network) and AR.GEN.200.			
In addition to the records required in AR.GEN.220(a), the competent authority shall include in its system of record-keeping details of theoretical knowledge examinations and the assessments of pilot' skills.	a. Nine comments (NAA) requested clarification of the wording used: "theoretical knowledge examinations and examinations and assessment of pilot's skill". Some of them are proposing to change it into: "a system of record-keeping details of theoretical knowledge and flight examinations." b. One of the comments men-	The Agency agrees with the proposals made mentioning that the term must be reworded. In order to address records of theoretical knowledge examinations and flight examinations the text			
SECTION II - LICENCES, RATINGS AND CERTIFICATES					
AR.FCL.200 Procedure for issue, revalidation and renewal of a licence, rating or certificate	tent authorities request a clari-		JAR-FCL 1.075 JAR-FCL 2.075		
		Moved to new AR.GEN.315			

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
			Moved to new AR.GEN.315		
(a)	Issue of licences and ratings. The competent authority shall issue a pilot licence and associated ratings, using the form as established in the Appendix to this Part.	should be done within 72 hours.	Not accepted. The Agency carefully reviewed the comments on this segment and discussed the proposal to introduce a certain time limit with the experts. Based on this the Agency decided that the rule text should be kept unchanged. The first part of the sentence was deleted as it would be a repetition of AR.GEN.315.		
(b)	Issue of instructor and examiner certificates. The competent authority shall issue an instructor or examiner certificate as:	IND) for the issuing and management of examiner certificates.b. Four MS also propose to delete the word "flight" based on the fact that all kinds of examiners should be included, not	 a. Part-FCL Paragraph FCL.015(d) and Subpart K has been redrafted. The issue mentioned with this comment is addressed. No need for further clarification in this Part. b. Accepted. The Agency agrees and will amend the text accordingly. Based on the fact that in Subpart FCL the only examiners mentioned are the examiners 		
	(1) an endorsement of the relevant privileges in the pilot licence as established in the Ap pendix to this Part; or				
	(2) a separate document, in a form and manner specified by the competent authority.	two comments for those persons who do not hold a licence. b. Harmonisation of format has been requested by several NAAs.	licence, or to issue a separate certificate. b. Noted. All comments related to the harmonisation of the format will be reviewed by Rulemaking Task FCL.002		
(c)	Endorsement of licence by examiners. Before specifically authorising certain examiners to revalidate or renew ratings or certificates the competent authority shall develop appropriate procedures.	the examiner privilege to endorse the revalidation and/or renewal of a rating was not clearly expressed and should be clarified. b. One comment (NAA) is proposing the following: "In case of SEP or TMG class ratings the	phasis on the new wording incorporated in the final version of Part-FCL (Subpart K). b. Not accepted. The Agency carefully reviewed the additional option for the endorsement procedure provided with this com-		

A: Ru	le	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		structor shall follow the requirement FCL.1030 and App 9 to FCL for the training flight.	of lowering the administrative burden for licence holders with such ratings and the authorities. However, during the discussion with the experts involved in the review it was made clear that such a procedure is already in place in some Member States and created already some problems as instructors are not briefed to fulfil this task. Based on this the Agency decided to keep the proposed system and only allow specifically authorised and briefed examiners to endorse a pilot licence.		
		MS(18),IA(5), IND(1)	dorse a prior ricerice.		
AR.F	CL.205 Monitoring of examiners	a. Some comments challenge the word "examiner" and propose to add "flight". They state that it could lead to a misunderstanding if left like it is proposed. (see segment above) b. One comment (MS) requests the deletion of that paragraph because it would be covered by AR.GEN.300. c. One comment (MS) queries if this rule allows a "numerus clausus" of examiners.	a. Not accepted. The Agency is of the opinion that the term "examiner" should be used as this is the general term for all examiner categories. As this subpart is called subpart FCL there is no risk that the term "examiner" could be mixed up because there are no other examiners involved than the ones examining pilots. b. Not accepted. AR.GEN.300 describes the general principles of oversight programs. AR.FCL.205 deals in detail with the oversight of examiners. c. If the applicant fulfils the requirements, a certificate will be issued by the competent authority (see AR.FCL.200). The Agency does not see a need for a further clarification or change based on all the modifications and amendments already introduced in Part-FCL. d. This question is addressed in the required briefing for the examiner defined in Part-FCL. It is not an issue to be solved with these requirements in Part-AR.		
(a)	The competent authority shall develop an oversight programme to monitor the conduct and performance of examiners taking into account:		,		
	(1) the number of examiners it has certified; and				
	(2) the number of examiners certified by other competent authorities exercising their privileges within the territory where the competent authority exercises oversight.	many MS and one IA) challenge the fact that they are not in a position to conduct proper oversight over the examiners whom they are not aware of. Some of them propose to de-	a. Partially accepted. The privi- leges of examiners have been clarified by introducing a new wording of Part-FCL Subpart K. In addition to that only the au- thority of licence issue can issue an examiner certificate (see Part-FCL, FCL.015 (d)). The		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	some kind of an additional approval if a foreign examiner intends to conduct checks on another territory. b. One comment (IA) suggests establishing a European database for examiners.	Agency believes that this will solve the problem raised. FCL.1015 requires the examiner now to inform the competent authority of the intention to conduct a skill test if the applicant's authority is not the same one that issued the examiner certificate. Furthermore a specific briefing for the examiner is required. b. Partially accepted. For the near future a European-wide databank is not foreseen but an additional requirement will be introduced as item (c) of this paragraph requesting the competent authorities to keep an updated list of examiners they have approved.		
(b) The competent authority shall have a sufficient number of inspectors to implement the oversight programme.	requests that the status and the privileges of inspectors and	Noted. AMC1 FCL.1020 and 1025 have been further developed and now clarify how a senior examiner should be qualified in the role of inspector. Text at the end of the sentence deleted as this explanation is not needed.		
(c) The competent authority shall maintain a list of examiners it has certified, stating their privileges. The list shall be published and kept updated by the competent authority.	(MS)propose to introduce a requirement, which will clarify that they will be informed in advance of the test/check dates for oversight and monitoring purposes. b. Some comments were addressed to the section above proposing a European database for examiners.	a. Not accepted. The Agency discussed this proposal with the experts involved in the review and came to the conclusion that such an additional requirement would increase the administrative burden on the ATOs, examiners and competent authorities to a high extent. It was pointed out that "spot checks" (also on the examiners and ATOs) by the competent authority can always be conducted. Mandatory prior information to be provided by the ATO seems not to be necessary to conduct a better oversight over the examiners as a lot of tests and checks (especially for LAPL/PPL/SPL/BPL and ratings) will be scheduled on short notice. b. An additional item (c) will be introduced in order to establish a list of examiners based on comments received in the section above. Not accepted. After careful con-	JAR.FCL.1.030	
	that the requirements should open up a possibility for the	sideration the Agency is of the opinion that such an additional requirement should not be in-		

P	art-AR	4 Oct 2010		
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	aminer.	troduced in such a general way. It seems more important that the authority will have an opportunity to send an inspector or senior examiner to an examination (prior information for this is required) in order to address this kind of specific needs expressed in this comment.		phance
AR.FCL.210 Information for examiners The competent authority may provide examiners with safety criteria to be observed when skill tests and proficiency checks are conducted in an aircraft.	MS(11), IA(2)	a. & b. Noted. This is a legal aspect which has to be developed		1.
	IA and some MS) request that the safety criteria mentioned should be harmonised between Member States. Two representatives of authorities are even proposing to change the text in order to read: "The Agency shall provide". One stakeholder mentions that insurance problems have to be addressed also. b. Some other comments question the need of this requirement and propose to delete it in total. c. Several comments (MS) re-	FCL.1015(b)(3) in which a briefing about insurance and liability issues is mentioned. In a future rulemaking task (FCL.002) general guidance regarding "safety criteria" on the basis of the JAA flight examiner manual will be developed. This material will be published by the Agency but the Member States should further be allowed and requested to provide additional "safety criteria" if needed. The wording was changed in order to make this clear. c. Accepted. However, it should be clarified that these safety criteria will apply to examiners when test/checks are conducted in an aircraft. Clarification of		
	MS(17), IA(4), IND(4), IN-	wording has been incorporated.	JAR-FCL 1.025	
AR.FCL.215 Validity period	DIV(2)		JAR-FCL 2.025	
(a) When issuing or renewing a rating or certificate, the competent authority or, in the case of renewal, an examiner specifically authorised by the competent authority, shall extend the validity period until the end of the month in which the proficiency check or assessment of competence was taken.	a. Some comments request to align this with Part FCL.740.	a. Noted. The Agency decided to delete all the references and explanations in Part-FCL regarding the definition of validity periods. It will be a general rule in AR.FCL.215 and will not be re-		
(b) When revalidating a rating, an instructor or an examiner certificate, the competent authority, or an examiner specifically authorised by the competent authority, shall extend the validity period of the rating or certificate until the end of the month in which the validity would otherwise ex- pire.	b. One comment proposes to align this procedure with medical procedures.	peated in Part-FCL or Part-MED. FCL.740 no longer contains an explanation about how to count the validity period. b. Not accepted. This wording is		
	c. Some comments pointed out that this also applies to examiners. d. Two comments request to	Agency decided to transfer this wording and to introduce the same procedure.		
	replace "shall" by "may/can".	c. Accepted. The wording will be changed accordingly.		

	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	tions that the last sentence will not work in the case of a renewal.	d. Not accepted. The Agency does not agree based on the fact that the procedure introduced should be used by all the authorities to have a standardised approach. e. Accepted. The comment is right in general that this sentence could be misinterpreted. The Agency decided therefore to create a separate subparagraph for the case of a renewal in order to clarify the issue.		
(c) The competent authority, or an examiner specifically authorised for that purpose by the competent authority, shall enter the expiry date on the licence or the certificate.	add "or examiner" in order to be consistent. Some others are proposing to use the JAR-FCL wording.	a. Agreed. The text will be amended.		
(d) The competent authority may develop procedures to allow privileges to be exercised by the licence or certificate holder for a maximum period of 8 weeks after successful completion of the applicable examination(s), pending the endorsement on the licence or certificate.		b. Noted. If the temporary endorsement is done according to		
	MS (7)			
AR.FCL.220 Procedure for the re-issue of a pilot licence				
(a) The competent authority shall re-issue a licence whenever necessary for administrative reasons and: (1) after initial issue of a rating;	that there is an inconsistency with Appendix III to Annex 1 in this Part (mentioning a reissue date on the licence).	Accepted. The Agency agrees and will also change this item in the licensing form. The comments on the former JAA based 5 years period was discussed and carefully reviewed but based on the fact that such a mandatory re-issue would create a huge administrative burden it was decided not to re-introduce such a requirement. Based on the fact that the authority will have to re-issue the licence whenever an additional rating has to be endorsed on a licence some kind of "control" is provided anyway. a. Accepted. The wording of		

A: Ru	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		tency regarding the privileges	AR.FCL.200 will be amended in order to reflect this. However, it should be highlighted that the examiner will only be allowed to do this in the case of revalidations and renewals but not in		
		Member State to re-issue a licence after renewal of a rating would be an undesirable administrative burden for the competent authority. The pro-	the case of the initial issue of a licence or rating. b. Accepted. The Agency agrees with this proposal and will delete the requirement to re-issue a licence after the renewal of a rating. Especially in the case of a renewal signed by an examiner specifically authorised to do so such a procedure makes no sense and would increase the administrative burden for the NAAs and the costs for the licence holders.		
	(2) when paragraph XII of the licence established in Appendix VII to this Part is completed andno further spaces remain.				
(b)	Only valid ratings and certificates shall be transferred to the new licence document.		Accepted. The text will be amended accordingly. Additionally the term "and certificates" will be added based on the changes in AR.FCL.215.		
AD E	CL 250 Limitation suspension and revesation of licenses, ratings and certificates	MS(21), IA(7)			
(a)	The competent authority shall limit, suspend or revoke as applicable a pilot licence and associated ratings or certificates in accordance with AR.GEN.355 in, but not limited to, the following circumstances:	that processing for revoking a licence should be subject to investigation, and should not be an automatically initialised process after an accident or incident, to protect individual rights. One IA further mentions that an investigation could last several years before the final conclusions will be published. b. Several comments (MS) challenge the fact that this requirement is not according to national law, and that it is too prescriptive. c. Some comments request that the list should be in AMC. d. Some comments request an exhaustive list and criteria to be extended to private life. e. One comment (MS) proposes to introduce a system where the pilot would be required to prove every 2 years that he/she has a "reliable per-	a. Accepted. The wording in (a) and (a) (3) will be amended accordingly in order to reflect this. b. AR.GEN.355 already establishes the ground for the authority to be active in this case. The Agency does not see any conflict with national laws at this stage and strongly believes that this important safety related requirement should stay. c. Not accepted. The Agency discussed this issue during the review and decided to keep the list in the Implementing Rule. d. & e. Not accepted. The issue raised was carefully reviewed and discussed with the experts involved in the review. No reason could be seen to add further criteria. It should be mentioned that such an official documenta-		

A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref
		traffic offences).	tion of criminal acts and of- fences is often not available in the Member States. Therefore the introduction of this kind of criteria would cause some prob- lems.		pliance
		f. One comment (MS) proposes to add: "including but not limited to the following" saying that this should not become a definite list. They propose to transfer the given list into AMC.	sponse provided above.		
(1)	obtaining the pilot licence, rating or certificate by falsification of submitted documentary evidence;				
(2)	falsification of the logbook and licence or certificate records;				
		a. One comments points out that the incident should be defined according to ICAO Annex 13. (Serious incident) b. One comment (MS) highlights that this requirement will be a "blanket requirement for enforcement action legally unsustainable". The proposal is to change the text in order to read: "if there is a possibility that pilot competence may be a causal factor."	b. Accepted. The text will be amended accordingly. It was decided to create an additional item (b). The amended text will be transferred to (b).		
(3)	the licence holder no longer complies with the applicable requirements of Part FCL;				
(4)	exercising the privileges of a licence, rating or certificate when adversely affected by al cohol or drugs;	letion of item (5) because it would be covered under Part-Medical.	that Part-Medical deals with alcoholic dependency, and that this paragraph deals with the exercise of privileges under the influence of alcohol or drugs which is obviously forbidden under the ICAO rules of the air. As Part-Medical does not contain any requirement for revoking a pilot licence or certificate it has to be kept here.		
(5)	non-compliance with the applicable operational requirements;	data flight recorder do often	216/2008 article 16 § 2 protection of the source of information covers the management system as developed in OR.GEN.200.		
			Text moved to a new subparagraph (c) for editorial reasons.		
(6)	evidence of malpractice or fraudulent use of the certificate; or				

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		case. The comment suggests introducing some kind of a proficiency check with a senior	review. As there are already several ways to act for the authority (a proficiency check with a senior examiner could be an intermediate solution) defined and mentioned in AR.GEN.355 the Agency does not see a need to add an additional step here. When limiting or suspending a licence, rating or certificate the competent authority might decide to require a proficiency check.		
	(7) unacceptable performance in any phase of the flight examiner's duties or responsibili - ties.				
(b)	if during the investigation following an accident or incident in which the licence holder was involved while exercising the privileges of his/her licence, rating or certificate if there is evidence that pilot competence may be a causal factor for the accident or incident, the competent authority may suspend the licence, rating or certificate pending the results of the investigation.				
(c)	The competent authority may also limit, suspend or revoke a licence, rating or certificate upon the written request of the licence or certificate holder.				
(d)	All skill tests, proficiency checks or assessments of competence conducted during suspension or after the revocation of an examiner's certificate will be invalid.	quest clarification on how an applicant can know that the examiner has not been suspended. Two comments (MS) propose a central EASA databank of examiners with a valid certificate. b. Two IAs are proposing to add: "Depending on the case the competent authority may approve the examination as valid".	he/she will have no certificate and should be deleted immediately from that list. b. Not accepted. The Agency does not agree and will not accept tests or checks done with an examiner who has not the privilege to conduct that test (check).		
		pose to introduce as a new item c) a procedure defining how a person with a revoked licence, rating or certificate could receive his/her licence back. b. One comment (IA) proposes to introduce an additional paragraph AR.FCL.260 in order to clarify the review policy. The proposal is to install an independent committee composed	b. Not accepted. The Agency does not agree with this proposal as this task is clearly a task for the competent authority. Such a process would not be the right tool for this kind of oversight and enforcement action as it will further complicate		
SEC	TION III - THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE EXAMINATIONS				
Ĺ				1	

A: Ru	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
AR.F	CL.300 Examination procedures	that the complexity of questions, the pass mark procedure, number of seatings and time frame for completing all seatings is not detailed. b. Some others comments are of the opinion that duration or distribution of questions is not appropriate	b. Noted. In the past the JAA had developed certain procedures to address this issue. Specific expert teams discussed those points and developed procedures for the distribution of questions. This system is actually under investigation and will be changed. The Agency will establish a system which will take care of issues like this one. Furthermore a future task will deal with the Learning Objectives.	JAR-FCL 1.480 JAR-FCL 2.480	
(a)	The competent authority shall put in place the necessary arrangements and procedures to allow applicants to undergo theoretical knowledge examinations in accordance with the applicable requirements of Part-FCL.	the fact that some Member States do not provide enough	a. & b. Noted. The Agency would like to highlight that the competent authorities might task or contract qualified entities with some of the certification tasks.		
(b)	In the case of the ATPL, MPL, CPL, and instrument ratings, those procedures shall comply with the following:	that the procedures should be also valid for the theoretical examination for non professional licences and examiners. b. One comment (MS) pro-	a. Not accepted. As this would put a heavy burden on the noncomplex small training organisations providing training only for the LAPL or the PPL. Standardisation visits will take place to harmonise those procedures. b. Noted. The Agency will do a final review at the end of the review period in order to check this kind of issues.		
	(1) Examinations shall be done in written or in a computer based form.	lated AMC suggest that this requirement should be moved to the level of an Implementing Rule. b. Three comments request that the wording should be	b. A written examination in Part-FCL does not exclude computer based examination. Written examination means that it is not		

	Part-AR 4 Ou					
A: R	ule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
			tions only.			phanee
	(2)	Questions for an examination shall be selected form the European Central Question Bank by the competent authority according to a common method which allows coverage of the entire syllabus in each subject; and	,	does not agree and decided to keep the theoretical examination		
	(3)	The examination in Communications may be provided separately from those in other subjects. An applicant who has previously passed one or both of the examinations in VFR and IFR Communications shall not be re-examined in the relevant sections.				
(c)	The c	competent authority shall inform applicants of the languages available for examinations.				
(d)	The c	competent authority shall establish appropriate procedures to ensure the integrity of the ninations.				
(e)	cedur	e competent authority finds that the applicant is not complying with the examination prores during the examination, this shall be assessed with a view to failing the applicant, ein the examination of a single subject or in the examination as a whole.	out that the wording used is unclear. Some other comments mention that there are some	Not accepted. The Agency does not agree and will keep the proposed wording as it was also used under JAR-FCL without creating any problems of understanding.		
(f)	furthe	competent authority shall ban applicants, who are proven to be cheating, from taking any er examination for a period of at least 12 months from the date of the examination in they were found cheating.	time period of the level of ban- ishment and propose different time periods (from 3 to 60 months).	commitment of the authorities so far. b. Not accepted. After careful review the Agency considers that 12 months is a minimum, which does not prevent authorities to take a more restrictive decision. No change is required.		
			out that there is no time limit given between the training course and the initial examination. One comment proposes to add: "(g) The competent authority shall require refresher training before the applicant may take an exam if the training has	Not accepted. The Agency carefully reviewed this issue and decided finally to keep the wording unchanged. Part-FCL provides further clarification in FCL.025 and defines that the recommendation by the ATO will be valid for 12 months. If a certain candidate for the TK exams is able to pass the examination within the 12 months no further restriction or limitation should be introduced.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
SUBPART CC - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO CABIN CREW	IND: delete the entire section MS: content of this Subpart not in line with Article 8/4 of BR; regulate CCA as required by EU-OPS - to be limited to initial training	These comments conflict with BR: Art.8 (4) cannot be seen in isolation but in conjunction with Art. 8 (5) (e) that requires conditions to be specified for the validity and use of the CCA This is why the CCA cannot be limited to initial training, as reflected in this Subpart.	and 8(5)(e) Annex IV (7) & (8) Above references are valid for the entire sub-	
SECTION I - ORGANISATIONS PROVIDING CABIN CREW TRAINING OR ISSUING CABIN CREW ATTESTATIONS	IND: amend txt "cabin crew initial safety training"	See response in above box The title has been revised to re- flect the content of the section		
AR.CC.100Approval of organisations to provide cabin crew training or to issue cabin crew attestations	IA (comment confirmed by Indiv. members): harmonize standard requirements applicable in MS and explain in AMC	The comment proposal conflicts with BR: Reg. 216/2008 provides the legal basis to specify conditions for certification of operators, and for the CCA process, but not for the approval of TO which remains the responsibility of the Members States The title has been revised in line with the section title.	and 8(5)(e) + Appendix 1 to OPS 1.175 Content and conditions	
(a) Before issuing an approval to a training organisation or a commercial air transport operator to provide cabin crew training the competent authority shall verify that:	No comments	Editorial changes for clarity and consistency with Part-AR		
(1) the conduct, the syllabi and programmes of the training courses provided by the organisation comply with the relevant requirements of Part-CC, and of Part-OR where relevant.;	No comments	'Syllabi' has been added after consultation with the Review group and the text has been revised for clarity		
(2) the training devices used by the organisation realistically represent the aircraft cabin environment of the aircraft type(s) and the technical characteristics of the equipment to be operated by the cabin crew;	ria for training organisations / training devices / who will provide the approval IA+IND: re-phrase txt - to	Noted as an issue for possible future Rulemaking tasks. Reg. 216/2008 provides the legal basis to specify conditions for certification of operators, and for the CCA process, but not for the approval of TO for CC which remain the responsibility of the Members States (MS). As regards criteria for TO, this will be mentioned in the Explanatory Note to the Opinion as the Agency is not tasked by the BR to act in this field. The text is maintained as training devices are to be used in place of the aircraft and are a crucial element for training efficiency. Safety recommendations have identified lack of efficiency in operating doors/exist, one of the reasons being a possible insufficient representativity of training devices leading to the wrong assessment by the cabin crew of the status of the exit/equipment		

Part-AR					ct 2010	
A: R	ule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO re and com- pliance
	(3)	the trainers and instructors conducting the training sessions are suitably experienced and qualified in the training subject covered.	MS + IND: develop qualification standards for CC instructors MS + IND+IA (comment confirmed by indiv. members):clarify / explain "suitably qualified and experienced" and/or remove or replace by "authorised CC instructors"	as an issue' in box on AR.CC.100 (a) (2) 'Suitably' is the wording transposed from EU-OPS. Development of further criteria falls under response in box on AR.CC.100 (title)		phance
(b)			examination	examiners has been revised for clarity purposes as requested by comments; and to ensure con- sistency with BR, AR.GEN and		
			MS: create new paragraph (e): "Training of CC by training organizations shall be limited to IST when the training organization cannot show that item (b) is satisfied by a contract with the operator where CC is intended to be employed."	operator remains responsible. Conditions are specified in Part- OR		
SECT	TION 1	II - CABIN CREW ATTESTATIONS	IND: text not strong enough to secure CC certification	The proposals are complying with BR.		
AR.C	C.200	O Procedures for the issue of a cabin crew attestation	MS+ IA (comment confirmed by indiv. members):CCA limited to initial training		and & (5)(e)	
(a) (b)	requ Upor crew acco	competent authority shall establish procedures for the issue of cabin crew attestations uired in Part-CC. In receipt of an application, and of any supporting documentation, for the issue of a cabin w attestation, the competent authority, or the organisation approved to act on its behalf in ordance with AR.CC.100 (b), shall verify whether the applicant meets the applicable remembers specified in Part-CC and Part-MED and,	ing organization shall"	The text has been revised for clarity and consistency purposes after consultation of the Review group Comment accepted: the text has been amended accordingly		
if the	e applio	cant meets those requirements, issue the cabin crew attestation.	paragraph imply the possibility	Question not understood: what else could be required in addition to fulfilling the applicable requirements?		
			IA (comment confirmed by in-		OPS 1.1005 (d)	
				AR.CC.100		

Part-AR 4 Oct					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
	ducted the training course"			phanec	
	IND: CCA to be issued by NAA only	This comment conflicts with BR: Art. 8 (4) provides the flexibility to each MS to delegate the task to organisations approved to act on its behalf			
			OPS 1.1005 (e)		
AR.CC.205 Format and specifications for cabin crew attestations					
Cabin crew attestations shall be issued using the format and specifications established in Appendix VIII to this Part.	IND: realign with EU-OPS & remove CC signature requirement	The comment conflicts with BR: please refer to response in the first line box under title 'Subpart CC'			
	MS: format to be upon operator's discretion	This would not allow standardi- sation/harmonisation required by BR. The content needs to be common, and the size needs to be standardised to facilitate rec- ognition across EU			
	types to be listed on CCA / list to be attached to CCA as an	This element is already covered in the proposed format Means to show compliance are revalidation / reissue of CCA OR by a list of a/c types provided by the operator andused as an annex, the latter is considered as easier. A format may be devel-			
	Annex	oped as AMC/GM in the future as considered needed for standardisation purposes. All points relating to limitations		X	
AR.CC.215 Suspension or revocation of cabin crew attestations	MS: responsibility to remain with operator, not with local authority	relating to fitness in this seg- ment have been transferred to Part-MED, (as for Class 2)			
	IND: decide the status of CCA & respective handling procedures – either NAA' licence or operator's document	The status of CCA is defined by BR Article 8 points $(4) + (5)(e)$			
The competent authority shall take measures in accordance with AR-GEN.355, including the suspension or revocation of a cabin crew attestation, at least in the following cases:	MS: explain how can authority suspend/revoke a CCA if issuing is delegated	BR defines the division of competences: Art.8(4) provides the flexibility to MS only to delegate the task to issue the CCA, not that to suspend or revoke it. Also, these actions are linked to the oversight function of the authority. The issue of limitations has been considered possible because cases are limited to medical conditions.		X	
	No comments	This element has been moved to point (b) below.		X	
(a) non-compliance with Part-CC or with Subpart E of Part-MED, where a safety issue has	IND+ MS re-phrase text due to	This concern should be covered		Х	

Part-AR					Oct 2010	
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
	been identified;	clarification; it may lead to reporting any non-compliance	by the revised text and by the condition 'where safety issue has been identified' which should avoid redundancies or unjustified reports		phance	
(b)	obtaining the cabin crew attestation by falsification of submitted documentary evidence; exercising the privileges of the cabin crew attestation when adversely affected by alcohol or drugs; and	IND: re-phrase txt due to: CCM may be on prescription drugs where adverse effects are not known/felt by CCM in advance or clearly notified by	This comment relates to the crew members responsibility of not consuming alcohol or drugs		X	
(d)	evidence of fraudulent use of the cabin crew attestation.	No comments			X	
		No comments to point 5 MS: Create new paragraph"6": "When appropriate medical certificate is not valid"	After reconsideration, point 5 has been deleted as different in nature from the other cases and unnecessary in this segment. BR does not require the issuing of medical certificates. This comment should however be partly addressed by amended (a) (1) above in relation with Part-MED requirements for CC.		X	
		procedures applicable to pilots) for CC in CAT & Non. Com. ops as means of notification to CA of the outcome of medical examination MS + IA (comment confirmed by indiv. members):delete or transfer to OR due to : no safety justification / no legal basis to impose a detailed medical examination; proposal is against EU antidiscrimination law; EASA should stick to its safety role and medical fitness req. of EU-OPS; CC health is not a flying safety issue; examination via AME/AeMC impossible because of capacity IND: re-phrase AME/AeMC with "practitioner with aviation expertise"	Views are very different on this issue and a certificate is not required by BR. The CRD Part-MED proposes a medical paper/form/attestation to be provided by the medical practitioner. Comment conflicts with BR: please refer to response in the first line box under title 'Subpart CC' Partially accepted: please refer to the proposed flexibility to occupational health medical practitioner' in the revised requirements for CC in CRD on Part-MED Subpart E The text has been simplified after consultation of the Review		X	
		No comments			X	
			Partially accepted: Please refer to revised text in CRD on Part- MED Subpart E for CC		Х	
		No comments			X	

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	to: current text gives no guidance on when to choose differ-	BR defines the division of competences. Conversely to the issuing of CCA that can be delegated as foreseen by BR, suspension and revocation of CCA depend on the oversight function and responsibility of the competent authorities.		X
	"practitioner with aviation ex- pertise"	Partially accepted: please refer to the proposed flexibility to oc- cupational health medical practi- tioner' in the revised text in CRD in Part-MED Subpart E		X
	paragraph "(c) or AR.CC.220 Complaint":	Appeal procedures are defined at national level iaw national		
SUBPART ATO - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO APPROVED TRAINING ORGANISATIONS (ATOS)	a general comment to Subpart ATO but it only relates to Sec- tion 2 – Flight Simulation Training Device (FSTD) Qualifi-	a. Partially agreed. Depending on the adoption of this requirement an appropriate reference will be given included in AR.ATO. See the responses and the resulting text for the appropriate segment.		
	b. The comment relates to the necessity to include in this section a specific requirement with regard to the certification procedure of ATOs, i.e. the re-	b. Not Accepted. In AR Subpart GEN, Section 2, AR.GEN.310(a) it is stated: 'Upon receiving an application for the issue of an approval or certificate for an organisation, the competent authority shall verify the organisation's compliance with the applicable requirements and conduct, where relevant, an inspection of the organisation.' This will allow the competent authorities to conduct an inspection if this is seen as necessary. As a general drafting principle this kind of requirement is valid for all subparts. There is no need to repeat such a requirement in every subpart. It seems not to be al-		
		ways mandatory for the competent authority to do an inspection before issuing an approval (especially for non-complex		

Part-AR 4 Oct 2				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		training organisations)		pilatice
SECTION I - GENERAL				
AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme	IA(4), IND(3), INDIV(1), MS(2)	Due to changes in AR.GEN the title of this requirement had to be aligned. This is the reason why the former header of this requirement (Monitoring of activities - ATOs) had to be changed.		
The oversight programme of ATOs shall include the monitoring of course standards, including the sampling of training flights with students, if appropriate to the aircraft used.	cation with regard to the meaning of 'monitoring of activities', in particular 'monitoring of course standards'. b. Six comments propose to delete 'sampling of training flights with students' for gliding, ballooning and some power flying (non-complex ATOs). c. One comment proposes that	a. Noted. Please see AR.GEN.305 "Oversight Programme and the related AMCs for clarification. b. Accepted. The Agency agrees that for single or dual seater aircraft the requirement which was exactly the same under JAR-FCL could create interpretation problems. The Agency decided to add the term: "if appropriate to the aircraft used" c. Not accepted. The Agency does not see the need for adding any terms of reference or any AMC as the existing AMCs to AR.GEN.305 and AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme – ATO clarifies the issue. d. The same comment was ad-	Appendix 1a to JAR-FCL 2.055.	
AR.ATO.120 Record-keeping	IA(2), IND(4), MS(3)		Appendix 1a to JAR-FCL 1.055 paragraph 21-23	
(a) In addition to the records required in AR.GEN.220, the competent authority shall include in its system of record-keeping details of courses provided by the ATO, and if applicable, records relating to FSTD used for training.	numbering system of this paragraph and the apparent inconsistency between the numbers used for all requirements related to record-keeping across Part-AR. b. One comment proposes to	a. Noted. The Agency will do a final review in order to check the numbering system regarding its consistency in order to use, as far as possible, a consistent numbering system. b. Not accepted. The term "course" should be kept as the same wording is used in Part-		

	P	Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(b)	The competent authority shall keep and update a programme listing the qualified FSTDs under its supervision, the dates when evaluations are due and when such evaluations were carried out.	cation with regard to the record-keeping of courses, asking if details of the courses shall be kept or only a list of approved courses. a. Three comments related to the terminology FFS, FTD and FNPT. b. Three comments seek clarification with regard to who will	a. Accepted. The specific descriptions will be replaced by FSTD according to the proposal, but anyway the Agency decided that a new rulemaking process will be necessary to align the Implementing Rules, Certification Specifications and AMCs with the new ICAO document 9625, 3rd edition. b. Noted. Keeping and updating		pliance
			not equivalent to a provision of listings for industry.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
SECTION II - FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE (FSTD) QUALIFICATIONS	tual acceptance in the meaning of a Type Certification for lower	A (qualification) certificate shall be required in respect of each flight simulation training device used for the training of pilots (see Regulation (EC) No 1108/2009). The experience over the years has clearly shown that - for the time being - there is a necessity to evaluate and qualify each single FFS, FTD or FNPT. A 'type qualification' only applies to BITDs (according to AMC1-AR.ATO.210). The qualification of an FSTD and its validity is also subject to the organisation, which has to comply with the applicable requirements. The evaluation and qualification of a device cannot be seen as an independent process. The CMS of an organisation is a fundamental requirement to assure that the devices remain in compliance with the technical standards of CS-FSTD(A) and CS-FSTD(H). This conjunction argues as well against a 'type qualification'. The comparison between aircraft certification and FSTD qualification is not directly valid because the "type certification" process for aircraft is based upon the aircraft manufacturer having design and production approval		and compliance
		and monitoring thereof to assure design and build control. The FSTD manufacturers do not have that demonstrated level of infrastructure.		
	proposes that the FSTD qualification should be issued inde-	2. Not accepted. The issuance of the qualification certificate for an FSTD (after finalising the evaluation) is not independent of the organisations's management system. It is not possible to operate a device without the CM function of the organisation which ensures that the device remains operating underthe correct standard. A device will not be qualified without CM as a part of the management system of the organisation.		

	Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	3. The same commentator asks for a clear distinction between FFS and FNPT with regard to the requirements	3. Not accepted. There are already different requirements as defined in the Certification Specifications as well as for the FSTD operator's CMS.		phance
	4. The same commentator proposes to relax the requirements for Validation Data and number of objective Validation Tests	4. Not accepted. An (M)QTG,		
	for the creation of a supervi- sory authority with appeal pro- cedure to address disagree- ments between an FSTD	evaluation and issuing the qualification certificate has to be followed. See AMC1-AR.GEN.310		
SECTION II - FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE (FSTD) QUALIFICATIONS	gliding club community sus- pects that operating a low-cost training device for recreational			

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
AR.ATO.200 Initial evaluation procedure (a) Upon receiving an application for an FSTD qualification, the competent authority shall: (1) evaluate the FSTD submitted for initial evaluation or for upgrading against the applicable qualification basis; (2) assess the FSTD in those areas that are essential to completing the flight crew member training and checking process, as applicable; (3) conduct objective, subjective and functions tests in accordance with the qualification basis and review the results of such tests to establish the Qualification Test Guide (QTG); and (4) verify if the organisation operating the FSTD is in compliance with the applicable requirements, does not apply to the initial evaluation of BITD.	to add the requirement that an initial evaluation shall use a flight test team (test pilots, flight test engineers) as part of the evaluation team if an FSTD is representing a new aircraft type for a simulator manufacturer or which has new motion or visual system technology that has not been previously evaluated, or any other tech-	We refer to: a) AMC No.1 to CS-FSTD(A).300 - Qualification basis - Section 3, 3.1.2 (page 2-C-63):, the subjective testing should cover those areas of the flight envelope which may reasonably be reached by a trainee, even		

A: Ru	e	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		either eliminating the term "subjective" or providing clearer definition to avoid differences between Member	2. Not accepted. The term "subjective tests/ testing /assessment / flight profile" has been used for many years in the JAR documents applicable to the evaluation of FSTD. They describe that part of the evaluation which will be performed by the appointed pilot as described in AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1). The term is well-known by FSTD manufacturers, FSTD operators and authorities. It is the part of the evaluation where, for instance, the handling qualities of the training device will be compared with those of the aeroplane/helicopter or with the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter to be simulated. This could neither be objective (no measureable data for comparison like using QTG tests) nor functional (which is more related to system tests). For a definition, see AMC to CS-FSTD(A).200 and AMC to CS-FSTD(A).200 and AMC to CS-FSTD(A).300 and AMC No. 1 to CS-FSTD(A).300 and AMC No. 1 to CS-FSTD(A).300 and AMC No. 1 to CS-FSTD(B).300. The same term ("subjective") is used in the new ICAO Doc. 9625, 3rd edition, which is considered as a basis for an international standard. The document has been developed by an International Working Group (IWG) whose membershipcomprised of representativesfrom the regulatory community, pilot representative bodies, airlines and the training and flight simulation industry.		
(b)	The competent authority shall only approve the QTG after completion of the initial evaluation of the FSTD and when all discrepancies in the QTG have been addressed to the satisfaction of the competent authority. The QTG resulting from the initial evaluation procedure shall be the Master QTG (MQTG), which shall be the basis for the FSTD qualification and subsequent recurrent FSTD evaluations.	f e			
(c)	Qualification basis and special conditions.				
	(1) The competent authority may prescribe special conditions for the FSTD qualification basis when the requirements of OR.ATO.360(a) are met and when it is demonstrated that the special conditions ensure an equivalent level of safety to that established in the applicable certification specification.	I			
	(2) When the competent authority, if other thanthe Agency, has established special conditions for the qualification basis of an FSTD, it shall without undue delay notify the Agency thereof. The notification shall be accompanied by a full description of the special conditions.				

Part-AR					1 Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	cial conditions prescribed, and a safety assessment demonstrating that an equivalent level of safety to that established in the applicable certification specification is met.				phance
When so	D.210 Issue of an FSTD qualification certificate atisfied that the FSTD and the organisation operating it, except for BITD, is in compliance applicable requirements, the competent authority shall issue the FSTD qualification certification the formal authority shall issue the FSTD qualification certification.	[MS:0; IND:0; INDIV:0]	Reference to "organisation operating it" added for consistency with changes made Section 2.		
AR.ATC	D.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification The competent authority shall conduct recurrent evaluations of the FSTD in accordance with the procedures detailed in AR.ATO.200. These evaluations shall take place: (1) every year, in the case of an FFS, FTD or FNPT; (2) every three years, in the case of a BITD.	[MS:3; IND:5; INDIV:0]			
ii ((The competent authority shall continuously monitor the organisation operating the FSTD to verfy whether: (1) the organisation remains in compliance with the applicable requirements of Part-OR; (2) the complete set of tests in the Master Qualification Test Guide (MQTG)is rerun progressively every year between each recurrent evaluation; (3) the results of that evaluation continue to comply with the qualification standards and are dated and retained; and (4) a configuration control system is in place to ensure the continued integrity of the hardware and software of the qualified FSTD.	specific descriptions of training	volvement will be determined on a case-by-case basis and can reach a high level of the compe- tent authority's involvement, if necessary.		
(a) l	.230 Changes Jpon receipt of an application for any changes to the FSTD qualification, the competent authorty shall comply with the applicable elements of the initial evaluation procedure requirements as described in AR.ATO.200 (a) and (b).	clarify the EASA position concerning Full Flight Simulators already in service before JAF STD1A Amendment 3 became applicable, for which additional Flight Test data would in any case not necessarily be available, and thus the changes (which would use engineering validation source data	Noted. Grandfather rights will be addressed in the Cover Regulation to Part-AR. New components shall comply with the current regulation, while the original qualification basis of the device would be maintained. QTG tests are an objective mean to monitor the certified status of an FSTD. Even if missing flight test data are replaced by engineering validation source data a deviation from the certified level can be detected. Thus there is an added value to the compliance monitoring.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref
		· ·	compliance	and com-
		ing FSTDs see: AMC1-OR.		pliance
		ATO.380(b)		
(b) The competent authority may complete a special evaluation following major changes or when an FSTD appears not to be performing at its initial qualification level.				
(c) The competent authority shall always conduct a special evaluation before granting a higher level of qualification to the FSTD.				
	[MS:0; IND:1; INDIV:0]	Noted. This process is addressed		
AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate	The process for the re-	in AMC2-AR.ATO.235 para 58. which belongs to the rule.		
The competent authority shall limit, suspend or revoke, as applicable, an FSTD qualification certificate in accordance with AR.GEN.355 in, but not limited to, the following circumstances:	instatement of the FSTD quali-			
(a) Obtaining the FSTD certificate by falsification of submitted documentary evidence;	fication should be addressed here also.			
(b) the organisation operating the FSTD can no longer demonstrate that the FSTD complies				
with its qualification basis; (c) the organisation operating the FSTD no longer complies with the applicable requirements				
of Part-OR;				
CURRART ASMC CRECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATER TO AFRO MEDICAL CENTRES				
SUBPART AeMC - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AERO-MEDICAL CENTRES (AeMC).				
SECTION I - GENERAL				
	5 Authorities and 1 Organisa-	The paragraph was deleted, be-	1AR-FCL 3 085	N/A
	tion recommended putting in	cause the issue is covered in	JAK-I CL 3.003	IN/A
	line the periodicity of AeMC oversight and monitoring with	AR.GEN.305 and corresponding AMC.		
	other organisations by shorten-			
	ing it to 24 months.			
	NAAs supported the NPA pro-	Editorial change for consistency	JAR-FCL 3.085	N/A
AR.AeMC.110 Initial certification procedure	posal. No adverse comments.	with AR.GEN		
The certification procedure for an AeMC shall follow the provisions laid down in AR.GEN.310, except that upon receiving an application for the issue of the approval for an AeMC, the competent authority shall conduct an audit of the organisation before issuing an approval certificate.				
			N/A	N/A
AR.AeMC.150 Findings and corrective actions - AeMC				

Part-AR				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	4 Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
Without prejudice of AR.GEN.350, level 1 findings include, but are not limited to, the following:				
(a) failure to nominate a head of the AeMC;				
(b) failure to ensure medical confidentiality of aero-medical records; and				
(c) failure to provide the licensing authority with the medical and statistical data for oversight purposes.				
SUBPART MED - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AERO-MEDICAL CERTIFICATION				
SECTION I - GENERAL	One NAA requested that EASA establish a central database for the registration of the long-term unfit applicants.	No change. A database could be created at a central level but it would have to be managed by the MS as EASA does not issue or deny licences. Data protection laws would need to be evaluated because the information of unfitness would be sent outside the MS that denied the medical certificate. It is not impossible that this kind of database is created at some stage but it can only be done after careful evaluation of how to set it up, how to maintain it and how to comply with data protection laws.		n/a
AR.MED.120 Medical assessors	One AME and three Government Organisations recommended to exclude NAAs from medical decision-making.			
The competent authority shall have one or more medical assessors to undertake the tasks described in this Section. A medical assessor shall be licensed and qualified in medicine and have:				
(a) undergone postgraduate training in medicine of at least five years;				
(b) specific knowledge and experience in aviation medicine; and				
(c) specific training in medical certification.				

Part-AR 4 Oct 2010					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
AR.MED.125 Referral to the licensing authority	6 NAAs recommended changing "competent authority" to "licensing authority".	The text is changed in order to align AR.MED.125 with the corresponding text in Part-Medical MED.A.045 and AMC to MED.A.045. The proposal to exclude NAAs from the decision-making process is not accepted as it is based on ICAO and JAR-FCL 3 provisions and is international practice.	paragraphs in Subparts A, B and C as well as Ap-	Annex I	
When an aero-medical centre (AeMC),or aero-medical examiner (AME)has referred the decision on the fitness of an applicant to the licensing authority, the medical assessor shall:					
(a) evaluate the relevant medical documentation and request further medical documentation where necessary;					
(b) request further examinations and tests, wherenecessary; and					
(c) determine the applicant's fitness for the issue of a medical certificate with one or more limitation(s) if required.					
AR.MED.130 Medical certificate format	One NAA advised moving the template/format of the medical certificate to an AMC.	The medical certificate format shall be harmonised with the format of the pilot licence and used by all Member States in the same way.	JAR-FCL 3.100	N/A	
The format of the medical certificate shall be in accordance with Appendix XII to this Part.					
AR.MED.135 Aero-medical forms	After publication of NPA 2008-17c, NPA 2008-22b and NPA 2008-22c a number of comments requested retaining JAR-FCL 3 medical forms.	The comments were accepted. One additional form was developed for the AeMC certificate.	IEM FCL 3.095	N/A	
The competent authority shall use forms for:	TOLO MODICAL TOLLIO				
(a) the application form for a medical certificate;					
(b) the examination report form for class 1 and class 2 applicants; and					
(c) the examination report form for LAPL applicants.					

A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
AR.N	IED.145 GMP declaration to the competent authority	[Several MS] The GMP declaration process to the competent authority needs to be clarified.	The process of declaration is in AR.GEN.345. Although this paragraph is for declared organisations it will also apply to the GMP.		N/A
	declaration process for general medical practitioners (GMPs) shall follow the declaration process ganisations in AR.GEN.345.				
AR.N	IED.150 Record-keeping	the proposed requirements but recommended to make the li- censing authority responsible for record-keeping and to re- late requirements to the expiry	determined by the validity of the medical certificate. Therefore the period to keep medical records after expiry of the medical certificate has been linked to the licence. (c) Data protection: Text		N/A
(a)	In addition to the records required in AR.GEN.220, the licensing authority shall include in its system of record-keeping details of aero-medical examinations and assessments submitted by an aero-medical examiner (AME), an aero-medical centre (AeMC) or a general medical practitioner (GMP).	rules.			
(b)	All aero-medical records of licence holders shall be kept for a minimum period of 10 years after the expiry of their licence.				
(c)	Aero-medical records shall only be made available after written consent of the applicant/licence holder and only to:				
	(1) an AeMC, AME or GMP for the purpose of completion of an aero-medical assessment;				
	(2) the applicant/licence holder concerned upon their written request; and				
	(3) after disidentification of the applicant/licence holder to:				
	 the competent authority of another member state for the purpose of cooperative oversight; 				
	(ii) the Agency for standardisation purposes; or				
	(iii) research institutes for the purpose of scientific research.				
SECT	TON II - AERO-MEDICAL EXAMINERS (AMES)				
AR.N	IED.200 Procedure for the issue of an AME certificate	practice was opposed in 1 AME,	Following the recommendation of the Review Group and some comments received from NAAs it		Annex I 1.2.4.4

Part-AR					Oct 2010
A: R	ile	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		ment. All NAAs recommended deleting from the AME certificate the information on the postgraduate qualification and adding the information on the NAA issuing the certificate.	wording to "AME practice", de- lete from the AME certificate the information on the postgraduate		phance
(a)	The certification procedure for an AME shall follow the provisions laid down in AR.GEN.315, except that upon receiving an application for the issue of an AME certificate the competent authority shall conduct an inspection of the AME's practice before issuing a certificate.				
(b)	The competent authority shall establish the format of the AME certificate. It shall contain as a minimum:				
	(1) first name, last name and title of the holder;				
	(2) competent authority issuing the certificate				
	(3) AME number;				
	(4) privileges and scope of the activity;				
	(5) address of the AME's practice;				
	(6) date of the issue of the AME certificate; and				
	(7) expiry date of the AME certificate.				
		No comments received	Deleted. Paragraph AR.GEN 330 deals only with changes that need prior approval. None of the changes mentioned in MED.C.025 need prior approval.		N/A
AR.M	ED.240 General medical practitioners (GMPs) acting as AMEs		declaration are in AR.GEN	N/A	N/A

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	ganisation and 1 NAA recommended to includerequirements for GMPs to declare their activity, accept oversight by the NAA and start their activities only after acceptance by the NAA.			
(a) The competent authority of a Member State shall notify the Agency and competent authorities of other Member States if aero-medical examinations for the light aircraft pilot licence (LAPL) can be carried out on its territory by GMPs.				
(b) The competent authority of such Member State shall maintain a list of all declared GMPs actin as AMEs on their territory. This list shall be disclosed to other Member States and the Agency upon request.	g			
AR.MED.245 Continuing oversight of AMEs and GMPs	clude a requirement that the			N/A
When developing the continuing oversight programme referred to in AR.GEN.135, the competent a thority shall take into account the number of AMEs and GMPs exercising their privileges within territory where the competent authority exercises oversight.				
AR.MED.250 Limitation, suspension and revocation of an aero-medical examiner's certificate	recommended applying this paragraph also to GMPs. All	The paragraph cannot be applied to GMPs because they do not have a certificate. The wording in (a)(6) is changed to "AME practice" to align with the text of AR.MED.200.		N/A
(a) The competent authority shall limit, suspend or revoke an aero-medical examiner's certificate whenever a safety issue has been identified, including, but not limited to, the following:				
(1) the AME no longer complies with applicable requirements;				
(2) failure to meet the criteria for certification or continuing certification;				
(3) deficiency of aero-medical record-keeping or submission of incorrect data or information;	a-			
(4) falsification of medical records, certificates or documentation;				

			art-AR		1 Oct 2010	
A: Rul	le		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO re and com- pliance
	(5)	concealment of facts appertaining to an application for, or holder of, a medical certificate or false or fraudulent statements or representations to the competent authority;				
	(6)	failure to correct findings from audit of the AME practice;				
	(7)	unprofessional behaviour or ill health incompatible with practice as an aero-medical examiner; and				
	(8)	at the request of the certified aero-medical examiner.				
	The ce	ertificate of an AME shall be automatically revoked in either of the following circumes:				
	(1)	revocation of medical licence to practice; or				
	(2)	removal from the Medical Register.				
		5 Enforcement measures	2 government offices and 1 NAA recommended to change "shall" to "may". 2 NAAs proposed the description of procedures in the case of noncompliance. 1 pilot organisation expressed their disagreement with the automatic invalidity of medical certificates.	all medical certificates issued by	JAR-FCL 3.090(f)	N/A
an AMI	E or G	ersight or by any other means, evidence is found showing a non-compliance of an AeMC, iMP, the licensing authority shall review the medical certificates issued by those AeMCs, Ps and may render them invalid where required to ensure flight safety.				
SECTI	ON 3	- MEDICAL CERTIFICATION				
AR.ME	ED.315	5 Review of examination reports	tion, 5 NAAs and 1 pilot or- ganisation recommended ran- dom review of the examination and assessment reports. 2 NAAs suggested changing			Annex I 1.2.4.6
(a)	The lic	censing authority shall:		1550C 15 COTCICU III AINGLIN.555.		

				ct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(1) review the examination and assessment reports received from the AeMCs, AMEs and GMPs and inform them of any inconsistencies, mistakes or errors made in the assessment process; and				pilance
(2) assist AMEs and AeMCs on their request regarding their decision on aero-medical fitness in contentious cases.				
	2 NAAs recommended changing the wording to "licensing authority".		JAR-FCL 3.100(e) JAR-FCL 3.105(e)	Annex I 1.2.4.8
AR.MED.325Secondary review procedure	2 government offices, 1. AME and 1 NAA recommended adding explanation of "independent medical specialists". 2 NAAs suggested a text change to "licensing authority". 1 pilot organisation requested including evaluation of the operating environment, skill and experience of the applicant.		JAR-FCL 3.125	Annex I 1.2.4.8
The competent authority shall establish a procedure for the review of borderline and contentious cases with independent medical advisors, experienced in the practice of aviation medicine, to consider and advise on an applicant's fitness for medical certification.				
APPENDICES				
APPENDIX I - STANDARD REPORT FORM	over by the (EC) Regulation 2008/49. (2) [MS] Comment : Appendix 1 is not in harmonisation with AR.GEN.425 and AMC. (3)[MS] Comment : amend this form to tailor it to its own	signature have been deleted be- cause the information will be directly entered into the central-	2004/36/CE	
* State:		has been changed to reflect that		

			4 00	t 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
9 Route: from		not the form but the elements have to be used.		phance
APPENDIX II- PROOF OF RAMP INSPECTION FORM	presented is only valid for the ramp inspection performed on the TCO and some items are missing for ramp inspection performed on European operators under the European regu-	does not contain information on the category of the finding. 2. Not accepted. The additional requirements for European operators (which have not been established yet) most likely can be inspected under		
Description Inspection	lations. (1) [MS] Proposal : There	1. Accepted.		
Date: Time: Place:	should be a consistent categorisation regarding findings and follow-up actions. The appendixes have to comply with the new categorisation standard. (2) [MS] Proposal : - A6 should be renamed "Navigation and instrument charts" as radio navigation is too restrictive, referring only to enroute charts, whereas other type of charts are checking while performing a ramp inspection on TCO,	The levels of findings have been changed into the existing category of findings 2. Accepted. 3. Not accepted. The item description should be as short as possible; the OPS specs are associated with the AOC. 4. Accepted 5. Not accepted. Ratings appear on the license. 6. Not accepted. The acceptance of the aircraft by the pilot in command covers areas of		
1 General condition 2 Emergency exit 3 Equipment Documentation 4 Manuals 5 Checklists 6 navigation/instrument charts on the first charts 20 Flight crew licence/composition Journey log book / Technical Log or equiva- 2 Doors and hatches 2 Doors and hatches 3 Flight controls 4 Wheels, tyres and brakes 5 Undercarriage, skids/floats 6 Wheel well 7 Powerplant and pylon	should be renamed "AOC and OPS specifications" as these specifications are now required by the latest amendment (n#32) of ICAO, Annex 6, §4.2.15 and 4.2.1.6.	several other inspection items. 7. "Secure stowage of cargo" is a better wording. ULD condition is affecting the secure stowage and is therefore included 8. Accepted. However, since the right of defence is a national matter, it will not appear on the		

			Tare AR			Oct 2010
A: Rule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO ref.
					compliance	and com-
7 Minimum equipment	B Cabin Safety	8 Fan blades, Propellers, Rotors	be renamed " mass and bal-	annendiy 3		pliance
8 Certificate of registra-	1 General internal condition	Tall blades, 1 repolicis, 1 totols	ance calculation" as this item			
9 Noise certificate	2 Cabin crew station and crew rest	10 Obvious repairs	encompasses aspects that are	•		
(where applicable) 10 AOC or equivalent	area area area area area area area area	11 Obvious unrepaired damage	wider than just the document			
11 Radio licence	4 Hand fire extinguishers	12 Leakage	itself, but is also used to report			
Contificate of Aircra		12 2341439	wrong procedures not directly	- Items C8 and 9 are		
12 Certificate of Allwor- thiness (C of A)	5 Life jackets / Flotation devices		linked to the document itself.	margadi		
Flight data	6 Seat belt and seat condition	D Cargo	(5)[MS] Proposal: A 20 "	,		
13 Flight preparation	7 Emergency exit, lighting and Independent Portable light	1 General condition of cargo	Flight crew licences / ratings /			
14 Mass and balance	8 Slides /Life-Rafts (as required),	2 Dangerous goods	composition" to be more accurate with the requirements of			
	Oxygen Supply (Cabin Crew and		Annex 1 in this respect, espe-			
Safety equipment	Passengers)	3 Cargo stowage	cially, chapter 2.			
15 Hand fire extinguish-	10 Safety Instructions		(6)[MS] Proposal: A24 should			
16 Life jackets / flotation	11 Cabin crew members	E General	be renamed "pre-flight inspec-			
devices 17 Harness	12 Access to emergency exits	1 General	tion / acceptance" as, accord-			
18 Oxygen equipment	13 Stowage of passenger baggage		ing to ICAO, Annex 6, chapter			
19 Independent Portable	14 Seat capacity		4.3.1			
			(7)[MS] Proposal: D3 should			
			be renamed "Securing of cargo			
			/ ULD condition" ULD standing for unit load devices: pallets			
			and containers.			
			(8)[MS] Proposal: Proposal:			
			Add a box at the bottom of the			
			proof of inspection entitled			
			"Crew comments (optional)".			
			(9)[MS] Proposal : Replace			
			inspectors "sign or number "			
			by "sign or code" which seems			
			to be more appropriate as a			
			title for this box.			
Action Tokon	Itam Damaukia)		(10)[Indiv] Proposal: In part	10. Not accepted. The "action		
(3c) Aircraft grounded by inspecting NAA	Item Remark(s)			taken" is resulting from a finding		
(3b) Corrective actions before flight				only, not from possible doubts.		
(3a) Restrictions on the aircraft operation			required" to report when a			
(2) Information to the authority and operator (1) Information to the pilot-in-			doubt occurred during the in-	12. Not accepted. The "action		
(0) No remarks				taken" is resulting from a finding		
Inspector(s) sign or code				only, not from possible doubts.		
			,	13. Not accepted. Information to		
Crew comments (if any):				and signature by the PIC is the		
			within the limits.	common procedure; however, other options may not be ex-		
	other representative of the inspected operator does in no spected on the date an at the place indicated on this docum			cluded (i.e. inspections during		
This report represents an indication of wha	it was found on this occasion and must not be construed as	s a determination that the aircraft is fit for the intended		crew change or under assistance		
Tiight. Data submitted in this report can be	subject to changes for correct wording upon entering into t	ne centraliseddatabase.	gest that the numbering should			
			be reversed with (0) at the top			
			and (3c) at the bottom.			
			(12)[MS] Proposal to add a			
			new line in the class of actions,			
			class of actions level "0":			
			"maintenance check required".			
			(13)[IND] Comment: The pilot-			
			in-command must always be			
			informed and is the only per-			
			son authorised to sign this form. (See comment 502,562			
			above).			
			40010/1	1	1	

		III AN		4 00	t 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
APPENDIX III- RAMP INSPECTION REPORT		closed report form instead of	14. AR.GEN 435b has been reworded such not to use appendix 3 itself but a form based on it.	Appendix 1 to Directive 2008/49/EC	рнансе
Competent Au (Sta Ramp Insper NR:	thority (name) tite) tition Report tition Report Place: AOC Number: Type of Operation: Flight Number: Flight Number: Charterer's State*: Registration Marks: Construction Number: Detailed Description Detailed Description mation (if any) this occasion and must not be construed as a determination	(1)[MS] Proposal: delete item 3d "immediate operating ban) there is no hint of such a follow-up action as a ban. The Item has to comply with AR.GEN.440 (2)[IND] At the bottom of the page, the first bullet reads: "-This report represents an indication of what was found on this occasion and must not be construed as a determination that the aircraft is fit for the intended flight." A typo error is to be corrected between word "determination" and "that". (3)[IND] :'Action Taken ' box at the bottom left corner . Proposal: that the numbering should be reversed with (0) at the top and (3c) at the bottom. (Same comment for Appendix 2 above) (4)[INDIV] Appendix 2 and 3 Comment: the content of Appendix II and III is identical. One form shall be deleted.	 Not accepted. This item is included in the rule. Accepted. Not accepted. Not accepted. Appendix 2 does not contain the category of the finding. Not accepted: Not accepted. The additional requirements for European operators (which have not been established yet) most likely can be inspected under the existing inspection items. Not accepted. The "action taken" is resulting from a finding only, not from possible doubts. The additional requirements for European operators (which have not been established yet) most likely can be inspected under the existing inspection items. Not accepted. The item description should be as short as possible; the OPS specs are associated with the AOC. 		
Item Code	Checked Remark	tions.	560: Not accepted. The accep-		
A. Flight Deck	Trong Trong				
General			tance of the aircraft by the pilot		
General	II		in command covers areas of		

			,	are 7114			4 Oct 2010
	A: Rule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
	1. General Condition 2. Emergency Exit. 3. Equipment Documentation 4. Manuals 5. Checklists 6. Radio Navigation Charts 7. Minimum Equipment List. 8. Certificate of registration 9. Noise certificate (where applicable) 10. AOC or equivalent 11. Radio licence 12. Certificate of Airworthiness (C of A) Flight data 13. Flight preparation 14. Mass and balance calculation Safety Equipment 15. Hand fire extinguishers 16. Life jackets / flotation device 17. Harmess 18. Oxygen equipment equipment 19. Independent Portable light Flight Crew 20. Flight crew licence/composition Journey Log Book / Technical Log or equivalent 21. Journey Log Book or equivalent 22. Maintenance release 23. Defect notification and rectification (incl. Tech Log) 24. Pre-flight inspection B. Cabin Safety 15. General Internal Condition 25. Cabin crew stations and crew rest area 26. Fligh targetion B. Cabin Safety 17. General Internal Condition 28. Cabin Crew stations and crew rest area 39. First Aid Kiff Emergency medical kit 40. Hand fire extinguishers 51. First Aid Kiff Emergency medical kit 52. Emergency exit, lighting and Independent Portable light 53. Siedes / Life-Rafts (as required), ELT 54. Cabin crew members 55. Cabin crew members 56. Caset bett and seat condition 57. Emergency exit, lighting and Independent Portable light 58. Sides / Life-Rafts (as required), ELT 59. Oxygen Supply (Cabin Crew and Passengers) 51. Cabin crew members 52. Access to emergency exits 51. Stowage of passenger baggage's	1 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 22 23 24 1 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 23 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		several other inspection items. 561: Partially accepted: "Secure stowage of cargo" is a better wording. ULD condition is affecting the secure stowage and is therefore included. 6. Accepted. 7. Not accepted. The additional requirements for EU operators (which have not been established yet) most likely can be inspected under the existing inspection items.		pliance
_	Item Code C. Aircraft Condition 1. General external condition 2. Doors and hatches 3. Flight controls 4. Wheels, tyres and brakes 5. Undercarriage skids/floats 6. Wheel well 7. Powerplant and pylon 8. Fan blades, Propellers, Rotors (main & tail) 9. Propellers 10. Obvious repairs 11. Obvious unrepaired damage 12. Leakage D. Cargo 1. General condition of cargo compartment 2. Dangerous Goods 3. Stowage of cargo E. General 1. General	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 3 1 1 2 3 1	Remark				

				4 Oo	ct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
APPENDIX IV- AIR OPERATOR CERTIFIC	ATE				
gers; Cargo; Other¹: Commercial specialised operations (SPO) State of the Operator³ Issuing Authority⁴ AOC #6: Operator Name³ Dba Trading Name³ Operator address¹0: Telephone¹¹: Fax: E-ail: This certificate certifies that	operators) transport(CAT) Passen- Operational Points of Contact: Contact details, at which operational management can be contacted without undue delay, are listed in	that "is authorised to perform CAT" is wrong, because such an authorisation is contained in the operating license issued in accordance with Reg.1008/2008. -The "Operational Points of Contact" is not necessary, since it is not part of the approval -It is necessary to insert aircraft registration in the AOC. 2. The AOC is too small to contain all details that have to be included in the AOC in the case of large airlines. 3. (IA) Proposal: add contact details in the new AOC form. Justification: international minimum requirements for AOC.	The registration marks are included in order to allow the inspector to verify which aircraft are used for which specific approval. 2. The template provides for enough flexibility to include the relevant details of large operators. 3. The proposed template already contains contact details. - Accepted. Expiry date is deleted. After consultation of RG.001 the reference to CAT and non-CAT has been transferred from the operations specifications format to the AOC format.	4.2.1.5 and 4.2.1.7 and Appendix 6 Annex 6 Part III Section II 2.2.1.5, 2.2.1.6 and 2.2.1.7 + Appendix 3	
Other type of transportation to be specified.					
2 Specify the type of operation, e.g., agriculture, construction, photograph	ly, surveying, observation and patrol, aerial advertisement.				
3 Replaced by the name of the State of the Operator.					
4 Replaced by the identification of the issuing competent aut	thority.				
5 For use of the competent authority.					
6 Approval reference, as issued by the competent authority.					

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
7 Replaced by the operator registered name.				
8 Operator trading name, if different. Insert "Dba" (for "Doing business as") before the trading name.				
9 The contact details include the telephone and fax numbers, including the country code, and the e-mail address (if available) at which operations agement can be contacted without undue delay for issues related to flight operations, airworthiness, flight and cabin crew competency goods and other matters as appropriate.				
10 Operator principal place of business address.				
Operator's principal place of business telephone and fax details, including the country code. E-mail to be provided if available.				
Insertion of the controlled document, carried on board, in which the contact details are listed, with the appropriate paragraph or page reference of the contact details are listed in the operations manual, gen/basic, chapter 1, 1.1"; or " are listed in the operations specifications, page 1 listed in an attachment to this document".				
13 Operator's registered name.				
14 Issue date of the AOC (dd-mm-yyyy).				
15 Title, name and signature of the competent authority representative. In addition, an official stamp may be applied on the AOC.				
APPENDIX V - OPERATIONS SPECIFICATIONS				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
Comparison of the approved conditions in the operations manual	tions" section should also include "mail" 2. (MS) the LVO section should clarify that insertion of a RVR-value is only necessary if LVTO-Approval is given. 3. (MS) The AOC-template does not provide ability to insert information concerning cabin crew training and corresponding approvals (as presently required by subpart O of EU-OPS 1). 4. (MS) Air Operator Certificate: Management staff (ACM, postholder, quality manager) should be added, because the link to a document is not sufficient. 5. (MS) Operation specifications. The following should be added: -ICAO areas of operation, registration marks, approval for dangerous goods, number of passenger seats, ETOPS: diversion distance, threshold distance. 6. (MS) The special limitations "Night vision" and "Helicopter Hoist" are used very rarely. Therefore it is not necessary to reserve these areas in every AOC. 7. (MS) There is no difference between "Navigation specifica-	spector to verify which aircraft are used for which specific approval. To facilitate the administration of operation specifications an operation specification number is included. Sections for CC training and the issuance of attestations are added. 1. The template is inline with the ICAO template. 2. Accepted: For LVTO an RVR specification is required. However, for LVO approvals for approach and landing the specification shall contain CAT, RVR and DH values. 3. Accepted, the approval for CC training and the issuance of attestations is included as a section in the operation specifications template. 4. Not accepted. This template is inline with the ICAO template. Moreover this information can be found in the operations manual. 5. Most items are included and the proposed template is in line with the ICAO template. 6. noted 7. Not accepted. These are two		
	tions for PBN operations" and "Minimum navigation performance spec."			
Telephone and fax contact details of the competent authority, including the country code. E-mail to be provided if available.				
2 Insertion of associated air operator certificate (AOC)number.				
Insertion of the operator's registered name and the operator's trading name, if different. Insert "Dba" before the trading name (as").	e (for "Doing business			
4 Issue date of the operations specifications (dd-mm-yyyy) and signature of the competent authority representative.				

	Po	Part-AR			
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO re and com- pliance
nsertion of I	CAO designation of the aircraft make, model and series, or master series, if a series has been designated (e.g. Boeing-737-3K2 or Boeing-777-232).				
	Either the registration marks are listed on the operation specifications or in the operations manual. In the latter case the related operations specifications must make a reference to the related page in the operation manual.				
	Listing of geographical area(s) of authorised operation (by geographical coordinates or specific routes, flight information region or national or regional boundaries).	(MS) footnote 8 also includes the possibility to define areas of operation by the use of ICAO-Area-Codes	Noted		
	Listing of applicable special limitations (e.g. VFR only, Day only, etc.).				
	List in this column the most permissive criteria for each approval or the approval type (with appropriate criteria).				
)	Insertion of applicable precision approach category: CAT I, II, IIIA, IIIB or IIIC. Insertion of minimum runway visual range (RVR) in meters and decision height (DH) in feet. One line is used per listed approach category.				
	Insertion of approved minimum take-off RVR in meters. One line per approval may be used if different approvals are granted.				
	Not Applicable (N/A) box may be checked only if the aircraft maximum ceiling is below FL290.				
	Extended range operations (ETOPS) currently applies only to twin-engined aircraft. Therefore the Not Applicable (N/A) box may be checked if the aircraft model has more or less than two engines.				
ļ	The threshold distance may also be listed (in nm), as well as the engine type.				
•	Performance-based Navigation (PBN): one line is used for each PBN approval (e.g. area navigation (RNAV) 10, RNAV 1, required navigation performance (RNP) 4,), with appropriate limitations or conditions listed in the "Specifications" and/or "Remarks" columns.				
	Limitations, conditions and regulatory basis for operational approval associated with the PBN approval (e.g. global navigation satellite system (GNSS), distance measuring equipment/DME/inertial reference unit (DME/DME/IRU),).				
	Approval to conduct the training courses, examination and checking to be completed by applicants for a cabin crew attestation as specified in Part-CC Approval to issue cabin crew attestations on behalf of the competent authority as specified in Part-CC. The name of the person/organisation, responsible for ensuring that the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft is maintained and the regulation which requires the work, i.e. Part-M, Subpart G. Other approvals or data can be entered here, using one line (or one multi-line block) per authorisation (e.g. short landing operations, steep approach	(MS) footnote 19 is missing	Footnote 19 was already part of NPA 2009-02d		
	operations, helicopter operations to/from a public interest site, helicopter operations over a hostile environment located outside a congested area, helicopter operations without a safe forced landing capability, operations with increased bank angles, maximum distance from an adequate aerodrome for two engine aeroplanes without an ETOPS approval)				

	Tare file		4	Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
APPENDIX VI- LIST OF SPECIFIC APPROVALS				
List of specific approvals Non-commercial operations (subject to the conditions specified in the approval and contained in the operations manual) Issuing Authority ¹ : OPSPECS# ² : Operator: Date ³ : Signature:		Appendix II to Annex 1 Part Authority Requirements has been added in order to document specific approvals issued to noncommercial operators in a coherent manner.		
Aircraft Model and Registration Marks ³ : Types of specialised operation (SPO), if applicable: 4				
Specific Approv- Specification ⁶ Remarks				
 Insertion of name and contact details. Insertion of the Associated operation specifications (OPSPECS) number. 				
Insertion of the ICAO designation of the aircraft make, model and series, or master series, if a has been designated (e.g. Boeing-737-3K2 or Boeing-777-232).	series			
The registration marks should be either listed on the operation specifications or in the operation ual. In the latter case the operations specifications shall refer to the related page in the tion manual.				
4. Specify the type of operation, e.g., agriculture, construction, photography, surveying, observand patrol, aerial advertisement.	vation			
5. List in this column any approved operations, e.g., Dangerous goods, low visibility operations reduced vertical separation minima (RVSM), required navigation performance (RNP), minavigation performance specifications (MNPS), night vision imaging system (NVIS), helical hoist operation (HHO).6. List in this column the most permissive criteria for each approval type (with appropriate criteria).	inimum copter			

Insertion of Name and contact details.

² Insertion of the associated OPSPECS number.

Insertion of the Commercial Aviation Safety Team (CAST)/ICAO designation of the aircraft make, model and series, or master series, if a series has been designated (e.g. Boeing-737-3K2 or Boeing-777-232). The CAST/ICAO taxonomy is available at: http://www.intlaviationstandards.org/.

The registration marks should be either listed on the operation specifications or in the operations manual. In the latter case the operations specifications shall refer to the related page in the operation manual.

Specify the type of operation, e.g., agriculture, construction, photography, surveying, observation and patrol, aerial advertisement.

List in this column the most permissive criteria for each approval.

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
APPENDIX III TO ANNEX 1 PART AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS	IA(3), INDIV(2), MS(17)			
STANDARD EASA LICENCE FORMAT	See comments addressed in the drafting document for Part-AR. Some general comments placed on the Explanatory Note point out that the licence format "does not suit the Implementing Rules" or "is not adapted to the aim of EASA to have all kinds of aircraft and different levels of licences on one document". Some others added that the layout and the abbreviations used should be standardised across all Member States. Some others propose to use a separate licence for each aircraft category.			
APPENDIX VII - STANDARD EASA FLIGHT CREW LICENCE FORMAT			Appendix III is based on Appendix 1 to JAR-FCL 1.075.	
	IA(1), INDIV(4), MS(28)	The comments received on FCL.015 in Part FCL and on the Explanatory Note were also reviewed and taken into account.		
The flight crew licence issued by an EASA MemberState in accordance with Part-FCL shall conform to the following specifications:	use of an identical layout for	a. Noted. This is the intention of this Appendix. However, there are likely to be some differences in the layout of the variable items of the licence (items XII,XIII and XIV)		
	have an EASA Form XXX as the Standard EASA Licence Format (similar to the FSTD Qualification Certificate) c. One comment refers to Member States having more than one national language	c. Accepted. The cover page of the licence will be amended to read: "English and any lan- guage(s) determined by the competent authority". See also		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	the proposed licence format does not allow for the various entries that may be required in case of only one licence per person (examples are given mentioning several different	cence holder with several typeratings) it might be difficult to put all the various entries in only one licence. The licence format will be slightly adapted in order to address this. If the space provided will still not be sufficient in specific cases the competent authority may decide (following item (a)) to put some of the variable items on a separate part of the main form.		
(a) Content. The item number shown will always be printed in association with the item heading. Items I to XI are the 'permanent' items and items XII to XIV are the 'variable' items which may appear on a separate or detachable part of the main form. Any separate or detachable part shall be clearly identifiable as part of the licence.				
(1) Permanent items:				
(I) state of licence issue;				
(II) title of licence;				
(III) serial number of the licence commencing with the U.N. country code of the State of licence issue and followed by "FCL" and a code of numbers and/or letters in Arabic numerals and in latin script;	b. One comment makes reference to the ED Decision	changed accordingly. The requirement to use the UN code of the issuing State was already used in Appendix 1 to JAR-FCL 1.075 and copied to page 2 of the format, but under (a)(1)(III) the term 'postal code' was used and will be amended to the UN country code. In order to make the text more clear the term "of the licence" will also be added. b. See response provided above.		
(IV) name of holder (in latin alphabet, if the script of the national language(s) is other than latin);	the Agency			
(V) holder's address;				
(VI) nationality of holder;				
(VII) signature of holder;				
(VIII) competent authority and, where necessary, conditions under which the licence was issued;	issuing competent authority. On page 34, licence page no. 2, under 'Issuing competent			

	Part-AR				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
	ple of endorsement is: 'This CPL(A) has been issued on the basis of ATPL issued by (third country)				
(IX) certification of validity and authorisation for the privileges granted;	a. One comment relates to the	AR.FCL. The Agency decided not to require a mandatory re-issue after a certain time-period. As a consequence all the references regarding the mandatory re-issue of a licence will be deleted.			
	b. One comment refers to the requirement to have a photo ID document to support the licence which is to be found on page 35, licence page 3, under item IX 'Validity'. It was highlighted that this statement has nothing to do with the validity of the licence. c. One comment proposes to add in the column IX a new text: 'New licence replaces the	document can be provided by the licence holder. c. Not accepted. The proposal is not clear and was not supported by a justification.			
(X) signature of the officer issuing the licence and the date of issue; and	older licence'				
(XI) seal or stamp of the competent authority.					
(2) Variable items					

Po	Part-AR 4 Oct 2010				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
(XII) ratings and certificates- class, type, instructor certificates, etc., with dates of expiry. Radio telephony (R/T) privileges may appear on the licence form or on a separate certificate;	35, licence page no. 2, item XII and proposes to delete the words "in English (other languages specified)".	a. Accepted.On page 35 item XII the term 'in English (other languages specified)' will be deleted and the term 'in (specify the language(s))' will be inserted. b. Partially accepted (see comment 1) above).			
	d. One comment refers to the intention by EASA to have only one licence for all categories of aircraft. The commenter proposes to have also the possibility to insert in the same licence the "national Ratings" for "Annex II" aircraft such as microlights, foot-launched powered aircraft (FLPA) and gyroplanes, which EASA does not propose to regulate. e. One comment refers to the need to standardise the terminology for different IR ratings or instructor certificates and	ferred most of the validity time periods introduced with JAR-FCL. The comment is right that depending on the class- or type rating and the certificate three different intervals will be transferred (1 / 2 /3 years). d. Not accepted. National ratings or licences (Annex II only) are not covered by these requirements and will be treated under national law. The Agency does not agree that national licences should be entered on the future licence.			
	f. One comment proposes to add one more column titled "Date of test of IR" (under IFR), because without this cell it is not possible to enter the instrument rating renewal into a license according to Appendix 8 of Part-FCL. g. Three comments propose to add language proficiency as a rating subject to revalidation and another four comments proposes to add the level of proficiency and expiry date. Another two comments state that the space for language proficiency endorsements under Item XIII 'Remarks' is insufficient.	e. Noted. The Agency agrees and decided to develop an AMC containing a standardised terminology to be used for the entries to be included in the licence. As this will require some more coordination with the CAAs this will be transferred to a future rulemaking task. f. Accepted. The Agency discussed the proposal with the experts involved in the drafting and decided to incorporate an additional column for the IR test. g. Partially accepted. The Agency carefully reviewed the comments received on the issue of the language proficiency. It was decided not to introduce a new specific field for the language proficiency as it seems to be no problem to keep it in XIII "Remarks". The Agency however		Page 125 of 271	

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
(XII) (continued)	to amend the requirement on page 35, licence page no. 4 as follows: "Initial issues and re-	h. Not accepted. Based on the fact that certain examiners will be authorised to do it as well (not for initial issue) the text hast to be reworded in order to reflect this.		
	the same requirement high- lights the contradiction with Part FCL.1030 (b) (2) allowing the endorsement of the licence	i. Partially accepted. See the response above. The Agency will change the wording in order to reflect the issue that examiners will also be allowed to enter an endorsement for the purpose of revalidation.		
		j. Noted. As it was decided not to introduce a specific mandatory re-issue date for the licence this problem no longer exists. It is anyway the task of the pilot to verify and check the validity period of his/her licence.		
		k. Noted. Based on the changes incorporated in Part-FCL, these kinds of issues should now be solved. The new term is examiner certification. Some examiners will be approved for revalidating a licence.		
	that, according to the ITU	I. Noted. The Agency agrees in general with the comment. As it has no direct relevance for the licence format the field for the R/T qualification will be kept unchanged. m. Noted. The text on the top of		
	the meaning of the requirement concerning the pages 5,	page 36 (remark on the licence form requiring the deletion of invalid ratings) will be removed based on the fact that the Agency decided to delete the mandatory re-issue of a licence every 5 years.		
(XIII) remarks – i.e. special endorsements relating to limitations and endorsements for privileges, including endorsements of language proficiency;	XIII 'Remarks' in the licence format on page 35 of the NPA. The commentators are of the opinion that the example given in this box ("e.g. valid only on aeroplanes registered in the	a) Accepted. The example given in the box 'Remarks' was an exact copy of the licence format in the JAR-FCL regulation in Appendix 1 to JAR-FCL 1.075, box XIII 'Remarks'. The comments are right when stating that the example given is not adequate		

			Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rul	le		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
						рнансе
(XIV)	any other details required	by the competent authority.				
		sed will prevent or readily show any alterations will be clearly authorised by the competent author				
	Language. Licences shall be written in languages as the competent authority de	the national language(s) and in English and su eems appropriate.	ıch			
(Englis	Competent authority name and logo glish and any language(s) determined by the competent authority) EUROPEAN UNION (English only) FLIGHT CREW LICENCE sh and any language(s) determined by the competent authority) Issued in accordance with Part-FCL licence complies with ICAO standards, except for the LAPL privileges sh and any language(s) determined by the competent authority) EASA Form 141 Issue 1	Requirements "European Union" to be deleted for non EU Member States Size of each page shall be one eighth A4	amendment of the licence cover page by raising the following question: "English and national language Quid in case of several languages?" b. One Member State pointed out that it believes "that all kinds of LPL should be deleted	one official language exists in a Member State. The term: "English and any language(s) determined by the competent authority" will be used. The Agency changed "European Aviation Safety Agency" to "EUROPEAN UNION" for the uniform format with the Part 66 licence. In order to address also the issue of non EU countries being an EASA Member State an additional sentence was included		
Page 2	State of issue Licence number	Requirements Serial number of the licence will always commence with the U.N. country code of the State of licence issue followed by ".FCL.".	a postal code, but the appendix states UN country code. The	The Agency added ".FCL." for		
XIV	Date (see instructions) and Place of birth	Standard date format is to be used, i.e. day/month/year in full (e.g., 21/01/1995)		the uniform format of number with the other Parts (e.g. Part 66, part 145)		

		Pai L-AK		4	Oct 2010
A: Ru	le <u> </u>	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
٧	Address of holder: Street, town, area, postal code		The Agency added "of holder"		
VI	Nationality		for the uniform format with the		
VII	Signature of holder		Part 66 licence.		
VIII	Issuing competent authority E.g. This CPL(A) has been issued on the basis of an ATPL issued by (third county)		b. Noted. The Agency will keep		
х	Signature of issuing officer and date		this example as the conversion		
ΧI	Seal or stamp of issuing competent authority	provided in VIII ("This CPL(A) has been issued").	of a third country licence should be documented here in order to be able to trace the original li- cence issue.		

						Oct 2010
A: Ru	lle 		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
Page 3 II IX XIII	Titles of licences, date of initial issue and country code Validity: The privileges of the licence shall be exercised only if the holder has a valid medical certificate for the required privilege. This licence is to be re issued not later than	Abbreviations used will be as used in Part-FCL (e.g. PPL(H), ATPL(A), etc.) Standard date format is to be used, i.e. day/month/year in full (e.g., 21/01/1995) Re issue take place every 5 years from the date of initial issue shown in item II. This document is not specified, but a passport would suffice when outside the State of licence issue. All additional licensing information required and privileges established by ICAO, EC Directive/ Regulations to be entered here. Language proficiency endorsement(s), level and validity date shall be included. In case of LAPL: LAPL not issued in accordance with ICAO standards	that "there is no good reason for leisure pilot licences and PPL's to need renewal, at a non-trivial cost, every five years. They should be valid for life as long as a valid medical is held." Some other comments propose to change the given time period (e.g. to six years in order to align it with other revalidation intervals) b. Add new text in column IX: New licence replaces the older licence. c. One comment does not agree with the required document containing a photo which shall be carried for the purposes of identification of the licence holder. The comment states that "the above statement has nothing to do with validity" and points out that this requirement "is totally inappropriate for gliding, micro	d. Accepted. The term 'in English (other languages specified)' will be deleted and the term 'in (specify the language(s))'		pliance

	Part-AR					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance		
	that he agrees with the general need for R/T to be in a reasonable standard of 'aviation' English but that there should "be some way of easily accrediting people who logically have flu-	a. Noted. Language proficiency for the operation of radiotelephony equipment goes beyond the advanced knowledge of a language, such as by native speakers. Effective communication, accuracy, clarity, use of appropriate communicative strategies in a work-related context, including in unexpected/complicated events, as well as knowledge of standard aviation radio communication and vocabulary and phraseology are part of the areas to be assessed (cf. Appendix 1 to JAR-FCL 1.010). For applicants having successfully passed the language proficiency assessment in level 6 (Expert), no periodic reevaluation is required (cf. Appendix 2 to JAR-FCL 1.010). It can be assumed that for native speakers, level 6 is easier to achieve.		phance		
	stakeholder mentions that the meaning of the phrase "valid only on aeroplanes registered in the State of licence issue" is not understood. The stakeholder further asks if this means that national licences	b. Noted. The Part-FCL licence must never be used to endorse national privileges. Field XIII "remarks" allows addressing cases where the validity of the licence is otherwise limited. The given example will be deleted as there will be no licence or rating limited to be used only in one Member State.				
	have more space for the lan- guage proficiency endorse-	c. Noted. Items XII to XIV are the 'variable' items which may appear on a separate or detachable part of the main form as is stated in (a) <i>Content</i> .				

			Tait Ait			4 Oct 2010			
A: Rule						B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref.
						lighted that the language profi-	a. Accepted. The Agency agrees as FCL.055 was amended and clearly defines that the endorsement shall indicate the language, the level and the validity date. The text will be amended accordingly.		pliance
Page 4 XII Ratings, certificates and privileges Class/Type/IR Remarks and Restrictions Instructors an- Examiners Pages 5, 6 and 7: Ratings that are not validated will be removed from		ions	tent authorities authorised for following the newal of expiration of expiration of ratings or competent are examiners by the competent are examined as a competent	ty or the ex- this purpose to initial issue of red ratings. of ratings, instrivileges will alw t authority. Revi- certificates will uthority or spe- mitations will be tions against ti ege, e.g. IR skill	use by the compe- aminer specifically state requirements ratings, or the re- uctor and examiner ays be entered by alidation or renewal be entered by the cifically authorised entered in the Re- he appropriate re- test taken with co- ivileges to one air-	follows: "Initial issues and re-	aminers will be authorised to do it as well (not for initial issue) the text hast to be reworded in order to reflect this.		
	vill be remo	ved from th	e licence by the o	competent auth	ority and not later than 5 years	change: Examiner's / Asses-	a. Not accepted. As the term "assessor" is not used in Part-FCL such a change is not needed.		
Rating Date of Rating test	Date of IR test	Valid	Examiners certificate no.	Examiners signature	(Each page will contain 10 spaces for initial issue and revalidation of ratings)	b. One comment recommends adding one more column titled: "Date of test of IR"(under IFR) as it would not be possible "to enter the instrument rating renewal into a licence according to Appendix 8 of Part-FCL" without such an additional cell. c. One comment (MS) pro-	b.Accepted. The Agency discussed the proposal with the experts involved in the drafting and decided to incorporate an additional column for the IR test. c. Not accepted. As it was de-		
						text: "The authority or the examiner shall limit rating to va-			

		Part-AR 4 Oct 2010				
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
Page 8						
Abbreviations used in this licence						
	e.g. ATPL (Airline Transport Pilot Licence), CPL (Commercial Pilot Licence), IR (Instrument rating), R/T (Radio Telephony), MEP (Multi-Engine Piston aeroplanes), FI (Flight Instructor), TRE (Type Rating Examiner), etc.					
APPENDIX VIII - STANDARD EASA FOR	MAT FOR CABIN CREW ATTESTATIONS	IA: consider flexibility of different formats with the same content (e.g. cards with magnetic chip)	Update of Appendix number It is proposed that content and size are standardised to facilitate standardisation and recognition across EU	BR 216/2008, Art. 8(4) and 8(5)(e) Annex IV (7) & (8)	x	

Part-AR 4 Oc					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
Cabin crew attestations issued in accordance with Part-CC in a Member State shall conform to th following specifications.	IA: CCA to include IST only; any other required training included may prove to be discriminatory when seeking employment MS: establish a centralized register of ATO (with ref. nos of the relevant approval by the competent authority) to be available to public – for the benefit of trainees / authorities / operators MS: amend "CCA for initial safety training" IND: format reflects current EU-OPS requirements (dates & duration of training); will attestations need to be re-issued? Is the operator still required to keep a copy in CC records? IND: realign format with EU-OPS / remove requirement of CC signature	Opinion Comment conflicts with BR: please refer to response in the first line box under title 'Sub- part CC' IST attestation is different from CCA as foreseen by BR. Transi- tion measure will be proposed in the Cover regulation to Per- sonnel requirements for the is-			
1. CABIN CREW ATTESTATION Issued in accordance with Part-CC 2. Reference number: 3. State of issue: 4. Full name of holder: 5. Date and place of birth: 6. Nationality: 7. Signature of holder: 8. Competent authority: Stamp or Logo 9. Issuing body: Stamp or Logo 10. Signature of issuing officer: 11. Date of issue: 12. The holder may only exercise the privileges to act as cabin crew on aircraft engaged in commercial air					
transport operations if he/she complies with the conditions specified in Part-CC.					

A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	Form 142 Issue 1 uctions:		Instructions below have been amended/simplified to reflect the revised format		
(a)	The cabin crew attestation shall include all items specified in the form in accordance with the instructions hereunder.	No comments			Х
(b)	Size shall be one-eighth A4.	No comments	This size is proposed as the same as that already used within EU for other documents such as IDs and as considered needed to facilitate recognition and standardisation		X
(c)	The document shall be printed in English and, as considered appropriate, in the official language(s) of the Member State	No comments	English is required to facilitate recognition across all EU, the use of national languages are left to the discretion of each MS.		X
(d)	The document shall be issued by the competent authority, or by an organisation approved to issue cabin crew attestations on its behalf. In all cases, reference of the competent authority of the Member State shall be stated.	No comments	Editorial change for clarity	OPS 1.1005(e)	Х
(e)	The cabin crew attestation is recognised in all Member States and it is not necessary to exchange the document when working in another Member State.	No comments			
		No comments			Х
Item State	1: The title "CABIN CREW ATTESTATION" and the reference to Part CC 2: Attestation reference number shall commence with the country code of the EASA Member followed by at least the 2 last numbers of the year of issue and an individual reference/number ding to a code established by the competent authority (e.g. BE-08-xxxx).	No comments	Same sentence as former block 1		X
<u>Item3</u>	3: The Member State where the cabin crew attestation was issued.		This proposal conflicts with BR: Art. 8 (4) provides the flexibility to each MS to delegate the task to organisations approved to act on its behalf The text has been revised in order to reflect the requirement of entering identification details of the competent authority of the MS only.		X
		No comments	Text deleted, block non-existent in the format.		Х
<u>Item</u> 4	1: The full name (surname and first name) stated in the official identity document of the holder.	No comments	Editorial changes		Х
	55 and 6: Date and place of birth as well as nationality as stated in the official identity document be holder.	No comments	Text adjusted in order to reflect the sequence of the blocks 5 and 6.		X
<u>Item</u> 7	7: The signature of the holder.	No comments	Text corrected - 'Date format' repetition of 'Block 12'; 'Block 8' refers to the signature of the holder		X

Part-AR					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
<u>Item8:</u> Identification details of the competent authority of the Member State where the attestation was issued shall be entered and shall provide the full name of the competent authority, postal address, official seal, and logo if applicable.	MS: re-phrase 'shall' exercise with "can"	Partially accepted: 'shall' has been replaced by 'may'		X	
 Item9:If the competent authority is the issuing body 'Competent authority' shall be entered. In the case of an organisation acting on its behalf, identification details shall be entered and shall at least provide the full name of the organisation, postal address, official seal, and logo if applicable, and: (a) in the case of a commercial air transport operator, the AOC number and detailed reference to the approval(s) by the competent authority to provide cabin crew training and to issue attestations; or (b) in the case of an approved training organisation, the reference number of the relevant approval by the competent authority. 		Art. 8 (4) provides the flexibility to each MS to delegate the task to organisations approved to act on its behalf The text has been clarified in order to reflect the requirement of entering identification and approval details of the issuing body.		X	
Item10: The signature of the officer acting on behalf of the issuing body.	No comments	Editorial changes			
Item11: Standard date format shall be used: i.e. day/month/year in full (e.g. 22/02/2008).	No comments	Editorial changes			
Item12: The same sentence in English and, as considered appropriate, its full and precise translation into the official language(s) of the Member State.	only if the holder has been assessed fit and is proficient in accordance with"	The text has been maintained as in line with BR Annex IV 7.b. (i) that specifies 'medical fitness' The proposal is to use a list of aircraft types as an annex to the CCA, which is considered as a easier whilst effective process to show its validity.			
APPENDIX IX - CERTIFICATE FOR APPROVED TRAINING ORGANISATIONS		New: This provides the template to be used for issuing certificates to approved training organisations. The form is based on the EASA Standard organisation approval certificate (Appendix I to Part AR in the NPA), which is not maintained. Comments made on the Standard organisation approval certificate that are generally applicable have been considered here.			
European Union Competent Authority APPROVED TRAINING ORGANISATION CERTIFICATE	One comment raised (NAA) to claim that Organisation number or other reference number be	3. Accepted: The approval reference number, an EASA Form number and the individual refer-			
[CERTIFICATE NUMBER/REFERENCE]	added.	ence of the Appendix have been added. 1			
Pursuant to the EU Regulations for the time being in force and subject to the conditions specified below, the [Competent Authority] hereby certifies [NAME OF THE TRAINING ORGANISATION] [ADDRESS OF THE TRAINING ORGANISATION]	 One comment raised (NAA) to request identification of the certificate template with an EASA Form Number. One comment raised 	4. Accepted: text changed accordingly			
as a Part-OR certified training organisation with the privilege to provide Part-FCL training courses, including the use of FSTDs, as listed in the attached training course approval. CONDITIONS:	(NAA) to request that each component of Appendix I be identified.				
 This certificate is limited to the privileges and the scope of providing the training courses, including the use of FSTDs, as listed in the attached training course approval. 	4. One comment (IND) sug-				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
 This certificate is valid whilst the approved organisation remains in compliance with Part-OR, Part-FCL and other applicable regulations. Subject to compliance with the foregoing conditions, this certificate shall remain valid unless the certificate has been surrendered, superseded, limited, suspended or revoked. 	gesting deletion of the word "previously" as this makes no sense.			
Date of issue:				
Signed:				
[Competent Authority]				
EASA FORM [143] issue 01				
TRAININGCOURSE APPROVAL	4			+
Attachment to ATO Certificate Number:		Partially accepted. The Agency		
Organisation Name:		decided to do a review of the form for the course schedule		
has obtained the privilege to provide and conduct the following Part-FCL training courses and to use the following FSTDs:	ing FSTDs generally and which	based on the other forms and schedules developed as Appen- dix for this part or as an ap-		
Training course Used FSTD(s) Used FSTD(s) This training course approval is valid as long as: (a) the ATO certificate has not been surrendered, superseded, limited, suspended or revoked, and (b) all operations are conducted in compliance with Part-OR, Part-FCL, other applicable regulations,	FSTDs concerned would be specified in the ATO schedule of approval. Justification: OR.ATO.300, which are requirements for the ATO, state in paragraph (c) that the FSTD specifications shall be detailed in the terms of the approval. This does not appear to be reflected in the current Part AR and in specific the approval certificate or terms of approval Proposed Text (if applicable):	tions in other parts. The issue raised with this comment was taken into account and a specific reference to the use of FSTDs		
and, when relevant, with the procedures in the organisation's documentation as required by Part-OR. Date of issue:	Amend format of Appendix 1 to add ATO privilege to operate FSTD and the FSTD specifications.			
Signed:				
[Competent Authority]				
EASA FORM [143] attachment issue 01				
This training/course(s) approval is valid when working in accordance with Part OR approved training organisation manual:				
ate of issue:				
Signed:				
<u> </u>	1	1	1	

<u> </u>	art Art	4	4 Oct 2010		
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO ref.	
			compliance	and com- pliance	
For the Member State/EASA					
, and the state of	INC 4 IND 4 INDIV 01				
	[MS:4; IND:1; INDIV:0]				
APPENDIX X - FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE QUALIFICATION CERTIFICATE					
Introduction EASA Form 145 shall be used for the FSTD qualification certificate. This document shall contain the FSTD Specification including any limitation(s) and special authorisation(s) or approval(s) as appropriate to the FSTD concerned. The qualification certificate shall be printed in English and in any other language(s) determined by the competent authority. Convertible FSTD will have a separate qualification certificate for each aircraft type. Different engine and equipment fit on one FSTD shall not require separate qualification certificates.All qualification certificates shall carry a serial number prefixed by a code in letters, which shall be specific to that FSTD. The letter code shall be specific to the competent authority of issue.	type (for example A320) can have more than one engine or equipment fit (e.g. FMS) on the qualification certificate (and does not require two separate configurations and Associated certificates).	modified. 2. Not accepted. It is not planned to have user approvals any more. The content of the former user approval willnow become a part of the ATO certificate or the OM-D (the information about the used training device(s) is already contained there). 3. Accepted.Section 4 of the FSTD evaluation report will be attached to the FSTD qualification certificate.			
European Union					
Competent Authority					
FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE QUALIFICATION CERTIFICATE REFERENCE:					
Pursuant to Commission Regulation (EU) No XXX/XXXX and subject to the conditions specified below, the [competent authority] hereby certifies					
FSTD [XYZ] located at [ADDRESS OF THE ORGANISATION]					
has satisfied the qualification requirements prescribed in Part-OR, subject to the conditions of the attached FSTD specification					
This qualification certificate shall remain valid subject to the FSTD and the holder of the qualification certificate remaining in compliance with the applicable requirements of Part-OR, unless it has been surrendered, super-seded, suspended or revoked.	I				
Date of issue: Signed:					

	rare file		4 0	t 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
[competent authority]				phanee
FSTD QUALIFICATION CERTIFICATE: [Reference]				
FSTD SPECIFICATIONS				
A. Type or Variant of Aircraft: B. FSTD Qualification Level: C. Primary Reference Document: D. Visual System: E. Motion System: F. Engine Fit: G. Instrument Fit: H. TCAS Fit: I. Windshear: J. Additional Capabilities: K. Restrictions or Limitations:				
L. Training, testing and checking considerations				
CAT I RVR DH CAT II RVR DH CAT III RVR DH (lowest minimum) LVTO RVR Recency				
IFR-Training/Check				
Type Rating				
Proficiency Checks				
Autocoupled Approach				
Autoland/Roll Out Guidance				
ACAS I / II				
Windshear Warning System/Predictive Windshear				
WX-Radar				
HUD/HUGS				
FANS				
GPWS/EGPWS				
ETOPS Capability				
GPS				
Other				
Date of issue:				

			Ture Till			
A: F	Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		er State/EASA				
APF	PENDIX	XI - CERTIFICATE FOR AERO-MEDICAL CENTRES (AeMCs)		The approval schedule format has been changed to the AeMC certificate format.		N/A
		European Union Competent Authority AERO-MEDICAL CENTRE APPROVAL CERTIFICATE REFERENCE:				
Pι	ırsuant to	Commission Regulation xxx/xxxx and subject to the conditions specified below, the [competent authority] hereby certifies				
		[NAME OF THE ORGANISATION] [ADDRESS OF THE ORGANISATION]				
as	a Part-O	R approved Aero-medical centre with the privileges and the scope of activities as listed in the attached approval schedule.				
CON	DITIONS					
		proval is limited to that specified in the scope of approval section of the approved organisation				
2.	This ap	proval requires compliance with the procedures specified in the approved organisation manual.				
3.		proval shall remain valid subject to compliance with the requirements of Part-OR unless it has been ered, superseded, suspended or revoked.				
Date	e of issue:	Signed:				
APF	PENDIX	XII - STANDARD EASA MEDICAL CERTIFICATE FORMAT			IEM FCL 3.100(a)	N/A
The	medical	certificate shall conform to the following specifications:				
(a)	Conte	ent				
	(1)	State where the medical certificate has been issued (I),				
	(2)	Class of medical certificate (II),				
	(3)	Certificate number commencing with the UN country code of the issuing State of the li- censing authority and followed by a code of numbers and/or letters in Arabic numerals and latin script(III),				
	(4)	Name of holder (IV),				
	(5)	Nationality of holder (VI),				
	(6)	Date of birth of holder: (dd/mm/yyyy) (XIV),				
	(7)	Signature of holder (VII)				
	(8)	Limitation(s) (XIII)				
	(9)	Expiry date of the medical certificate (IX) for:				
		 Class 1 single pilot commercial operations carrying passengers, Class 1 other commercial operations, Class 2, 				
	44.53	• LAPL				
1	(10)	Date of medical examination				

					Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	(11) Date of last electrocardiogram				
	(12) Date of last audiogram				
	(13) Date of issue and signature of the AME, GMP or medical assessor that issued the certificate (X),				
	(14) Seal or stamp (XI)				
(b)	Material: The paper or other material used shall prevent or readily show any alterations or erasures. Any entries or deletions to the form shall be clearly authorised by the licensing authority.				
(c)	Language: Licences shall be written in the national language(s) and in English and such other languages as the licensing authority deems appropriate.				
(d)	All dates on the medical certificate shall be written in a dd/mm/yyyy format.				
(e)	A standard EASA medical certificate format is shown in this Appendix.				
	LOGO				
	NAME OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY				
	Class 1/2/LAPL NATIONAL LAN- GUAGE_(S)				
	MEDICAL CERTIFICATE PERTAINING TO A FLIGHT CREW LICENCE				

Part-AR				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
I Nat. language(s)/Licensing authority				
III Nat. language(s):/Certificate number commencing with the <u>UN</u> country code of the State of the licensing authority and followed by a code of numbers and/or letters in Arabic numerals and in latin script IV National language(s):/ Last and first name of holder:				
XIV National language(s):/Date of birth: (dd/mm/yyyy)				
VI National language(s)/Nationality:				
VII National language(s)/ Signature of holder:				
2				

	Part-AR					1 Oct 2010	
Rule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO r and com- pliance	
						phanee	
II National langu Code.	uage(s)/ <i>Limitations:</i>						
Description :							
Nat. language (dd/mm/yyyy	e(s)/* Date of issue:)						
	ssuing AME /GMP:						
National langu	uage(s)/ <i>Stamp:</i>						
	3						
IX Nat. lang/ Expiry date of this certifi-	Class 1 single pilot commercial op- erations carrying passengers (dd/mm/yyyy)						
cate	Class 1 (dd/mm/yyyy)						
	Class 2 (dd/mm/yyyy)						
	LAPL(dd/mm/yy						
Nat. lang./ E: (dd/mm/yyyy)	xamination date:						
Nat. lang./ Ad- visory Informa- tion	Most recent Next (dd/mm/yyyy (dd/mm/yyyy)						
uon							
Nat. lang./ECG							
Nat. lang./ECG							

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
II DRAFT DECISION AMC AND GM FOR PART-AR	(MS) to request the addition of more specific provisions to	When the European Aviation Safety Programme (EASP) has been agreed, a new Rulemaking		
AMC and GM to Part - Authority Requirements (AR)	the obligations of Contracting States to implement a State	task will be initiated to adapt Part Authority Requirements and related AMCs and GMs accord-		
SUBPART GEN -GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	Safety Programme.	ingly to implement relevant provisions of the EASP, taking into account ICAO SARPS related to the State Safety Programme.		
	MS:2 IND:7 IA:2 INDIV:1	Transferred to OR.GEN.120 for consistency.		
GM1-AR.GEN.120 Means of compliance	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0	New GM added to clarify what information to be made publicly available.		
GENERAL				
Information on alternative means of compliance to be made publicly available should contain a reference to the Acceptable Means of Compliance to which such means of compliance provides an alternative, as well as a reference to the corresponding implementing rule, indicating as applicable the subparagraph(s) covered by the alternative means of compliance.				
Information on additional means of compliance to be made publicly available should contain a reference to the corresponding implementing rule, indicating as applicable the subparagraph(s) covered by the additional means of compliance.				
	MS:3 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0	Deleted in line with deletion of AR.GEN.030.		
SECTION II - MANAGEMENT				
AMC1-AR.GEN.200(a) Management system	MS:0 IND:3 IA:1 INDIV:3			

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
GENE	ERAL				
1.	The following should be considered when deciding upon the required organisational structure: a. the number of certificates, attestations, authorisations and approvals to be issued; b. the number of declared organisations; c. the number of certified persons and organisations exercising an activity within that Member State, including persons or organisations certified by other competent authorities; d. the possible use of qualified entities and of resources of other competent authorities to fulfil the continuing oversight obligations; e. as well as the level of civil aviation activity; f. the number and complexity of aircraft; g. and the size of the Member State's aviation industry; and h. It should also take into account the potential growth of activities in the field of civil aviation.	Stakeholders have expressed the need to amend this AMC to address cooperative oversight as one input to determining the required organisational structure.	This AMC is amended to provide more clarity on cooperative oversight. The AMC has been structured in a numbered list for more clarity. Declared organisations added. Attestations and authorisations added for consistency with AR.CC		
2.	The set-up of the organisational structure should ensure that carrying out the various tasks and obligations of the competent authority do not rely solely on individuals. That means that a continuous and undisturbed fulfilment of these tasks and obligations of the competent authority should also be guaranteed in case of illness, accident or leave of individual employees.	 One comment (MS) requested adding "usually" not relying on individuals to take into account the situation with small CAs. Other commenters suggested the definition of a minimum structure for smaller CAs. Specific guidance for determining the number of staff required was requested (MS). 	 Not accepted. This is AMC, which implies that smaller CAs may suggest alternative AMCs in order to ensure an equivalent level of safety, in cases where such tasks and obligations do rely on individuals. Additional guidance material for smaller CAs could be provided through further rulemaking, if the need for that is confirmed. Accepted: A new GM is included to provide additional guidance on the determination of sufficient number of personnel (cf. GM2-AR.GEN.200 (a)(2). Editorial changes made following proofreading. 	AMC 145.B.10 (1)(3)	
GM1	-AR.GEN.200(a) Management system	MS:5 IND:2 IA:1 INDIV:0			
GENE	ERAL				
1.	The competent authority designated by each Member State should be organised in such a way that:	no comments related to this item			
	 a. there is specific and effective management authority in the conduct of all relevant activities; 	no comments related to this item			
	 the functions and processes described in the applicable requirements of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules and AMCs, CS' and GM may be properly implemented; 	Two comments (indiv/MS) were raised to claim the inclusion of a reference to AMCs/GMs is misleading, be-	Functions and processes described may be con-		

		art-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Ru	le	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		cause it gives the impression that only when also AMC, CS and GM are used the rules are properly implemented. The comments further requested the inclusion of a new paragraph (a) explaining the purpose of AMCs.	CSs, including in alternative means of compliance. This is not contradictory to their generally non-binding nature. 2. This GM is not the right place to explain the purpose of AMCs.		ришнее
	 the competent authority's organisation and operating procedures for the im- plementation of the applicable requirements of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules are properly documented and applied; 	One commenter (MS) pointed out the inconsistency between the 3 rd bullet point of item (1) and item (2) of GM AR.GEN.200(a)	Accepted: text amended.		
	 all competent authority personnel involved in the related activities are provided with training where necessary; 	no comments related to this item			
	e. specific and effective provision is made for the communication and interface as necessary with the Agency and the competent authorities of other Member States; and				
	 all functions related to implementing the applicable requirements are adequately described. 	Commenters (MS) claimed that "and shown (standardisation)" should be clarified.	Accepted: This part of the text is deleted, in order to avoid misunderstandings.		
2.	A general policy in respect of activities related to the applicable requirements of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules should be developed, promoted and implemented by the manager at the highest appropriate level; for example the manager at the top of the functional area of the competent authority that is responsible for such activities;		"sponsored" replaced by "promoted" following internal consultation.		
3.	Appropriate steps should be taken to ensure that the policy is known and understood by all personnel involved, and all necessary steps should be taken to implement and maintain the policy.				
4.	The general policy, whilst also satisfying additional national regulatory responsibilities, should in particular take into account:	1. Rewording requested (MS) for more clarity. 2. Two comments raised (IND) to request clarification of additional national regulatory responsibilities, claiming this would create differences between member states.	 Text changed accordingly. These responsibilities are for those areas where community competence has not yet been established or that have been taken out of community competence, such as Annex II aircraft. 		
	a. the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 216/2008;				
	b. the provisions of the applicable implementing rules and their AMCs, CS' and GM;		The Review Group commented that the reference made to AMCs, CS' and GMs was not clear. As functions and processes relevant to the policy may be contained in AMCs, GMs or CSs, these need to be included here.		
	c. the needs of industry; and		-		
	d. the needs of the Agency and of the competent authority.				

Part-AR 4 Oct 2					
A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
5.	The policy should define specific objectives for key elements of the organisation and processes for implementing related activities, including the corresponding control procedures and the measurement of the achieved standard.	One commenter (MS) suggested using Safety Performance Indicators and Safety Performance Targets, to adapt to ICAO standard wording.	Noted: Following agreement of the European Aviation Safety Programme, a new RM task will be initiated in order to amend PART.AR accordingly.		phanec
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MS:1 IND:0 IA:1 INDIV:0			
AMC	1-AR.GEN.200(a)(1) Management system				
DOC	JMENTED POLICIES AND PROCEDURES		Subtitle added.		
1.	The various elements of the organisation involved with the activities related to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 and its implementing rules should be documented in order to establish a reference source for the establishment and maintenance of this organisation.	One commenter (MS) requested that proper guidelines be included in the EU Safety Programme which shall be the umbrella for the State Safety Programme.	Noted – Following agreement of the EASP, a new RM task will be initiated in order to amend PART.AR accordingly.		
2.	The documented procedures should be established in a way that facilitates their use. They should be clearly identified, kept up-to-date and made readily available to all personnel involved in the relevant activities.				
3.	The documented procedures should cover, as a minimum, the following aspects:				
	a. policy and objectives;				
	b. organisation structure;				
	c. responsibilities and associated authority;		Editorial change following proof-reading.		
	d. procedures and processes;				
	e. internal and external interfaces;				
	f. internal control procedures;				
	g. training of personnel;				
	h. cross-references to associated documents; and				
	i. assistance from other competent authorities or the Agency (where required).				
4.	Except for smaller competent authorities, it is likely that the information is held in more than one document or series of documents, and suitable cross-referencing should be provided. For example, organisational structure and job descriptions are not usually in the same documentation as the detailed working procedures. In such cases it is recommended that the documented procedures include an index of cross-references to all such other related information, and the related documentation should be readily available when required.	One commenter (IND) requested clarification of what should be considered a small competent authority.	Noted: Determining what should be considered small in terms of competent authorities is a sub- sidiarity issue. Nevertheless, in the future, additional guidance material could be provided, if the need is confirmed.		

Part-AR 4 Oct 20						oct 2010
A: R	ule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
АМС	1-AR.	GEN.200(a)(2) Management System	MS:4 IND:0 IA:1 INDIV:0 One commenter (IND) claimed the description of qualification of flight inspectors is inadequate (ATPL + one or more Type Ratings).	for the type of inspections to be		pilance
QUA	LIFICA	TION AND TRAINING - INSPECTORS	One commenter (MS) requested the AMC to be ex-	Not accepted: The objective is defined at the level of the implementing rule, the AMC defines the means of compliance.		
1.	The	al training programme: initial training programme for inspectors should include, as appropriate to their role, cur- knowledge, experience and skills, at least the following:	One commenter (MS) claimed that initial training should take into account current knowledge and experience of the individual.	Text changed. Editorial change as requested by		
	a.	aviation legislation organisation and structure;				
	b.	the Chicago Convention, relevant ICAO annexes and documents;				
	C.	the applicable requirements and procedures;				
	d.	management systems, including auditing, risk assessment and reporting techniques;	One commenter (MS) requested that Quality Management Systems be added.	Not accepted. There are no requirements in PART.AR / PART.OR to impose a quality management system. Therefore, the reference here is to management systems in general. Following advice of the Review Group, the text was further amended to make it more generic. Risk assessment added for consistency with changes made to AR.GEN.200.		
	e.	human factors principles;				
	f.	rights and obligations of inspecting personnel of the competent authority;		Following advice of the Review Group, the text was further amended.		
	g.	"on-the-job" training; and				
	h.	suitable technical training appropriate to the role and tasks of the inspector, in particular for those areas requiring approvals.				
2.	The indu	urrent training programme: recurrent training programme should reflect, at least, changes in aviation legislation and astry. The programme should also cover the specific needs of the inspectors and the competauthority.				

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
GM1	-AR.GEN.200(a)(2)Management system	MS:2 IND: IA:2 INDIV:1			phanec
QUAI	LIFICATION AND TRAINING - GENERAL				
1.	The competent authority should ensure appropriate and adequate training of its personnel to meet the standard that is considered necessary to perform the work. To ensure personnel remain qualified, arrangements should be made for initial and recurrent training as required.	One comment raised to insist on the objective of training.	Accepted. Text amended accordingly.		
2.	The basic capability of the competent authority's personnel is a matter of recruitment and normal management functions in selection of personnel for particular duties. Moreover, the competent authority should provide training in the basic skills as required for those duties. However, to avoid differences in understanding and interpretation, it is considered important that all personnel be provided with further training specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 216/2008, its implementing rules and related AMCs, CS' and GM, as well as related to the assessment of alternative means of compliance.	point to the need for training	Accepted. Text amended accordingly. Following advice from the Review Group, training on the evaluation of alternative means of compliance has been added.		
3.	The competent authority may provide training through its own training organisation with qualified trainers or through another qualified training source (e.g., training provided by other competent authorities or the Agency .	Two comments raised (MS/IND) to indicate numbering error.	Numbering corrected. Text further changed to ensure consistency. The reference to qualified entities is deleted, as in line with Basic regulation Article 13, the term is used to mean entities performing certification and/or oversight tasks on behalf of the competent authority.		
4.	When training is not provided through an internal training organisation, adequately experienced and qualified persons may act as trainers, provided their training skills have been assessed. If required, an individual training plan should be established covering specific training skills. Records should be kept of such training and of the assessment, as appropriate.	Several comments were raised (MS) requesting to introduce enough flexibility for allowing experts who are not qualified trainers to provide such training.	Accepted: New subparagraph added.		
GM2	-AR.GEN.200(a)(2)Management System	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0 Several commenters (MS) requested further guidance on	Accepted: A new GM is included based on inputs from MS. It is further intended to provide		
SUFF	FICIENT PERSONNEL	how to determine a sufficient staffing level.	guidance on the requirement for a system to plan the availability of personnel.		
1.	This guidance material for the determination of the required personnel is limited to the performance of certification and oversight tasks, excluding personnel required to perform tasks subject to any national regulatory requirements.		GM has been preferred to issuing an AMC, as to avoid imposing any specific elements that may have a significant impact on systems already in place in some competent authorities.		
2.	The elements to be considered when determining required personnel and planning their availability may be divided into quantitative and qualitative elements:				
	 a. Quantitative elements: i. the number of initial certificates to be issued; ii. the number of organisations certified by the competent authority; iii. the number of persons to whom the competent authority has issued a licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation; iv. the number of persons and organisations exercising their activity within the territory of the Member State and certified by other competent authorities; and v. the number of organisations having declared their activity to the competent authority. 				

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	 b. Qualitative elements: i. the size, nature and complexity of activities of certified and declared organitions (cf. AMC1 OR.GEN.200(b)): A. privileges of the organisation; B. type of approval, scope of approval, multiple certification; C. possible certification to industry standards; D. types of aircraft operated; E. number of personnel; and F. organisational structure, existence of subsidiaries, line stations. ii. results of past oversight activities, including audits, inspections and review terms of risks and regulatory compliance: A. number and level of findings; B. timeframe for implementation of corrective actions; and C. information provided by other competent authorities related to activities the territory of the Member States concerned. iii. the size of the Member State's aviation industry and the potential growth of activities in the field of civil aviation, which may be an indication of the number of applications and changes to existing certificates to be expected. 	es in			
3.	Based on existing data from previous oversight planning cycles and taking into account the situation within the Member State's aviation industry, the competent authority may estimate a. the standard working time required for processing applications for new certificates (sons and organisations); b. the standard working time required for processing declarations;	e:			
	c. the number of new declarations or changed declarations;d. the number of new certificates to be issued for each planning period; and				
	e. the number of changes to existing certificates to be processed for each planning period, and	iod.			
4.	In line with the competent authority's oversight policy, the following planning data should be determined specifically for each type of organisation approval (for example: AOC holder, AT AeMC), as well as for declared organisations:				
	a. standard number of audits to be performed per oversight planning cycle;				
	b. standard duration of each audit;				
	 standard working time for audit preparation, on-site audit, reporting and follow-up, inspector; 	, per			
	d. standard number of unannounced inspections, including ramp inspections to be formed;	per-			
	e. standard duration of unannounced inspections, including preparation, reporting and low-up, per inspector; and	i fol-			
	f. minimum number and required qualification of inspectors for each audit/inspection.				
5.	Standard working time could be expressed either in working hours per inspector or in working days per inspector. All planning calculations should then be based on the same unit (hours of working days).				
requi	It is recommended to use a spreadsheet application to process data defined under (3) and re, to assist in determining the total number of working hours/ days per oversight planning of ired for certification, oversight and enforcement activities. This application could also serve as for implementing a system for planning the availability of personnel.	cycle			
7.	For each type of organisation (for example AOC holder, ATO, AeMC) the number of working hours / days per planning period for each qualified inspector that may be allocated for certification, oversight and enforcement activities should be determined, taking into account:				

				4 Oct 2010	
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
a. b. c. d. e.	purely administrative tasks not directly related to oversight and certification; training; participation in other projects; planned absence; and the need to include a reserve for unplanned tasks or unforeseeable events.				
	e determination of working time available for certification, oversight and enforcement activishould also consider: the possible use of qualified entities; and possible cooperation with other competent authorities for approvals involving more than one Member State.				
a. b. c. Care shou	sed on the elements listed above, the competent authority should be able to: monitor dates when audits and inspections are due and when they have been carried out; implement a system to plan the availability of personnel; and identify possible gaps between the number and qualification of personnel and the required volume of certification and oversight. Ild be taken to keep planning data up-to-date in line with changes in the underlying planmptions, with particular focus on risk-based oversight principles.				
	R.GEN.200(d)Management system RES AVAILABLE TO THE AGENCY	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0	A subtitle is added for consistency: all AMCs and GMs should have such subtitle providing an indication of the applicability and scope.		
1. Co	pies of the procedures in the competent authority's management system should be made allable to the Agency for the purpose of standardisation. These should include any amendants to the procedures. The procedures should provide at least the following information: Regarding continuing oversight functions undertaken by the competent authority, the competent authority's organisational structure with description of the main processes. This information should demonstrate the allocation of responsibilities within the competent authority, and that the competent authority is capable of carrying out the full range of tasks regarding the size and complexity of the Member State's aviation industry. It should also consider overall proficiency and authorisation scope of competent authority personnel;		Following recommendations of the review Group, this new guidance material is added for clarification of documents to be made available to the Agency for the purpose of standardisation.		
b. c. d.	changes which significantly affect the competent authority's oversight capabilities; for personnel involved in oversight activities, the minimum professional qualification requirements and experience, and principles guiding appointment (e.g. assessment); how the following are carried out: assessing applications and evaluating compliance, is-				
e. f. g.	suance of certificates, performance of continuing oversight, follow-up of findings, enforcement measures and resolution of safety concerns; principles of managing exemptions, derogations and concessions; systems used to disseminate applicable safety information for timely reaction to a safety problem; criteria for planning continuing oversight (oversight programme), including adequate				
h.	management of interfaces when conducting continuing oversight (air operations, flight crew licensing, continuing airworthiness management, for example); outline of the initial training of newly recruited oversight personnel (taking future activities into account), and the basic framework for continuation training of oversight personnel. e requirements of particular domains defined within the copy of the procedures of the com-				
	tent authority's management system (and amendments)should be considered. These could				

	P	Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	include air operations and flight crew licensing.				pliance
3.	As part of the continuous monitoring of a competent authority, the Agency may request details of the working methods used, in addition to the copy of the procedures of the competent authority's management system (and amendments). These additional details are the procedures and related guidance material describing working methods for competent authority personnel conducting oversight.				
4.	Information related to the competent authority's management system may be submitted in electronic format.				
		MS:8 IND: IA: INDIV:2	Following advice of the Review Group and in line with changes made in AR.GEN.200 and AR.GEN.210, the Agency agreed that this AMC is no longer required: The intent is addressed in AR.GEN.200(d) and related AMC1-AR.GEN.200(d).		
	-				
	-				
	-				
GM1	-AR.GEN.205 Use of qualified entities				
CERT	TIFICATION TASKS				
any t	tasks that may be performed by a qualified entity on behalf of the competent authority include tasks related to the initial certification and continuing oversight of persons and organisations as led in this Regulation, with the exclusion of the issue of certificates, licences, ratings or approv-		New GM added to clarify the scope of tasks that may be performed by qualified entities on behalf of the competent authority.		
		MS:2 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0	This has initially been published with NPA 2009-02.		
		1. One comment (MS) was raised to claim that this AMC is redundant with the remaining AMCs related to AR.GEN.220 2. Commenters (MS) claimed that no definition of "records" was provided.	 Accepted. AMC deleted, as it duplicates the detailed provisions in other AMCs related to AR.GEN.220. Accepted: A GM1 AR.GEN.220 is added with the explanation on why records are required, 		

	Pa	art-AR		4.0	ct 2010
A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		3. Other commenters (IND) claimed that any change in the record keeping requirements should be at no additional costs for operators/approved organisations.	this is based on the ISO 9000 definition. 3. Noted: The general record keeping requirements in PART.AR do not require significant changes in competent authorities' procedures.		рнансе
АМС	1-AR.GEN.220(a) Record-keeping	MS:2 IND:0 IA:1 INDIV:0			
GENE	ΕΡΔΙ				
	record-keeping system should ensure that all records are accessible whenever needed within a reasonable time. These records should be organised in a consistent way throughout the competent authority (chronological, alphabetical order, for example).				
		that this provision on sensitive data protection should be transferred into IR, as to ensure high level of regulatory enforcement for data protection.	flecting changes in AR.GEN.220 has been confirmed: Protection of personal and sensitive data must be ensured as per the applicable national regulations. Having this provision at the level of the IR would conflict with applicable national rules.		
1.	Records should be kept in paper form or in electronic format or a combination of both media. Records stored on microfilm or optical disc form are also acceptable. The records should remain legible and accessible throughout the required retention period. The retention period starts when the record has been created or last amended.	technical requirements for re-	OR.GEN.220(b) to ensure consistency.		
2.	Computer systems should have at least one backup system which should be updated within 24 hours of any new entry. Computer systems should include safeguards against unauthorised alteration of data.		"and accessible" added as advised by Review Group.		
34.	All computer hardware used to ensure data backup should be stored in a different location from that containing the working data and in an environment that ensures they remain in good condition. When hardware- or software-changes take place, special care should be taken that all necessary data continue to be accessible at least through the full period specified in the relevant Subpart or by default in AR.GEN.220(c).		Reference to Subpart added in line with changes made to AR.GEN.220(c).		
АМС	1-AR.GEN.220(a)(1);(a)(2);(a)(3)Record-keeping		New AMC added to ensure consistency with changes made to AR.GEN.220		
СОМІ	PETENT AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM				
	rds related to the competent authority's management system should include, as a minimum and plicable:				
1.	the documented policies and procedures;				
		<u> </u>		1	

	Pa	Part-AR			1 Oct 2010
A: Ru	le	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
2.	the personnel files of competent authority personnel, with supporting documents related to training and qualifications;				
3.	the results of the competent authority's own compliance monitoring and risk assessment, including audit findings and corrective actions; and				
4.	the contract(s) established with qualified entities performing certification or oversight tasks on behalf of the competent authority.				
		MS:1 IND:2 IA:0 INDIV:0			
AMC1	-AR.GEN.220(a)(4);(a)(5)Record-keeping				
ORGA	NISATIONS				
	ds related to an organisation certified by or having declared its activity to the competent au- y should include, as appropriate to the type of organisation: the application for an organisation approval or declaration; the documentation based on which the approval has been granted, approved manuals or/and data with amendments;		Text further amended to indicate that the AMC is applicable to the competent authority issuing the certificate. Following advice from the Review Group, declared organisations have been added. NB: The AMC will be adapted to the specific needs of ATM/ADR when the corresponding implementing rules will be in place.		
2	the examination approval contificate including any changes.		record keeping. Following advice from the Review Group, item has been listed as item 2 (was item 8).		
3.	the organisation approval certificate including any changes;				
4.	a copy of the continuing oversight programme listing the dates when audits are due and when such audits were carried out;	One comment raised to indicate that the current wording is misleading as it may be understood as the operator's audit programme.	tency with AR.GEN.305. The Review Group commented that an audit does not necessarily include an on-site visit.		
5	continuing oversight records including all audit and inspection records;		Editorial changes for consistency.		
6.	copies of all relevant correspondence;				
7	details of any exemption and enforcement actions;				

	Po	art-AR		,	1 Oct 2010
A: Ru	le	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
8.	any report from other competent authorities relating to the oversight of the organisation; and				
9.	a copy of any other document approved by the competent authority.		Following advice from the Review Group, "directly" has been deleted, in line with changes made to AR.GEN.310.		
AMC1	-AR.GEN.220(a)(6)Record-keeping	MS:2 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0			
PERSO	ONS				
	ds related to personal licences, certificates, ratings, authorisations or attestations issued by impetent authority should include, as a minimum:		Text amended to ensure consistency with AR.CC.		
1.	the application for a licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation or change to a licence, certificate, rating, authorisation, attestation or instructor certificate;	One comment raised to add type of supporting documents requested.	Text further amended to indicate that the AMC is applicable to the competent authority issuing the certificate and to ensure consistency with AR.CC.		
2.	documentation in support of the application for a licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation or change to a licence, certificate, rating, authorisation, attestation or instructor certificate, covering as applicable:		New text added following comments' analysis related to AR.FCL.		
	a. theoretical examination(s);b. skill test(s);				
	c. proficiency check(s); and				
3.	d. certificates attesting required experience. a copy of the licence or certificate including any changes;				
4.	all relevant correspondence or copies thereof;				
5.	details of any exemption;				
6.	details of any enforcement actions; and				
7.	any report from other competent authorities relating to the licence holder and certificate holder.				
AMC1	-AR.GEN.220(a)(8)Record-keeping	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0			

Part-AR 4 Oct 20					ct 2010
A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
COO	PERATIVE OVERSIGHT		Not accepted: The right for information is subject to the relevant national rules.		pilatice
1.	one Member State and certified by or declared to the competent authority of another Member State should include, as a minimum:		Accepted. A new AMC is added to complement existing AMC on AR.GEN.220(c).		
	a. oversight records including all audit and inspection records and related correspondence;		Following advice from the Review Group, AMC to AR.GEN.220(c) Record-keeping has been incorporated into this new AMC, as it is more relevant to cooperative oversight.		
	 copies of all relevant correspondence to exchange information with other competent authorities relating to the oversight of such persons/organisations; 				
	c. details of any enforcement measures and penalties; and				
	d. any report from other competent authorities relating to the oversight of these persons/organisations, including any notification of findings.				
2.	Records should be kept by the competent authority having performed the audit or inspection and should be made available to other competent authorities at least in the following cases:				
	a. incidents or accidents;				
	b. findings through the oversight programme where organisations certified by another competent authority are involved, to determine the root cause; and				
	- aircraft mainly operated in another Member State;		Following advice from the Review Group, these items, coming from AMC M.B.104 (f) Record-		
	- an aircraft previously operated in another Member State; and		keeping, have been deleted, as they are not directly relevant in the context of cooperative over- sight.		
	c. an organisation being certified or having approvals in several Member States.				
3.	When records are requested by another competent authority, the reason for the request should be clearly stated.				
4.	The records can be made available by sending a copy or by allowing access to them for consultation.				
		claiming that this AMC should	Accepted: Following deletion of AR.GEN.220(c) and as agreed with the Review Group, this AMC is incorporated into AMC1 AR.GEN.220(a)(7) Record-keeping.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
GM1-AR.GEN.220 Record-keeping	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0			
GENERAL		New GM added to address comments related to AMC1 AR.GEN.220 (from NPA 2009-02).		
Records are required to document results achieved or to provide evidence of activities performed. Records become factual when recorded. Therefore, they are not subject to version control. Even when a new record is produced covering the same issue, the previous record remains valid.				
GM1-AR.GEN.220(a) Record-keeping	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0	New GM added to ensure consistency with AMC/GM to OR.GEN.220.	I	
MICROFILM AND OPTICAL STORAGE				
Microfilming or optical storage of records may be carried out at any time. The records should be as legible as the original record and remain so for the required retention period.				
GM2-AR.GEN.220(a) Record-keeping	MS:0 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0			
ORGANISATIONS - DOCUMENTATION				
Documentation to be kept as records in support of the approval include the management system documentation, including any technical manuals, such as the operations manual, and training manual, that have been submitted with the initial application, and any amendments to these documents.		Following advice from the Review Group, this GM has been included to provide guidance on documentation based on which the approval has been granted.		

	P	art-AR			1 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
SECT	TION III OVERSIGHT, CERTIFICATIONAND ENFORCEMENT	MS:4 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:2	Numbering changed in line with drafting guidelines.		
		requested that OPS and ATO specific AMCs and GMs be relo-	complementing the oversight rules shall remain in AR.GEN Section 3, as there are no corre- sponding rule paragraphs in the		
AMC	1-AR.GEN.300-OPS Continuing oversight	MS:5 IND:5 IA:0 INDIV:1	"OPS" added to the AMC reference to specify applicability		
GENE	ERAL	One comment (MS) requested that this OPS specific AMC be relocated under AR.OPS	Not accepted: There is no corresponding rule paragraph in subpart AR.OPS.		
1.	The competent authority should assess the operator and monitor its continued competence to conduct safe operations in compliance with the applicable requirements. The competent authority should ensure that accountability for assessing operators is clearly defined. This accountability may be delegated or shared, in whole or in part. Where more than one competent authority is involved, a responsible person should be appointed under whose personal authority operators are assessed.	tent authority must be	the competent authority is defined in OR.GEN.105. The possibility for Member States to designate one or more competent		
2.	It is essential that the competent authority has the full capability to adequately assess the continued competence of an operator by ensuring that the whole range of activities is assessed by		Editorial and consistency changes Moved from GM1-AR.GEN.300 below		
GM1	appropriately qualified personnel. -AR.GEN.300-OPS Continuing oversight	MS:1 IND:1 IA:0 INDIV:0	"OPS" added to the AMC reference to specify applicability,		
GENE		One comment (MS) requested that this OPS specific AMC be relocated under AR.OPS	subtitle added for consistency. Not accepted: There is no corresponding rule paragraph in subpart AR.OPS.		
1.	Responsibility for the conduct of safe operations lies with the operator. Under these provisions a positive move is made towards devolving upon the operator a share of the responsibility for monitoring the safety of operations. The objective cannot be attained unless operators are prepared to accept the implications of this policy including that of committing the necessary resources to its implementation. Crucial to success of the policy is the content of Part-OR which requires the establishment of a management system by the operator.	One comment (IND) claiming that the reference to competent authority must be changed to "competent authority designated by the Member State where the operator has its principle place of business".	Not accepted: the des- ignation of the compe- tent author- ity is defined		

	Po	art-AR		,	1 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
			competent authorities is defined in AR.GEN.115.		рпапсе
2.	The competent authority should continue to assess the operator's compliance with the applicable requirements, including the effectiveness of the management system. If the management system is judged to have failed in its effectiveness, then this in itself is a breach of the requirements which may, among others, call into question the validity of a certificate, if applicable.		Editorial change		
			Moved to AMC 1 AR.GEN.300 above		
3.	The accountable manager is accountable to the competent authority as well as to those who may appoint him/her. It follows that the competent authority cannot accept a situation in which the accountable manager is denied sufficient funds, manpower or influence to rectify deficiencies identified by the management system.	state the fundamental impor- tance of the role of the ac- countable manager, i.e. qualifi- cations, competences, experi-	in nature, as it is to ensure that all activities can be financed and carried out in accordance with the applicable requirements. A different person or group of persons is to be nominated with the		
АМС	1-AR.GEN.300(a)(2)-OPS Continuing oversight		New AMC added to ensure the verification of operational approvals for operations in PBN, MNPS and RVSM airspace issued by a non-EU State of Registry.		
OPER	RATIONAL APPROVALS ISSUED BY NON-EU STATE OF REGISTRY				
third a nor	n verifying continued compliance of non-commercial operators using an aircraft registered in a country holding operational approvals for operations in PBN, MNPS and RVSM airspace issued by n-EU State of Registry the competent authority should at least assess if: (a) the State of registry has established an equivalent level of safety, considering any differences notified to the ICAO Standards for RVSM, RNP, MNPS and MEL; or (b) there are reservations on the safety oversight capabilities and records of the State of registry; or (c) operators of the State of registry are subject to an operating ban pursuant Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005; or (d) relevant findings on the State of registry from audits carried out under international conventions exist; or (e)relevant findings on the State of registry from other safety assessment programmes of States exist.				

	Part-AR				
A: R	ile	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
АМС	L-AR.GEN.305(b) Oversight programme		This new AMC has been added to define a standard oversight planning cycle for organisations, as the 24-month audit interval		рпапсе
OVE	SIGHT PLANNING CYCLE		has been removed from AR.GEN.305.		
1.	For each organisation certified by the competent authority all processes should be completely audited at periods not exceeding 24 months. The first 24-month oversight planning cycle is determined by the date of issue of the first certificate and should then determine the start and end dates of the recurrent 24-month oversight planning cycle.		It incorporates the elements previously defined in AMC1 to AR.GEN.305 Monitoring of activities – ATO.		
2.	The interval between two audits for a particular process should not exceed 24 months.				
3.	Audits should include at least one on-site audit for each 24-month planning cycle. For organisations exercising their regular activity at more than one site, the determination of the sites to be audited should consider the results of past oversight, the volume of activity at each site, as well as key risk elements.				
4.	The period of the oversight planning cycle should be reduced from 24months if the results of past oversight or if any risks identified indicate that the level of safety has decreased.				
5.	For organisations holding more than one certificate, in order to avoid duplication of audits, credit may be granted for specific item audits already completed during the current 24-month oversight planning cycle subject to four conditions:				
	(a) the specific item audit should be the same for all Parts / Subparts under consideration;				
	(b) there should be satisfactory evidence on record that such specific item audits were carried out and that all corrective actions have been taken; and				
	(c) the competent authority should be satisfied that there is no reason to believe standards have deteriorated in respect of those specific item audits being granted a credit; and				
	(d) the specific item audit being granted a credit should be audited not later than 24 months after the last audit of the item.	MC 4 IND 0 IA 0 INDTV 4	N		
		MS:4 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:1	Now incorporated into AMC1 AR.GEN.305 as item 5.		
		Two comments (MS) raised to			
		indicate that wording was not	Text in AMC1 AR.GEN.305 has		
		appropriate (credit, reference to previous 23 months).	been amended		
AMC	I-AP GEN 305(h)(1):(c):(d)(2) Oversight programme	3 comments raised on this AMC (2 MS, 1 IND)	The text of this AMC is not specific to ATO, but to all organisations. It has been amended to make it generic; the reference		
AUD]	Т		to ATO is deleted. The term "Monitoring of activities" is not used any longer.		
1.	The oversight programme should indicate which aspects of the approval will be covered with each audit.				

Part-AR					4 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
2.	Part of an audit should concentrate on the organisation's compliance monitoring reports produced by the compliance monitoring personnel to determine if the organisation is identifying and correcting its problems.	One comment (IND) raised in relation with "safety manager grating concessions" does not fit with the case where the operator and ATO are integrated – role of the safety manager is overly prescriptive.			
3.	At the conclusion of the audit, an audit report should be completed by the auditing inspector, including all findings raised.	One comment (MS) raised to indicate that the reference to			
		One comment (MS) raised to add: Head of AeMC for consistency with Subpart AR.AeMC.	Noted: In the case of the AeMC. This refers to the Head of the AeMC. The need to arrange for a meeting with the accountable manager is already covered under AR.GEN.305(b)(2), the provision is therefore deleted		
AMC	C1-AR.GEN.305(b)(1);(c);(d)(1);(g) Oversight programme				
RAM	P INSPECTIONS		New AMC added to create a link with Section IV and to transfer AMC1-AR.GEN.415(a)(2).		
1.	When conducting ramp inspections of aircraft used by operators under its regulatory oversight the competent authority should, in as far as possible, comply with the requirements defined in AR.GEN.SectionIV.				
2.	When conducting ramp inspections on other-than-suspected aircraft, the competent authority should take into account the following elements:				
2	 (a) repeated inspections should be avoided on those operators, for whom previous inspections have not revealed safety deficiencies; (b) the oversight programme should enable the widest possible sampling rate of the operator population flying into their territory; (c) there should be no discrimination on the basis of the operator's nationality, the type of operation or type of aircraft, unless such criteria can be linked to an increased risk. 				
3.	For aircraft other than those used by operators under its regulatory oversight, when conducting a risk assessment the competent authority should consider aircraft that have not been ramp inspected in the previous six months.		The 6-month criterion is aligned with the prioritisation requirements in AR.GEN.Sec.IV.		
АМС	C1-AR.GEN.305(b)-OPS Oversight programme	10 comments received (5 IA, 4 MS: 4, IA 5 IND).	Consistency change, "OPS" added to the AMC reference to specify applicability		

		art-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO refand compliance
OPER	ATIONS AUDITS, INSPECTIONS AND OVERSIGHT PROCEDURES		Monitoring replaced by oversight for consistency		phance
1.	Each operator to which a certificate has been issued should have an inspector specifically assigned to it. Several inspectors should be required for the larger companies with widespread or varied types of operation. This does not prevent a single inspector being assigned to several companies. Where more than one inspector is assigned to an operator, one of them should be nominated as having overall responsibility for supervision of, and liaison with the operator's management, and be responsible for reporting on compliance with the requirements for its operations as a whole.	Comment (IA): suggesting	Not accepted.The requirement to ensure absence of conflict of interest is already defined in AR.GEN.300(f). Moreover, AR.GEN.200(a)(4) requires the competent authority to implement safety risk management.		
2.	Inspection and oversight, on a scale and frequency appropriate to the operation, should include at least:	One comment (MS) claiming that the word "should" is used many times, although not necessary.			
	a. infrastructure				
	b. manuals				
	c. training				
	d. crew records				
	e.		Consistency change; addressed by Part-M authority requirements		
	f. equipment				
	g. release of flight/dispatch		Editorial change		
	h. dangerous goods				
	i. operator's management system.	claiming the list of	Partially accepted: reference to maintenance is deleted. Specific items, such as MEL are covered under "ramp inspections" (see item 3 below). All other items proposed shall be covered under the inspection of the operator's management system, which includes compliance monitoring, safety management, operating procedures etc		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
The following types of inspections should be envisaged, as part of the oversight programme: - flight inspection, - ground inspection (documents and records), - ramp inspection.	Safety management system, Compliance monitoring system, Operational control and supervision system, Crew and operations personnel training system, Aircraft equipment and MELsystem (ramp inspections), Standard operating procedures (flight inspections). One comment (Academy) claiming that: 1. although elsewhere in Part-AR, detailed guidance is specified for ramp inspections incl. training and qualifications of inspectors, comparable guidance for the conduct of flight inspections has been omitted. 2. expectations of results to be delivered from ramp inspections are hugely overrated 3. Commenter further suggests that the term 'navigation (ground) inspection' be amended to 'ground (documents and records) inspection' to describe properly what such inspections will address. 4. One comment (MS) claiming that item 3 is a typical requirement and shall be transferred to AR.GEN.300.	 Noted. Additional guidance will be included in the future by means of a separate rulemaking task. Such guidance will be based on JAA JIPS. Noted: Ramp inspections are only one element of oversight. Accepted: text changed accordingly. 		

	Part-AR 4 Oct					
A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
4.	The inspection should be a 'deep cut' through the items selected and all findings should be recorded. Inspectors should review the root cause(s) identified by the operator for each confirmed finding.	One comment (MS) requesting that only the operator should identify the root cause of each confirmed finding.				
5.	Inspectors should be satisfied that the root cause(s) identified and the corrective actions taken are adequate to correct the non-compliance and to prevent re-occurrence.		Accepted: text changed (there could be more than one root cause). Editorial change, deficiency replaced by non-compliance			
6.	Inspections may be conducted separately or in combination. Inspections may, at the discretion of the competent authority, be conducted with or without prior notice to the operator.		Noted: The frequency of inspections should be determined based on past oversight activities and key risk elements. It			
7.	Where it is apparent to an inspector that an operator has permitted a breach of the applicable requirements, with the result that air safety has been, or might have been compromised, the inspector should ensure that the responsible person within the competent authority is informed without delay.	One comment (IA.) requesting to replace department manager by accountable manager	Not accepted: This refers to internal reporting within the competent authority. Text changed for clarity.			
8.	In the first few months of a new operation, inspectors should be particularly alert to any irregular procedures, evidence of inadequate facilities or equipment, or indications that management control of the operation may be ineffective. They should also carefully examine any conditions that may indicate a significant deterioration in the operator's financial management. Examples of trends which may indicate problems in a new operator's financial management are:	One comment (IA.) proposing to change wording "financial condition" into "financial management".	Accepted: text changed.			
	a) Significant lay-offs or turnover of personnel;		Editorial change			
	b) Delays in meeting payroll;		Editorial change			
	c) Reduction of safe operating standards;		Editorial change			
	d) Decreasing standards of training;		Editorial change			
	e) Withdrawal of credit by suppliers;		Editorial change			
	f) Inadequate maintenance of aircraft;		Editorial change			
	g) Shortage of supplies and spare parts;		Editorial change			
	h) Curtailment or reduced frequency of revenue flights; and		Editorial change			
	i) Sale or repossession of aircraft or other major equipment items.		Editorial change			

		art-AR		4 Oct 2010	
A: Ru	le	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	any financial difficulties are identified, inspectors should increase technical surveillance of the tion with particular emphasis on the upholding of safety standards.				
9.	The number or the magnitude of the non-compliances identified by the competent authority will serve to support the competent authority's continuing confidence in the operator's competence or, alternatively, may lead to an erosion of that confidence. In the latter case the competent authority will need to review any identifiable shortcomings of the management system.		Editorial change		
AMC	AD CEN 205(b)(1) ODS Oversight programme	MS:4 IND:2 IA:0 INDIV:1	Consistency change		
AMC	-AR.GEN.305(b)(1)-OPS Oversight programme				
AUDI	S AND INSPECTIONS		Not accepted: There is no corresponding rule paragraph in subpart AR.OPS.		
1.	The competent authority should establish a schedule of audits and inspections appropriate to each operator's business. The planning of audits and inspections should take into account the results of the hazard identification and risk assessment conducted and maintained by the operator as part of the operator's management system. Inspectors should work in accordance with the schedule provided to them.	One comment (MS) claiming that the word "should" is used many times, although not necessary.			
2.	The competent authority may, having regard to an operator's performance, vary the frequency of an audit or inspection while ensuring that all aspects of the operation are periodically audited and inspected in accordance with the schedule.	there should be a maximum time between inspections. One comment (IND) suggesting that in order to relieve competent authorities from being overburdened by the oversight of non-commercial operators of complex motor-powered	Not accepted: Upgrading IS-BAO in a way as to substitute competent authority oversight can only be done through a new rulemaking task. However, GM1-AR.GEN.305 provides guidance on how competent authorities should consider the use of in-		
3.	When defining the oversight programme, the competent authority should assess the risks related to the activity of each operator and adapt the oversight means to the level of risk identified.	Two comments (MS) stating that guidance on key risk elements would be useful.	Noted: Such guidance could be provided through a future rule-making task (see also task M.027 on Aircraft Continuing Airworthiness Monitoring).		
3.	In addition, the section(s) of the oversight programme dealing with ramp inspection should be developed based on geographical locations, taking into account aerodrome activity, and focusing on key issues that can be inspected in the time available without unnecessarily delaying the operations.				
4.	Where the operations inspection can be linked to the continuing oversight programme of the operator, then credits can be taken in the oversight process of the certified operator.		Editorial change for consistency.		

P	art-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref
	One comment (IND) claiming that the reference to competent authority must be changed to "competent authority designated by the Member State where the operator has its principle place of business".	Not accepted: the designation of the competent authority is defined in OR.GEN.105. The possibility for Member States to designate one or more competent authorities is defined in		pliance
GM1-AR.GEN.305 Oversight programme INDUSTRY STANDARDS		AR.GEN.115. New GM to address the use of industry standards in the context of determining the oversight programme.		
For organisations having demonstrated compliance with industry standards, the competent authority may adapt its oversight programme, in order to avoid duplication of audits. Demonstrated compliance with industry standards should not be considered in isolation from				
the other elements to be considered for the competent authority's risk-based oversight. In order to be able to credit any audits performed as part of certification in accordance with industry standards, the following should be considered: (a) the demonstration of compliance is based on certification auditing schemes providing for independent and systematic verification; (b) the existence of an accreditation scheme and accreditation body for certification in accordance with the industry standards has been verified; (c) certification audits are relevant to the requirements defined in Part-OR, other Parts or Subparts as applicable; (d) the scope of such certification audits can easily be mapped against the scope of oversight in accordance with Part-OR; (e) audit results are accessible to the competent authority and open to exchange of information i.a.w. Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 Article 15.1; and (f) the audit planning intervals are compatible with the oversight planning cycle.				
GM2-AR.GEN.3050versight programme				
COMPLEXITY OF THE ORGANISATION For the purpose of assessing the complexity of an organisation, AMC1-OR.GEN.200(b) may be used.		New GM to introduce a link with AMC1 OR.GEN.200(b), in line with changes made in AR.GEN.305.		
		This is merged with the text of AMC to AR.GEN.300(a) ATO to form the new AMC1 AR.GEN.310.		
	1. Most comments (11 MS & 1 Individual) request relocat-	Partially accepted: The ATO specific elements have been		

	are rin		4 Oct 2010	
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO ref.
			compliance	and com-
	ing ATO specific AMCs and GMs under Subpart ATO. 2. One commenter (MS) requests that terms such as "inspection" and "audit" be used consistently 3. One commenter (IND) requests that more emphasis be put on sufficient staff and change management. 4. Five comments (3 Individuals, 2 Federations) claim the requirements are disproportionate for small ATOs working with volunteers and training for SLMG, TMGs, SEP and micro-lights	deleted and the remainder of the AMC kept in AR.GEN. 2. Accepted: The text has been reviewed to ensure consistency; definitions are added for audit and inspection in AR.GEN.Section 1 (cf. cover regulation). 3. Partially accepted: Sufficient personnel is addressed under 3(b). Change management is not relevant upon initial certification. 4. Noted: The AMC has been adapted to make it generic.		pliance
AMC1-AR.GEN.310(a) Initial certification procedure – organisations VERIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE		New reference: AMC1 AR.GEN.310 Existing AMC AR.GEN.310 incorporatedtogether with general elements of AMC to AR.GEN.300(a).		
In order to verify the organisation's compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority should conduct an audit of the organisation, including interviews of personnel are inspections carried out at the organisation's facilities.	nd	New text added to reflect changes made to AR.GEN.310.		
2. The competent authority should only conduct such audit after being satisfied that the application shows compliance with the applicable requirements.				
		transferred to AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme – ATO.		
3. The audit should focus on the following areas:				
a. detailed management structure, including names and qualifications of personnel required by OR.GEN.210. Adequacy of the organisation and management structure;	e-			
b. s Personnel – adequacy of number and qualifications				
c. safety management and compliance monitoring with applicable requirements;				
		transferred to Subpart ATO; cf. AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme		

	Po	Part-AR 4 Oct 201				
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
d. facilities – adequacy	with regard organisation's scope of work;					
;						
	d on which the certificate shall be granted (organisation documenta-Part-OR, including technical manuals, such as operations manual or	One comment (MS) claimed the organisation manual was missing.	To ensure flexibility with regard to the organisation's documentation, and in line with the relevant AMCs to OR.GEN.200, the text has been adapted. (see also GM2 AR.GEN.220(a)).			
			Documentation related to courses is now addressed in relevant to section transferred to Subpart ATO; cf. AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme – transferred to Subpart ATO, cf.			
-			AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme			
-		One comment (MS) made on the term "Flight Instructor".	Noted: Similar comments have been made to AR.ATO and OR.ATO. They will be assessed together with other relevant ATO comments.transferred to Subpart ATO; cf. AMC1 AR.ATO105 Oversight Programme			
		Comment proposed to delete	Accepted: toxt deleted			
		this provision because it is already in the rule.				
are required.	the applicant should be informed in writing of the corrections which	 One comment raised (IND) to define a deadline for authorities to communicate the non-compliance. One comment raised (MS) to propose replacing improvement by modification. One comment was raised (MS) to indicate that Part 21 allows the granting of an organisation approval with up to three level 2 findings open. 	2. Partially accepted: the term "correction" is preferred, as "improvement" is generally used for potential non-conformities only. 3. Noted: When airworthiness implementing rules will be aligned with the new rule structure, this will be considered.			
	on for an organisation certificate is refused, the applicant should be beal as exist under national regulations.	One comment raised (IND) to claim that the reference to 'national' regulations was redundant in the context of a Common European Standard for Aviation.	tional regulations. Appeals to the Agency are only valid in the			

Pa	Part-AR 4 O				
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
		tent authority (mainly for third country certificates).		pnunce	
	One comment raised regarding difficulty concerning the theoretical examination.	Comment misplaced.			
		Now as item 1.			
AMC1-AR.GEN.310(a)-OPS Initial certification procedure - organisations		From NPA 2008-22			
APPLICATION FOR AN AIR OPERATOR CERTIFICATE					
1. Upon receipt of an application for an air operator certificate, the competent authority should:	(MS): To review the Operations Manual and conduct an inspection at the operator's facilities seems to be inadequate for granting an air operator certificate. An assessment of safety management system, operational control system and management organisation should also be a part of the approval process.	Accepted. Text changed.			
a. assess the management system including operators' organisation and operational control system;					
b. review the operations manual and any other documentation provided by the operator; and		Editorial change			
c. for the purpose of verifying the operator's compliance with the applicable requirements conduct an audit at the operator's facilities and may require the conduct of one or more demonstration flights operated as if they were commercial flights.		Editorial and consistency change			
	MS:4 IND:1 IA:0 INDIV:0	This AMC from NPA 2009-02d is incorporated into AMC1 AR.GEN.310(a)-OPS (NPA 2008-22b).			
	compliance with ICAO recommendations and former JAA- JIPs. Pre application phase, Formal application phase,	1. Additional AMC and GM will be provided in the future, this will be the subject of a new rulemaking task. The material will be based on JIPs Chapter 4 "Procedures for the issue of an AOC" & JIPs Appendix 1 "Inspec-			

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	for certification procedure – organisations with respect to	erator specific AMC to a general authority requirement. No additional OPS specific implementing rule was considered necessary. The AMC remains in the general part but applicability is made clearer by using the attribute "OPS" in the AMC reference.		
submitted later, but not less than 60 days before the date of intended operation. The application form will be printed in language(s) of the competent authority's choosing.	which approval/ person/organisation this AMC applies. Regulations which only apply to a certain type of per- son/organisation should only be included in the relevant Subpart but not in the Subpart "GEN" (please note our com- ment on AMC to AR.GEN.330). Regarding AMC to AR.GEN.310(a) No. 2, it is re- quested to reword the second sentence. The word 'shall' does not seem appropriate for an AMC. Furthermore, a demon- stration flight during the certi- fication process for an AOC is not necessary and not used / not known in Germany. With regard to approximately 180 AOC holders in Germany dem- onstration flights do not seem feasible, and the safety aspects of demonstration flights appear to be doubtful. (IND): Certification Procedure - Ops Comment: This AMC is excessively restrictive other than for the initial application for an Air Operator Certificate. The current wording could be interpreted as applying to AOC variations and renewals. Pro- posal: Upon receipt of the ini- tial application for an air op- erator certificate the Compe- tent Authority should	Partially accepted. This is an operator specific AMC to a general authority requirement. No additional OPS specific implementing rule was considered necessary. The AMC remains in the general part but applicability is made clearer (use of attribute "OPS" in the AMC reference). 'Shall' replaced by 'should'. The conduct of demonstration flights is not mandatory. It is left for the competent authority to decide. Accepted: title will be changed to INITIAL CERTIFICATIONS. The AMC applies for initial applications of an AOC. Changes are being addressed in AR.GEN.330.		
oversee, to become the focal point for all aspects of the operator certification process and to	(IND): change 'shall' by 'should'. This is an AMC not a rule			
referred to the appropriate department of the competent authority. Examples of such	the analysis of an application	Noted: This comment is specific to ATO and is considered for subpart ATO (ref. OR.ATO.105).		

Part-AR 4 Oct 20					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance	
submissions (which will be included in the Operations Specifications) are those for ETOPS, LVO, HEMS, PBN, MEL and the carriage of Dangerous Goods. Submissions should include, where relevant, the associated qualification requirements and training programmes.	years, we suggest to add: 3. the authority shall advise in writing an applicant for an ATO within a delay of maximum six months, whether his application is subject to improvements, approval or refusal.	changes		рпансе	
d) The ability of the applicant to secure, in compliance with the applicable requirements and the safe operation of aircraft, all necessary training and, where required, licensing of personnel should be assessed; as well should be the areas of responsibility and the numbers of those allocated by the applicant to key management tasks.		Editorial and consistency changes			
 e) The applicant's proposed management system should be scrutinised with particular regard to the allocated resources. Care should be taken to verify that the system is comprehensive and is likely to be effective. 		Editorial and consistency changes			
f) The competent authority should inform the applicant of its decision concerning the application within 60 days of receipt of all supporting documentation.	One comment (MS) suggested deleting the last sentence, as documentation to be provided is already defined under 1(b).				
g) When the verification process is complete, the person with overall responsibility, nominated in accordance with sub-paragraph (b) above, should present the application to the person responsible for the issue of an operator certificate together with a written recommendation and evidence of the result of all investigations or assessments which are required before the operator certificate is issued. Approvals required shall be attached to the recommendation.		Editorial and consistency changes			
AMC1-AR.GEN.315(a) Procedure for issue, revalidation, renewal or change of licences, ratings or certificates -persons		Following recommendations from the Review Group, and in line with changes made in Part-FCL, this new AMC has been added.			
VERIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE		A			
1. In order to verify that the applicant meets the requirements, the competent authority should review the application and any supporting documents submitted, for completeness and compliance with applicable requirements.		A general paragraph is added for consistency with the corresponding AMC related to organi-			
 As part of the verification that the applicant meets the requirements, the competent authority should check that he/she (a) was not holding any personnel licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation with the same scope and in the same category issued in another Member State; (b) has not applied for any personnel licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation with the same scope and in the same category in another Member State; and (c) has never held any personnel licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation with the same scope and in the same category issued in another Member State which was revoked or suspended in any other Member State. 		sations.			
The competent authority should request the applicant to make a declaration covering the above items. Such declaration should include a statement that any incorrect information could disqualify the applicant from being granted a personal licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation. In case of doubts, the competent authority should contact the competent authority of the Member State where the applicant may have previously held any personnel licence, certificate, rating, authorisation or attestation.					
AMC1-AR.GEN.330 Changes – organisations		Numbering changed in line with drafting guidelines.			
GENERAL					
1. Changes in nominated persons:	Commenters claimed that :				
The competent authority should be informed of any changes to personnel specified in Part-OR that may affect the certificate or terms of approval/approval schedule attached to it.	prior approval of the manual would create	1. – 3. Accepted: Text reworded accordingly. The last line is			

	P.	Part-AR			
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		undue burden for small organisations, due to the induced down-time. 2. "will require" is not appropriate in an AMC. 3. "adequate control" suggests interference in the company's internal affairs	NB: A new AMC1-OR.GEN.130 Changes to the Organisation's certificate addresses unforeseen changes in personnel.		phance
2.	A simple management system documentation status sheet should be maintained, which contains information on when an amendment was received by the competent authority and when it was approved.	no comments related to this item	Text changed in line with changes made to OR.GEN.200. Text further amended following internal review.		
3.	The organisation should provide each management system documentation amendment to the competent authority, including for the amendments that do not require prior approval of the competent authority. Where the amendment requires competent authority approval, the competent authority, when satisfied, should indicate its approval in writing. Where the amendment does not require prior approval, the competent authority should acknowledge receipt in writing within 10 working days.	the AMC does not foresee the case of databases shared between the organisation and the authority (ATO). 2. Several comments (IND) raised proposing to replace "submit" by "provide", as the former suggests that there will be approval in all cases. 3. Several comments (IND) requested that time limits for competent authorities to specify approval / acknowledge receipt should be added. 4. One comment (MS) requested that a standard form be introduced to indicate ac-	written is not incompatible with the use of shared databases, the "submission" in this case would be the "notification" of updates. It is assumed that such shared databases are specific information that may complement the organisation manual, but are not necessarily part of it in the sense of OR.GEN.200. 2. Accepted, although the word "submit" does not per se imply that an approval is necessary. 3. Partially accepted: The time required for these tasks depend on the types of changes and it is therefore difficult to agree on time limits that would be appro-		
4.	For changes requiring prior approval, in order to verify the organisation's compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority should conduct an audit of the organisation, limited to the extent of the changes. If required for verification, the audit should include interviews and inspections carried out at the organisation's facilities.		Added as a result of the peer review, to reflect changes made in AR.GEN.330.		

P	Part-AR				Part-AR 4 Oct			Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance				
	MS:3 IND:0 IA:0 INDIV:0			phance				
		Accepted: AMC deleted due to change in OR.OPS.AOC and OR.OPS.MLR. List with items requiring prior approvals now contained in OR.OPS.AOC.						
AMC1-AR.GEN.330-OPS Changes-organisations	MS:2 IND:0 IA:1 INDIV:0	Editorial and consistency changes						
CHANGE IN NOMINATED PERSONS								
		Not accepted. This is part of general oversight and complements the provisions defined in AR.GEN.Section 3.						
When an operator submits the name of a new nominee for any of the nominated persons listed in the operations manual, the competent authority should require the operator to produce a written résumé of the proposed person's qualifications. The competent authority should reserve the right to interview the nominee or call for additional evidence of his/her suitability before deciding upon his/her acceptability								
GM1-AR.GEN.330 Changes - organisations	Three comments raised on this GM (1 MS, 1 Individual, 1 Academy):							
CHANGE OF NAME OF THE ORGANISATION	One comment (Individual) claims that the GM is inappropriate to small organisations training for recreational licences and non-professional licences, based on assumptions about organisations that are false for small clubs.							
 Change of name of organisation: On receipt of the application and the relevant parts of the organisation's documentation as required by Part-OR, the competent authority should re-issue the certificate. 		The first sentence is transferred to new GM1-OR.GEN.130(a).						
2. A name change alone does not require the competent authority to audit the organisation, unless there is evidence that other aspects of the organisation have changed.		Not accepted. In this context, evidence does not mean documented evidence.						
		This is an OR-requirement, it is already covered under AMC1 OR.GEN.130 (unforeseen changes) and GM1 OR.GEN.130(b) Changes. Already covered in OR.GEN.130 + GM1 OR.GEN.130(b) and AR.GEN.330.						
		AR.GEN.330. Already covered in OR.GEN.130 + AR.GEN.330.						
		Already covered in OR.GEN.130 + GM1 OR.GEN.130(b) and AR.GEN.330.						
		This is already covered by AMC1-AR.GEN.330 § 4.						

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		This is already covered in OR.GEN.130 (all changes affecting the certificate or terms of approval/approval schedule require prior approval; new courses require a new approval schedule).		
GM1-AR.GEN.345 Declaration- organisations		Numbering changed in line with drafting guidelines.		
VERIFICATION - DECLARATION				
The verification made by the competent authority upon receipt of a declaration does not imply an inspection. The aim is to check whether what is declared complies with applicable regulations.	 One comment raised (IND) to claim that it is not acceptable to curtail the authority's privileges of performing inspections, in any sector of its competence. One comment raised (MS) to suggest that this GM be transferred to AR.GEN.340 to provide legal certainty for this type of verification. 	1. + 2 . Not accepted. The declaration does not have the same value as a certificate and does not imply the same level of involvement and responsibilities of the competent authority.		
	MS:2 IND:1 IA:0 INDIV:0	As these items are already addressed in the implementing rule, the AMC is deleted.		
	Subpart OPS. 2. One comment (MS) indicated that this contradicts	1. + 2. See above 3. Not accepted: the designation of the competent authority is defined in OR.GEN.105. The possibility for Member States to designate one or more competent authorities is defined in AR.GEN.115.		
		AR.GEN.350-OPS		
GM1-AR.GEN.350 Findings and corrective actions – organisations		New GM added to clarify responsibilities in relation to findings.		
COMPETENT AUTHORITY				
1. When reference is made to the competent authority, this means either the competent authority responsible for the certificate or declaration or the competent authority ensuring oversight of activities in the territory of the Member State that has not issued the certificate or received the declaration.				
 Competent authority certifying or receiving the declaration means the competent authority that has issued the organisation or FSTD certificate or received the declaration in accordance with Part-AR. 				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
 Findings may be raised by the competent authority certifying or receiving declaration, or the competent authority performing oversight of activities in the territory of the Member State. In the case of level 1 findings, the competent authority certifying or receiving declarationor the competent authority performing oversight of activities in the territory of the Member State may take immediate appropriate action to prohibit or limit the activities. Only the competent authority certifying may take action on the certificate. 				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
GM2-AR.GEN.350 Findings and corrective actions - organisations	MS:3 IND:1 IA:1 INDIV:0 One comment (MS) suggested transferring this to Subpart OPS.	Partially accepted: This GM is of general applicability and is amended to make it generic.		
TRAINING	that references to the "competent authority" should be amended as follows: The			
1. For a level 1 finding it may be necessary for the competent authority to ensure that further training by the organisation is carried out and audited by the competent authority before the activity is resumed, dependent upon the nature of the finding.		Accepted: The description of level 1 and level 2 findings is now contained in AR.GEN.350.		
	One comment (IND) claimed that this paragraph should be deleted, as it is absolutely untrue that an isolated event is benign. A finding on a single aircraft may be the symptom for a major deficiency, at the size of the operator.			
		Noted: This comment is addressed for the review of AR.GEN.Section IV.		
SECTION IV - RAMP INSPECTIONS		2004/36/CE		
	rective 2004/36.			
AMC1- AR.GEN.415 General	[MS] Proposal to add : "and Annex 1, 6 and 8" These ICAO-Annexes should be added. [MS] Proposal: add " Gen-	tailed in the GM		
RAMP INSPECTIONS	eral " as a title for the paragraph.	Accepted		

•	Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
1. A ramp inspection should normally be performed during a turn-around.	"A ramp inspection should normally be performed during a turn-around" should reflect with more accuracy the reality of the field. Ramp inspections	Not accepted: the vast majority of inspections are performed during turn-arounds, hence the word "normally". This does not exclude the possibility of inspections in the circumstances presented by the commenter. The point here is that a/c are to be inspected in an operational environment and not for example when undergoing maintenance.		рпансе
 In addition to the applicable requirements, when inspecting the technical condition of the aircraft, it should be checked against the aircraft manufacturer's standard. 	aircraft to be in compliance with the manufacturer's standard during a turn-around inspection requires a deep knowledge about that standard, and the time available for such verification is not sufficient. Furthermore, this may leave the impression that the ramp inspection is a verification of airworthiness, which certainly not should be the case.	Not accepted: This AMC adds further precision as to what baseline is to be used when assessing the technical condition of the aircraft. This does not imply that all the standards must be verified but only those that are suited to be inspected during a ramp inspection. A ramp inspection is not the (re)certification of the a/c.		
		standards are included in the manufacturer standards (e.g.		
	1.[IA] Proposal : transfer to AMC and include provision to include Operator's Manual. 2.[MS] Proposal : add "General" as a title for the paragraph.	GM has been upgraded to AR.GEN.415(b) 1. Partially accepted 2. Accepted		
1.	[MS] Proposal : delete. The guidance, like the requirement to which it refers, incorrectly assumes that a Member State's "inspecting authority" is the same body as its "competent authority" (See UK CAA comment on AR.GEN.415(b).)			
2.	1. [Indiv] Annex 18 should be added, being the basis for D2 item inspection (dangerous goods) 2. [IND.] Proposal : For completeness and to avoid possible misunderstanding, reference to ICAO should be provided, to read: "Aircraft, [] should be	2. Accepted		

Part-AR					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
	Annex 16 for the require- ments linked with the Noise	4. Not accepted. The Safety standards will be defined in respective Parts as mentioned in AR.GEN 415			
		1. Accepted: (ii) reference in the		re e	
AMC1- AR.GEN.415(b) General SUSPECTED AIRCRAFT	AMC shall or should be com-	 Accepted Not accepted: AMCs are by definition not binding Not accepted: Actually the AMC is intended to limit subjectivity by indicating potential risk factors. 	2004/36/CE		

Part-AR				4 Oct 2010	
A: R	Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	determining whether an aircraft is suspected of not being compliant with the applicable requirents the following should be taken into account:				phance
1.	information regarding poor maintenance of, or obvious damage or defects to an aircraft;				
2.	reports that an aircraft has performed abnormal manoeuvres which give rise to serious safety concerns in the airspace of a Member State;				
3.	a previous ramp inspection which has revealed deficiencies indicating that the aircraft does not comply with the applicable requirements and where the competent authority suspects that these deficiencies have not been corrected;				
4.	evidence that the State in which an aircraft is registered is not exercising proper safety over- sight; or				
5.	concerns about the operator of the aircraft which have arisen from occurrence reporting information and non-compliances recorded in a ramp inspection report on any other aircraft used by that operator.				
		[MS] Proposal: add "General" as a title for the para-	Accepted		
		graph.	This AMC has been transferred to AMC1-AR.GEN.305(b)(1);(c)(1);(f) "Oversight programme", to bring it in line with AR.GEN.415(b)		
	C1- AR.GEN.415 (c)(1)General IMUM ANNUAL QUOTA	Specifying quota is prescriptive and not performance based rulemaking. 2. [IND and MS] Proposal : delete Minimum Annual quota.	Not Accepted: The introduction of the minimum quota is		
		3. [MS] Proposal : add " General " as a title for the paragraph.	aiming at ensuring a level play-		
			2. Not Accepted		

	Pa	art-AR			1 Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
			3. Accepted		
		[MS] Proposal : "Minimum annual quota" being a sub-title for "AMC AR.GEN.415 (c)(1)" it should be in capital letters.			
1. Inspe	pection quota				
	is a minimum annual number of points to be acquired by the competent authority during a ear by performing ramp inspections. To this end:				
a. b. c. d. e. f.	prioritised ramp inspections as well as the first inspection on a new operator conducted on an aerodrome located within a radius equal or less than 250 km from the competent authority's main office have a value of 1.5 points; prioritised ramp inspections as well as the first inspection on a new operator conducted on an aerodrome located within a radius greater than 250 km from the competent authority's main office have a value of 2.25 points; inspections conducted during night odd hours (between 20:00 – 06:00 local time), during weekends or national holidays) have a value of 1.25 points; inspections conducted on operators for which the previous inspection haves not been inspected performed during the previous preceding eight weeks have a value of 1.25 points; any other inspections have a value of 1 point; for specific circumstances falling under two or more of the above situations, the abovementioned factors may be combined by multiplication (e.g. prioritised inspection, performed at an airport located at 600 km from the main office, during the weekend on an operator which was not inspected over the last three months will have a value of: 2,25 * 1,25 * 1,25 = 3,52 points); and any other inspections have a value of 1 point.		changed to better reflect the actual conditions for performance of ramp inspections (as discussed in the ESSG) –		
The dyear	competent authority should calculate the minimum annual quota of points for the following r before the end of each year using the following formula: (Opr ₂₁₂) +(0.2* Opr _{<12})+ (0.001*Lnd), whereby " = annual quota; "Opr ₂₁₂ " is the number of operators whose aircraft have landed in the previous year at aerodromes located in the Member State at least 12 times; "Opr _{<12} " is the number of operators whose aircraft have landed in the previous year at aerodromes in the territory of the Member State less than 12 times; "Lnd" is the number of landings performed by those operators' aircraft at aerodromes located in the Member State in the previous year.	"The inspecting authority should establish the minimum annual quota of points for the next year before the end of each year applying risk assessment and taking into account the number of foreign operators landing at the aerodromes of the Member State in the previous year." 2. [MS] Proposal : delete "foreign": Justification: There is no reason to restrict quota, and thus corresponding resources, to the sole foreign aircrafts. 3. [MS] Comments : The formula is not considering some important different factors. (see comment) 4. [MS] Comment : the calculation requires use of the "number of foreign operators landing at the aerodromes of the Member State" and "the number of landings performed	2. Not accepted: The concept of national quotas has a particular value given the collective nature of Ramp inspection programmes. The aim of the AMC is to ensure a level playing field among EU MS and not to regulate the oversight of domestic		

Part-AR				oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
	for this data, given the requirement for a level playing field in Europe?			phance
3. Submission of data				
The competent authority should submit to the Agency not later than 1st of September of each year the calculated annual quota points for the following year.				
GM1- AR.GEN.415 (c)(1) General				
MINITALINA ANNULAL OLIOTA				
MINIMUM ANNUAL QUOTA Thequotation is a statistical assumption only and does not necessarily mean that operators in the group " $Opr_{\geq 12}$ " always need to be inspected. As deemed necessary by the inspecting authorities, operators may be inspected more than once (taking into account AMC AR.GEN.305(b)(1);(c)(1);(f) whilst sticking to the calculated quota; as a result, some operators might not be inspected.				
GM1-AR.GEN.420 (B)(2)(I) PRIORITISATION CRITERIA OF OPERATORSLIST OF OPERATORS The list of operators may include aircraft of operators or aircraft that have been withdrawn from the list of air carriers subject to an operating ban within the Community, as established by Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005.				
AMC1-AR.GEN.425 (a) Collection of information		Not accepted: while the principle is worth mentioning in the Rule the AMC allows for flexibility in reviewing and updating the sources of information.	2004/36/CE	
COLLECTION OF INFORMATION	[MS] Proposal: title for para- graph. Therefore "Collection of information » should be in bold text and on the same line.			
The information should include:				
important safety information available, in particular, through:		The aim of this requirement and the associated AMC is to support		
(a) pilot reports;		the Horor		
(b) maintenance organisation report;				
(c) incident reports;				
(d) reports from other organisations, independent from the inspection authorities;				
(e) complaints.	by their nature, may not be	Not accepted: Complaints may or may not be true. The information collected shall be used to		

	Part-AR 4 Oct 2010				
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		be removed. Proposal Remove	better prepare inspection, which will confirm or not the veracity of the complaint.		
(2)	information on action(s) taken subsequent to a ramp inspection, such as:				
	(a) aircraft grounded;				
	(b) aircraft or operator banned from a Member State pursuant to Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005 or banned from the European Community;				
	(c) corrective action required;				
	(d) contacts with the operator's competent authority;				
	(e) restrictions on flight operations.				
(3)	follow-up information concerning the operator, such as:				
	(a) implementation of corrective action(s); and				
	(b) recurrence of non compliance.				
			Not accepted: The information collected through this system will be included in the centralised database. The results of previous inspections will also be used to better prepare an inspection.		
АМС	1- AR.GEN 430 (a) Qualification of inspectors	fication of the inspectors considered in the NPA is a	1. See previous response on the changes to scope of this section.		
BAC	KGROUND KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE	of the Guidance Material of the EASA. While lots of the criteria hold are applicable and relevant to the ramp inspection of national aircraft, some are absolutely not applicable and			

Po	Part-AR 4 Oct 2010			
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	inspectors and not for ramp inspectors intending to conduct ramp check of European operators. All the training materials presented in the AMC1 and AMC2 AR.GEN 430(b)(2)(i) are based on the ramp inspections on TCO only and should be tailored to the scope of the requirements (AR.GEN.405)			
(1) The background knowledge and/or working experience of the inspector determines the privileges of the inspector. The competent authority should determine what the inspector is entitled to inspect taking into account the following considerations:				
(a) background knowledge;				
(b) working experience; and				
(c) interrelation of the inspection item with other disciplines (e.g. a former cabin crew member may require additional training on minimum equipment list (MEL) issues before being considered eligible for inspection of safety items in the cabin).				
AMC 1-AR.GEN.430(b)(1) Qualification of inspectors	to the rule 2. [MS] Comment : some additional guidance should be addressed to the training of ordinary operations inspectors. Systematic audit of an operator's management system requires a comprehensive knowl-	1.Not accepted: while the principle is worth mentioning in the Rule the AMC allows for flexibility in reviewing and updating the criteria for the qualification of inspectors. 2. Not accepted: While the point made by the commenter is very valid it is outside the scope of the Section IV which deals exclusively with ramp inspections.		

A: R	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
ELIG	BILITY CRITERIA	1. [MS] Proposal : The points (a) and (b), but (b) without the under-points, shall be transferred to the rule for an uniform application. The underpoints in (b) may stay in AMC. 2. [MS] "Eligibility Criteria" being a sub-title should be in capital letters (sub-title) for consistency in the lay-out.			phanee
1.	A candidate should be considered eligible to become a ramp inspector provided he/she meets the following criteria:				
	a. has good knowledge of the English language;	[MS] Proposal : Change to : "Has a good practice of English".	Not accepted: Knowledge includes the "practical" application of it.		
	b. education and the past five years' experience:				
	i. has successfully completed post-secondary education with a duration of at least three years and after that at least two years aeronautical experience in the field of aircraft operations or maintenance, or personnel licensing; ii.has or has had a commercial/airline transport pilot licence and				
	preferably carried out such duties for at least two years; iii. has or has had a flight engineer license and preferably				
	carried out such duties for at least 2 years; or				
	such duties in commercial air transport for at least two years; or				
	v.has been licensed as maintenance personnel and preferably exercised the privileges of such licence for at least two years; or				
	vi. has successfully completed professional training in the field of air transport of dangerous goods and preferably after that at least two years experience in this field; or	plicable): "vi. has successfully completed professional training in the field of danger-			
	vii. has successfully completed post-secondary aeronautical education with a duration of at least two years.				
АМС	L-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)	[MS] Proposal : title for the paragraph " Qualification of inspectors ". " Senior inspectors " being a sub-title for "AMC 1 to AR.GEN.430(b)(2)" it should be in capital letters (sub-title) for consistency in the lay-out.	Accepted		

	Pā	Part-AR			
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	t 2010 E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
SENI	OR RAMP INSPECTORS			2.5 Annex II to Directive 2008/49/EC	
1.	A competent authority should appoint senior ramp inspectors provided they meet the qualification criteria established by that competent authority which should contain at least the following requirements:				
	a. the appointee has been a qualified ramp inspector over the three years prior to his/her appointment;				
	b. the appointee has performed a minimum of 24ramp inspections per 12 months period during the 36 months prior to the appointment; and	minimum of 36 ramp in-	the Senior Inspectors would cer-		
	c. after appointment a enior ramp inspector will remain qualified only if performing a minimum number of 24ramp inspections during the previous 12 monhts .		The increase in experience of the Senior Inspectors would cer- tainly be beneficial for the over- all quality of the OJT. However, the proposed number (36)		
			After Review Group: Proposals for the modification of text are accepted		
2.	If a competent authority does not have senior ramp inspectors to conduct on-the-job training, such training should be performed by a senior ramp inspector from another State, either in the competent authority of the trainee or in the competent authority of the senior ramp inspector.				
		[MS] Proposal: title for the paragraph "Qualification of inspectors". "SENIOR INSPECTORS" adding a sub-title.	Accepted		
3.	Additional factors to be considered when nominating senior ramp inspectors include knowledge of training techniques, professionalism, maturity, judgment, integrity, safety awareness, communication skills, personal standards of performance, and a commitment to quality.				
4.	If a senior ramp inspector should lose his/her qualification as a result of failure to reach the minimum number of inspections mentioned in AR.GEN.430 (b)(3), he/she should be re-qualified by the Member State authority by performing at least four inspections under the supervision of a senior ramp inspector, within a maximum period of two months.	[MS] Proposed text : "2.) If an inspector lost his/her qualification as a result of not reaching the minimum number of inspections mentioned in (1), he/she may be re-qualified by the inspecting authority by performing at least 6 inspections under the supervision of a senior inspector".	changed to 4 inspections.		

•	AL THE		4 Oct 2010		
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
5. Senior ramp inspectors, like any other inspectors, should also receive recurrent training according to the frequency mentioned in GM11-AR.GEN.430(b)(3).				P.1.4.11.2	
AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2) INITIAL TRAINING REQUIREMENTS	 [MS and INDIV] Proposal: Minimum trainings content shall be transferred to the rule. [MS] Proposal: review layout of this. Necessary editorial changes include (but are not restricted to) the following: 	GM is upgraded to AMC			
SCOPE AND DURATION OF INITIAL TRAINING					
Initial training should encompass:					
initial theoretical training,					
practical training,					
and on-the-Job Training.					
1. Initial theoretical training					
a The scope of the initial theoretical training is to familiarise the inspectors with the framework and the European dimension of the Ramp Inspection Programme, and with the common inspection, finding categorisation, reporting and follow-up procedures. The primary scope of the theoretical training is not the transfer of technical (operational, airworthiness, etc.) knowledge. The trainees should possess such knowledge, either from previous work experience or through specialised training, prior to attending the theoretical course. The duration of the initial theoretical training should be no less than three training days.					
b In case an integrated course is delivered (consisting of both the transfer of technical knowledge and specific ramp inspection information), the duration of the course should be extended accordingly.					
c. The initial theoretical training shall be conducted in accordance with the Syllabus in AMC1-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i).					
2. Practical training					
a. Ramp inspections normally have to be performed during the turnaround time of an aircraft. In general, these turnaround times are too short to perform any kind of initial practical training without causing any delay or even without any increase of the load on the flight crew. The scope of practical training is to instruct on inspection techniques and specific areas of attention without any interference with the flight crew. Preferably, this should be done in a non-operational environment (e.g. on an aircraft in a maintenance hangar). Alternatively, aircraft with an adequate turnaround time may					

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
be used. In the latter case the flight and/or ground crew should be informed about the training character of the inspection.				
b. The duration of the practical training should be no less than one training day. The inspecting authority may decide to lengthen the training based on the level of expertise of the attendees. Practical training may be split into several sessions provided an adequate training tracking system is in place.				
c The practical training should be conducted in accordance with the syllabus in AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i).				
ON-THE-JOB TRAINING				
3. SCOPE OF ON-THE-JOB TRAINING				
a. The objective of the on-the-job training is to familiarise the trainees with the particularities of performing a ramp inspection in a real, operational environment. The inspecting authority should ensure that on-the-job training is undertaken only by trainees that have successfully completed theoretical and practical training.				
b. The inspecting authority should ensure that the area of expertise of the trainee is compatible with the one of the senior ramp inspector delivering on-the-job training.				
c. When selecting the operators to be inspected during the on-the-job training programme, the senior ramp inspector should ensure:				
i. tThat the training can be performed on a sufficient level but				
without undue hindrance or delay of the inspected operator; and				
ii.that the ramp inspections are conducted on different operators				
(i.e., EU operators, third-country operators), different aircraft types				
and aircraft configurations (i.e., jet and propeller aircraft, single				
aisle and wide-body aeroplanes, passenger operations and cargo				
operations), different types of operations (i.e., commercial opera-				
tions and general aviation, etc., long haul and short-haul opera-				
tions).				
d. On-the-job training should comprise two phases:				
i. Observing inspector: during this phase the trainee should accom-				
pany and observe the senior ramp inspector when performing a se-				
ries of ramp inspections (including the preparation of the inspection				
and post-inspection activities: reporting, follow-up); and				
ii.Inspector under supervision: during this phase the trainee should				
gradually start to perform ramp inspections under the supervision				
and guidance of the senior ramp inspector.				

	TUITAN			1 Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
4. DURATION AND CONDUCT OF ON-THE-JOB TRAINING				
a. The duration of the on-the-job training should be customised to the particular training needs of every trainee. As a minimum, the on-the-job training programme should contain at least six observed ramp inspections and six ramp inspections performed under the supervision of the senior ramp inspector, over a period of a maximum six months. In general, on-the-job training should start as soon as possible after the completion of the practical training and cover as much as possible the inspection items which the inspector will be privileged to inspect.				
The on-the-job training may be given by more than one senior ramp inspector. In such cases it becomes even more important that appropriate records will be maintained for each trainee documenting the training received (when the trainee is observing the inspection) and his/her ability to effectively perform ramp inspections (under supervision). For this purpose, the senior ramp inspector should use a checklist containing the applicable elements presented in GM7-AR.GEN.430(b)(2).				
b. Before starting on-the-job training the trainee should be briefed with regard to the general objectives and working methods of the training.				
c. Before every inspection the trainee should be briefed with regard to the particular objectives and lessons to be learned during this inspection.				
d. After every day of inspection the trainee should be debriefed with regard to his/her performance and progress and areas where improvement is needed.				
5. ELEMENTS TO BE COVERED DURING THE ON-THE-JOB TRAINING				
On-the-job training should address the elements listed hereunder. However, some of the situations described below do not happen very often (i.e. grounding of an aircraft) and should, therefore, be presented by the senior ramp inspector during one of the debriefings.				
a. Preparation of an inspection:				
i. use of the centralised database to prepare an inspection;				
ii.other sources of information (such as passenger complaints, maintenance organisation reports, air traffic control (ATC) reports;				
iii. areas of concern and/or open findings;				
iv. retrieval of updated reference materials: Notice to Airmen (NOTAMS), navigation and weather charts;				
v.selection of operator(s) to be inspected (oversight programme , priority list);				

A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	vi. task allocation among members of a ramp inspection				
	team; and				
	vii. daily/weekly/monthly ramp inspection schedule.				
b. Administrative issues:					
	i. ramp inspector's credentials, rights and obligations;				
	ii.special urgency procedures (if any);				
	iii. national (local) aerodrome access procedures;				
	iv. safety and security airside procedures; and				
	v.ramp inspector kit (electric torch, fluorescent vest, ear plugs, digital camera, checklists, etc.).				
c. Co-operation with airpoing position, time of departure,	t and air navigation services to obtain actual flight information, parketc.				
d. Ramp inspection:		[INDIV] Proposal : Corrective action classification shall be transferred to the rule.	Not accepted: The finding classification is already in the rule		
	i. introduction to the pilot-in-command/commander, flight crew, cabin crew, ground crew;				
	ii.inspection items: according to the area of expertise of the trainee;				
	iii. findings (identification, categorisation, reporting, evidencing);				
	iv. corrective actions – class 2;				
	v.corrective actions – class 3:				
	t of restriction(s) on aircraft flight operations (co-operation ties to enforce a restriction);				
B. Class 3b) request of a immediate corrective action	n immediate corrective action(s), satisfactory completion of a				
	f an aircraft: notification of the grounding decision to the air- procedures to prevent the departure of a grounded aircraft; te of operator/registry;				
	vi. Proof of Inspection:				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
A. completion and delivery of the Proof of Inspection report				
B. request of acknowledgement of receipt (document or a refusal to sign)				
e. Human factors elements:				
i. cultural aspects;				
ii.resolution of disagreements and/or conflicts; and				
iii. crew stress.				
	[MS] Proposal : add a point (d): "Communication techniques and process for decision making".			
6. ASSESSMENT OF TRAINEES				
The assessment of the trainee should be done by the senior ramp inspector while the trainer is performing ramp inspections under supervision. A trainee should be considered to have success fully completed the on-the-job training only after demonstrating to the senior ramp inspector that he/she possess the professional capacity, knowledge, judgment and ability to perform ramp inspections in accordance with the requirements of this Section.	- t			
AMC3-AR.GEN.430(b)(2) Qualification of inspectors				
QUALIFICATION OF THE INSPECTOR AFTER SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF TRAINING				
Qualification of the inspector after successful completion of training	[MS] Proposal : "Qualification of the inspector after successful completion of training" should be in capital letters (title) for consistency in the layout.	After Review Group: GM is upgraded to AMC		
1. Successful completion of theoretical and practical training is demonstrated by passing an evaluation by the inspecting authority or by the approved training organisation. In case of in tegrated training courses the theoretical and practical examination may be integrated in a single examination.	-			
2. Successful completion of on-the-job training is assessed by the senior ramp inspector provid ing such training, through evaluation of the trainee's ability to effectively perform ramp in spections in an operational environment.	-			
3. The inspecting authority should issue a formal qualification statement for each qualified in spector listing the inspecting privileges.	-			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

		TC / IIC			4 Oct 2010		
A: Ru	ıle	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance		
4.	The background knowledge and working experience of the inspector determines the privileges of the inspector (the scope of his/her inspection; what he/she is entitled to inspect). The numerous varieties in backgrounds of the candidate inspectors make it impossible to issue a full set of templates showing the background-privileges relation. It is, therefore, up to the inspecting authority to determine the eligibility and the related privileges for the inspector, whereby the following should be considered:						
	a. background knowledge;						
	b. working experience;						
	 interrelation of the inspection item with other disciplines (e.g. former cabin crew member may require additional training on MEL issues before being considered eligible for safety items in the cabin). 						
5.	The inspecting authority should issue the qualification statement only after the candidate has successfully completed the theoretical, practical and on-the-job-training.						
6.	The inspecting authority should put in place a system that will ensure that their inspectors meet at all times the qualification criteria with regard to the eligibility, training and recent experience.						
	AR.GEN.430(b)(2) Qualification of inspectors	[Indiv] TEXT ???: "ka- jdöfionrejölvr"	Not accepted: Unclear comment				
1.	LEGES OF EXPERIENCED INSPECTORS The following example shows the typical privileges of an experienced commercial pilot licence/airline transport pilot licence (CPL/ATPL) holder and of an experienced aircraft maintenance engineer:						
Exan			Not accepted: The example is both adequate and practicable				
	al inspection privileges of a CPL/ATPL holder could include the following inspection checklist in Appendix 3 of this section:						
A iter	ns						
B Iter	ns						
C iter	ns						
D1/D	3 items						
	al inspection privileges of an aircraft maintenance licence holder could include the following in- ion checklist items:						

Accepted: The approval of rame privileges are seen to inspect the inspect of the	A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
Citients 2. The inspecting authority may decide to enlarge the privileges of the inspector if the basic knowledge of the inspector has been satefactory enlarged by additional theoretical trainings and/or practical trainings. This may require the subsequent following of the relevant module of the reme inspection training in roter to obtain the necessary knowledge to excrize that leaves of the "A" section (fight crew compartment terms) of the cheddist (a.g. because he/she obtained his/her CPU, the privileges may be expanded. He/she should be required, however, to follow the theoretical, practical and on-the-job training module of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Rampi inspection training module of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Rampi inspection training organism in the privileges may be expanded. He/she should be required. According to the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Rampi inspection training organism in the section of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Rampi inspection training organism in the section of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Rampi inspection training organism in the section of the section of the section of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Rampi inspection training organism in the section of the	A ite	ms except for A3, A4, A5, A6, A13, A14, A20				
Diltems 2. The inspecting authority may decide to enlarge the privileges of the inspector if the basic knowledge of the inspector has been satisfactory enlarged by additional theoretical trainings and/or practical trainings. This may require the subsequent following of the relevant module of the ramp inspection training in order to obtain the necessary knowledge to seerable that new privilege. As an example: if an AML holder has acquired knowledge on the operational decidence of the provision of the relevant module of the new privilege. As an example: if an AML holder has acquired knowledge on the operational decidence of the provisions of the provision of the	B ite	ms except for B11, B14				
2. The inspecting authority may decide to enlarge the privileges of the inspector if the basic knowledge of the inspector has been satisfactory enlarged by additional theoretical trainings and/or practical trainings. This may require the subsequent following of the relevant module new privilege. As an example: if an ARI. Note has acquired knowledge on the operational items of the "A" section (flight crew compartment items) of the checklist (e.g. because he/she obtained his/her CPL), the privileges may be expanded. He/she should be registed, however, to follow the theoretical, practical and on-the-job training module of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section (flight crew compartment items) of the checklist (e.g. because he/she obtained his/her CPL), the privileges may be expanded. He/she should be registered, however, to follow the theoretical, practical and on-the-job training module of the new items. [MS] Proposal: create a section 3 - Ramp inspection training organisation to subpart (and the privilege may be expanded. He/she should be registered, however, and the privileges are substantially appropriately appropriate to substantial training organisation to subpart (and the privileges are previous and the proposal of the proposal inspection straining organisation and the proposal inspection straining organisation and proposal create a section 3 - Ramp inspection training organisation and proposal create a section 3 - Ramp inspection training organisation and proposal create a section 3 - Ramp inspection and include AMCD, and CREAR ASSOCIATION of TRAINING ORGANISATIONS PROVIDING TRAINING TO RAMP (IMS) Proposal: "Approval of See previous response. See previous networks and proposal create	C ite	ms				
knowledge of the Inspector has been satisfactory enlarged by additional theoretical trainings and/or practical trainings. This may require the subsequent following of the relevant module of the ramp inspection training in order to obtain the necessary knowledge to exercise that enew privilege. As an example: if an AME folder has account exhausted on the period on the operational obtained his/her CPL), the privileges may be expanded. He/she should be required, however, to follow the theoretical, practical and on-the-job training module of the new items. MIS Proposal: create a section 3 - Ramp inspection training organisation or subport. ATO which will include AMC2. GMS and GM6 of AR GERN-430(c)	D1ite	ems				
AMC1-AR.GEN.430(c) tion 3 - Ramp inspection training organisation to subpart ATO which will include AMC2, GM5 and GM6 of AR.GEN.430(b)(2). (RS) Proposal: "Approval of training organisations providing training to ramp inspections providing training to ramp inspections providing training to ramp inspectors" in the title should be in capital letters (title) for consistency in the lay-out 1. The training organisation should be qualified by a competent authority of a Member State to provide training to inspectors if the evaluation shows that training will be provided in accordance with the relevant syllable steabhished and published by the Agency. 2. The competent authority employing a third-party organisation for the purpose of ramp inspections related training should put in place a system to evaluate such an organisation. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluations. 3. Such an evaluation may be performed by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c)	2.	knowledge of the inspector has been satisfactory enlarged by additional theoretical trainings and/or practical trainings. This may require the subsequent following of the relevant module of the ramp inspection training in order to obtain the necessary knowledge to exercise that new privilege. As an example: if an AML holder has acquired knowledge on the operational items of the "A" section (flight crew compartment items) of the checklist (e.g. because he/she obtained his/her CPL), the privileges may be expanded. He/she should be required, however,				
AMC1-AR.GEN.430(c) tion 3 - Ramp inspection training organisation to subpart ATO which will include AMC2, GM5 and GM6 of AR.GEN.430(b)(2). (RS) Proposal: "Approval of training organisations providing training to ramp inspections providing training to ramp inspections providing training to ramp inspectors" in the title should be in capital letters (title) for consistency in the lay-out 1. The training organisation should be qualified by a competent authority of a Member State to provide training to inspectors if the evaluation shows that training will be provided in accordance with the relevant syllable steabhished and published by the Agency. 2. The competent authority employing a third-party organisation for the purpose of ramp inspections related training should put in place a system to evaluate such an organisation. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluations. 3. Such an evaluation may be performed by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c)						
CRITERIA FOR THE QUALIFICATION OF TRAINING ORGANISATIONS PROVIDING TRAINING TO RAMP INSPECTORS [MS] Proposal: "Approval of training organisations providing training to ramp inspectors" in the title should be in capital letters (title) for consistency in the lay-out [MS] Proposal: "Approval of training organisations providing training to ramp inspectors" in the title should be in capital letters (title) for consistency in the lay-out [MS] Proposal: "Approval of training organisations provide training organisations provide training to ramp inspectors if the evaluation should be qualified by a competent authority of a Member State to provide training to inspectors if the evaluation shows that training will be provided in accordance with the relevant syllable is stablished and published by the Agency. 2. The competent authority employing a third-party organisation for the purpose of ramp inspections related training should be used by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 3. Such an evaluation may be performed by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c)	АМС	21-AR.GEN.430(c)	tion 3 – Ramp inspection training organisation to subpart ATO which will include AMC2, GM5 and GM6 of	inspections training organisations will be addressed in a separate rulemaking task.	2008/49/EC	
1. The training organisation should be qualified by a competent authority of a Member State to provide training to inspectors if the evaluation shows that training will be provided in accordance with the relevant syllabi established and published by the Agency. 2. The competent authority employing a third-party organisation for the purpose of ramp inspections related training should put in place a system to evaluate such an organisation. The system should be simple, transparent and proportionate. Such a system should take into account evaluations conducted by other Member State authorities. 3. Such an evaluation may be performed by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c) 5. For each qualified training organisation, an competent authority should communicate to the Agency the following details:			[MS] Proposal : "Approval of training organisations providing training to ramp inspectors" in the title should be in capital letters (title) for consis-	vious response		
provide training to inspectors if the evaluation shows that training will be provided in accordance with the relevant syllabi established and published by the Agency. 2. The competent authority employing a third-party organisation for the purpose of ramp inspections related training should put in place a system to evaluate such an organisation. The system should be simple, transparent and proportionate. Such a system should take into account evaluations conducted by other Member State authorities. 3. Such an evaluation may be performed by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c) 5. For each qualified training organisation, an competent authority should communicate to the Agency the following details:	GEN	ERAL				
tions related training should put in place a system to evaluate such an organisation. The system should be simple, transparent and proportionate. Such a system should take into account evaluations conducted by other Member State authorities. 3. Such an evaluation may be performed by the Agency on behalf of an inspecting authority. The result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c) 5. For each qualified training organisation, an competent authority should communicate to the Agency the following details:	1.	provide training to inspectors if the evaluation shows that training will be provided in accor-				
result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evaluation. 4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c) 5. For each qualified training organisation, an competent authority should communicate to the Agency the following details:	2.	tions related training should put in place a system to evaluate such an organisation. The system should be simple, transparent and proportionate. Such a system should take into account				
4. Point 3 has been downgraded to GM1-AR.GEN.430(c) 5. For each qualified training organisation, an competent authority should communicate to the Agency the following details:	3.	result of this evaluation should be used by any Member State as a basis for its own evalua-				
Agency the following details:	4.					
a. full legal name;	5.					
		a. full legal name;				

			Part-AR			4 Oct 2010	
A: Ru	ile		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
	b.	address; and					
	C.	scope of training (i.e. theoretical training, practical training and a combination of these trainings).					
The ceepaluations	ompet ation o arising	N.430(c) sent authority should ensure that their training programmes and/or their systems for the of third party training organisations are amended accordingly to reflect any recommendation the standardisation audits conducted by the Agency in accordance with Regulation 5/2006.					
		GEN.430(c)	[MS] Proposal : create a section 3 – Ramp inspection training organisation to subpart ATO which will include AMC2, GM5 and GM6 of AR.GEN.430(b)(2).	inspections training organisa- tions After Review Group:			
CRITE	RIA F	OR TRAINING ORGANISATIONS					
1		training organisation should appoint a manager who is responsible for ensuring that ing courses are managed and carried out in accordance with the following criteria:					
	a.	The Training Organisation should contract sufficient personnel to develop and deliver ramp inspection training courses in accordance with the technical criteria required by the Agency.					
	b.	The size and structure of training facilities should ensure protection from the prevailing weather elements and proper operation of all planned training and examination on any particular day.					
	C.	Fully enclosed appropriate accommodation, separate from other facilities, should be provided for the instruction. In case the training will be given in other facilities than its own training facility, such facility should meet the same criteria.					
	d.	Classrooms should have appropriate presentation equipment, of a standard that ensures students can easily read presentation text/drawings/diagrams and figures from any position in the classroom.					
	e.	The training organisation should establish appropriate procedures to ensure proper training standards and compliance with the applicable criteria, including a quality system to ensure adequate control of the training preparation and delivery process.					
	f.	The training should be conducted in the English language with the aim to train the trainee in the jargon to be used during the ramp inspection.					
	g.	The training organisation should demonstrate that compliance with the applicable criteria is maintained in time, and that the content of the training course is always kept in line with the applicable syllabi.					
	h.	The Training organisation should put in place a system to evaluate the effectiveness of training provided, based upon feedback collected from course participants after each training delivery. An annual review summarising the results of the feedback system together with the Training Organisation's corrective actions (if any) shall be sent to the Agency.					
	i.	Training organisations providing ramp inspection training courses should use only training instructors meeting the experience and qualifications criteria listed hereunder:					

	Part-AR			4 Oct 2010		
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance		
i. knowledge of the EU Ramp Inspection Programme;						
ii. knowledge of training delivery methods and techniques;						
iii. for instructors delivering training on inspection items and/or delivering practic training:	cal					
A. meets the eligibility requirements for inspectors;						
B. knowledge of the ramp inspection methodology through participation, as an inspector or as a observer under the guidance of a senior ramp inspector, in at least 30 inspections in the previous five years before being nominated as an instructor.						
iv. for instructors delivering training on the regulatory framework and gener ramp inspection process, at least 2 years of direct experience in the EU ram inspection programme (previous SAFA Programme), e.g. either as an inspect or as a national coordinator or as an aviation safety regulations/legislation e pert.	np cor					
 Fulfilment of the criteria above should be attested by the training organisation base as a minimum, on individual self-declaration. 	ed,					
 k. Training organisations should only employ training instructors that have maintained their proficiency by performing or observing a minimum of six ramp inspections p year. 						
I. All instructors should attend a recurrent training workshop organised by the Agency aiming at updating their knowledge with new developments of the EU Ramp Inspection Programme as well as standardisation and harmonisation issues. The Agency's workshop should be attended whenever it would be deemed necessary due to significate changes in the Ramp Inspection Programme's structure and procedures, with a min mum of at least once every three years.	on -k- int					

GM2-AR.GEN.430(c)

ATO which will include AMC2, rulemaking task. GM5 and GM6 of AR.GEN.430(b)(2).

[MS] Proposal: create a sec- The approval of ramp inspection 3 - Ramp inspection train- tions training organisations will ing organisation to subpart be addressed in a separate

> See previous response on EASA GM for SAFA

[MS] **Comment**: the present content is not in accordance with the latest procedures of the EASA GM for SAFA ramp inspection procedures of July 2009. Therefore there is no consistency between the dispositions of the GM6 AR.GEN.430(b)(2) and all the AR.GEN.435, applicable AR.GEN.440 and AR.GEN.445 regarding the inspection of TCO.

						P	art-AR		4	Oct 2010
A: Rı	ule						B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
CHEC	CKL	IST FOR THE EVALUATION OF A 3RD PAR	RTY TRA	AINING	G ORGANISATION					
							[MS] Comment : The form is too detailed. Proposal : only list the items inspected.			
1 0	RG	ANISATIONAL STRUCTURE								
No.		DESCRIPTION	YES	NO	REMARKS					
	1	Has a manager with corporate authority been appointed?								
	2	Has the training provider contracted enough personnel to develop and deliver EU ramp inspection training?								
	3	Is the development and delivery of training in accordance with the tech-								
2 F/	ACI	ILITIES								
No.		DESCRIPTION	YES	NO	REMARKS					
	1	Does the size and structure of the available training facilities ensure adequate protection against weather elements?								
	2	Does the size and structure of the available training facilities provide								
3 IN	NST	FRUCTIONAL EQUIPMENT								
No.		DESCRIPTION	YES	NO	REMARKS					
	1	Is the presentation equipment appropriate for the training to be delivered?								
	2	Can the trainees easily read the presented material from any position in								

						Part-AR		4 (Oct 2010
A: I	Rule					B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
4	TRA	INING PROCEDURE							
No).	DESCRIPTION	YES	NO	REMARKS				
	1	Has the training provider established appropriate procedures to ensure proper training standards?							
	2	Has the training provider established a system to control the training preparation and delivery process?							
	3	Is the course material written in the English language and will the course be given in the English language?							
	4	Has the training provider demonstrated how compliance with technical criteria is maintained in time and kept in line with the training syllabi?							
	5	Has the training provider developed a system to evaluate the effectiveness of training provided?							
	6	Has the training provider devised a system to evaluate the effectiveness of the training based upon the feedback received?							
CHE	ECKL ecklis	R.GEN.430(c) Qualification of ramp in IST FOR THE EVALUATION OF A 3RD PART t for the Evaluation of Ramp Inspections	TY TRA	INING					
No		DESCRIPTION	YES	NO	REMARKS				
140	1	Do the instructors possess knowledge of the EU Ramp Inspection Programme?		140	KEPIAKO				
	2	Do the instructors have the knowledge on training methods and techniques?							
	3	Do the instructors delivering training on inspection items/practical training meet the eligibility and inspection experience requirements?							
	4	Do the other instructors meet the							

								Tare fix			4 Oct 2010	
A: Rule	2							B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
2 Qua	lification records										phanee	
No.	DESCRIPTION		YES	NO	REMARKS							
1	Has the training	organisation created proper records or	d									
3 Rec	ent experience a	and recurrent train	-									
No.	DESCRIPTION		YES	NO	REMARKS							
1 2	the requirement ence?	rs meet, if applicable ts on recent experi	-									
A	DDITIONAL REMA	ARKS					-					
AMC4-	AR.GEN.430(b)(2	2)										
CHECKL	LIST ON- THE-JOB	TRAINING OF INSPEC	CTORS									
On-th	e-Job Training of	Ramp Inspection 1	Inspecto	ors					Group comment:			
	etent Authority		or ramp		or:				This form is designed to be filled in for each inspection. The			
Name	of trainee:	Place	e:						checklist cannot be completed during one single inspection. It			
Date:		Ram	p Inspec	ction Nu	ımber:				would be better to have a single			
Operat	tor:	A/C	Registra	tion:	A/C Type:				compliance report for the entire OJT covering several inspec-			
A F	light deck	Check: (Descript	tion/ no	otes)	Obser- vation	Under vision	Super-		tions, instead of single check- lists.			
Gener	ral								- MS: cover page with in-			
									formation on the candi- date plus details for each			

			1	Part-AR		4	Oct 2010
A: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
1	General condition	 inappropriately pulled circuit breakers reinforced flight crew compartment door, if required crew baggage flight crew seats emergency exits (serviceability) escape ropes (secured or not) Note:		ment', where 'TCAS/TCAS II' is shown, this should be	After Review Group: Proposal for modification have		
2	Emerency exit	 Are exits serviceable (if not, check MEL limitations) Possible obstacles te: 		where in Part-AR.	GM upgraded to AMC		
3	Equipment	ACAS/TCAS II: Presence System test/passed 8.33 kHz: (if required) Radio channel spacing RNAV: Authorisation to perform operations in RNAV airspace. GPWS/TAWS: presence TAWS/SRPBZ for forward looking terrain avoidance function System test (if possible) MNPS Special authorisation Note:			Accepted: text will be changed as proposed		

				Part-AR			Oct 2010
A: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
Doc	cumentation			[IA and IND] Comment : bottom bullet point [RUKOWOD-	Not accepted: RUKOWODSTWO is based on different design phi-		рпапсе
4	Manuals	 Presence of the applicable parts of the operations manual Up-to-date Competent authority approval where applicable Content (complies with the requirements) Presence of Flight manual/ performance data Rukowodstwo Note:		STWO] is meaningless for "4. Manuals and 7 MEL". [662,228] [IA and IND] Comment: in "5 Checklists delete: ""Tidiness/Cleanness" and replace with "Stowed and Legi-	losophy and has a different structure to the ones mandated by ICAO, yet it still used by many operators (operating ex-Soviet a/c). Inspectors should be made familiar with the particularities of this manual. Accepted: 2 nd bullet will be changed into: "Condition/legibility"		
5	Checklists	 Available/within reach Tidiness/cleanness Normal Abnormal Emergency Up-to-date/not for training, etc. Content (compliance with the operator procedures) Appropriate for aircraft configuration being used Note:		ble!.	After RG: Proposals for modifications have been accepted		
6	Radio naviation/ instrument charts	 Presence of instrument approach charts (available/within reach/up-to-date) Presence of en-route charts (available/within reach/up-to-date) Route covering 			Not accepted: the NAA approval is not required by ICAO) to be carried on board.		
7	Minimum equip- ment list	Availability/within reach Up-to-date/less restrictive than MMEL Does content reflect equipments of aircraft Possible deferred defects/ accordance with instructions Possible use of MMEL Rukowodstwo (check when possible) Note:					
8	Certificate of registration	On-board Accuracy (Reg. mark, A/C type and S/N) Format					

[•] English translation when needed

				•	are 7 in		4	Oct 2010
A: Ru	ule				B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		Note:						
9	Noise certificate	On-board Approval (state of registry)						
		Note:						
10	AOC or equivalent	 Accuracy Content (operator identification, validity, date of issue, A/C type, OPS SPECS) 						
		Note:						
11	Radio licence	On-board Accuracy with installed equipment						
		Note:						
12	Certificate of airworthiness (C of A)	 On-board (original or certif. true copy) Accuracy Validity 						
		• validity						
Flig	ht data							
13	Flight preparation	 Operational flight plan on board Proper filling Signed by pilot-in-command/commander (and where applicable, Dispatch) Fuel calculation Fuel monitoring NOTAMS Updated meteorological information Letter Y in flight plan Note: 						
14	Mass and balance calculation	Load and trim sheet/ actual load distribution						
		Note:						
Safe	ety equipment							
15	Hand fire extin- guishers	On-board Condition/pressure indicator Mounting (secured) Expiry date (if any) Access Sufficient number						
		Note:						

				Part-AR		4	Oct 2010
A: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
16	Life jack- ets/flotation devce	 On-board Access/within reach Condition Expiry date (where applicable) Sufficient number Note:					
17	Harness	 On-board (no seatbelt) Condition Sufficient number (one for all crewmembers) Note:					
18	Oxygen equip- ment	On-boardConditionCylinder pressure (minimum acc. to OPS manual)					
19	Independent Port- able light	 On-board Appropriate quantities Condition Serviceability Access/within reach The need of Independent Portable light(departure or arrival at night time) Note:					

					rare rac		4 00	t 2010
A: R	ule				B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
Flig	ht crew							
20	Flight crew li- cence/compositio n	 On-board Form/content/English translation when needed Validity Ratings (appropriate type)(PIC/ATPL) Pilots age Possible difference with ICAO Annex 1 (concerning the age of pilots) In case of validation (all documents needed) Medical assessment/ check interval Spare eye glasses if applicable Note: 						
Jou	rney log book / Tech	nical log or equivalent						
21	Journey log book or equivalent	On-board						
		Validity						
22	Maintenance re- lease	 When need of maintenance, technical log has been complied with. When ETOPS, requirement are met. Signed off Verify that maintenance release has not expired Ex-Soviet built A/C Note:						
		Number of deferred defects						
23	Defect notification and rectification	 All defects been notified Defect deferments include time limits and comply with the stated time limits All the defects are notified Technical log markings (should be understandable by captain) Ex-Soviet built A/C Note:						
24	Pre-flight inspection	Performed (inbound/ outbound flight)Signed off						
	doll	Not:	ı	ı				

						4 00	t 2010
A: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
В	Cabin Safety						piumoo
1	General internal condition	 General condition Possible loose carpets Possible loose or damaged floor panels Possible loose or damaged wall panels Seats Markings of unserviceable seats Lavatories Lavatory smoke detectors Safety and survival equipments (shall be reliable, readily accessible and easily identified. Instructions of operation shall be clearly marked) Possible obstacles to perform normal and abnormal duties 		[IA and IND] Proposal : B	Accepted: the adequacy of the		
2	Cabin crew sta- tions and crew rest area	 Presence of cabin crew seats and compliance with the requirement Sufficient number Condition (seatbelt, harness) Emergency equipments (Independent Portable light, fire extinguishers, portable breathing equipment) Cabin preparation list Note:		kit/emergency medical kit: bullet point 6. Remove "adequacy" and replace with " Approved by NAA".	contents of the FAK cannot indeed be checked in the absence of an ICAO standard (the content is only given as Guidance) Accepted: text will be changed as proposed		
3	First aid kit/ emergency medi- cal kit	On-boardConditionExpiry dateLocation (as indicated)					
			,				
4	Hand fire extinguishers	 On-board Condition (pressure indicator) Expiry date (if available) Mounting and access Number 					

					Part-AR		4.0	ct 2010
A: R	ule				B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		Note:						
5	Life jackets/ flo- tation devices	Expiry dates as applicableSufficient numberInfant vest						
		Note	Γ	_				
6	Sea belt and seat condition	 On-board Sufficient number Condition Availability of extension belts Cabin seats (verify the condition) If unserviceable check U/S-tag. Restraint bars 			[IA and IND] Proposal : B Cabin Safety -7 Emergency Exit, Lightning Lighting and marking, electric torches: remove : "lightning" and replace with" lighting".			
		Note:	<u> </u>					
7	lightning and marking. Inde-	 Emergency exits (condition) Emergency exit signs/ presence (condition) Operation instructions (markings and passenger emergency briefing cards) Floor path markings (ask to switch on). Possible malfunction/MEL Lighting Independent Portable light and batteries (condition) Sufficient number of Independent Portable light (night operations) Availability on each cabin attendant's station. Note: 						
8	Slides/life-rafts (as required), ELT	 Slides on-board Condition Expiry date Sufficient number Location and mounting Bottle pressure gauge ELT on board ELT (condition and date) Note:						

				Part-AR		4 0	ct 2010
A: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
9	Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers)	 Presence Sufficient quantity of masks (cabin crew and passengers) Drop-out-panels are free to fall Passenger instructions (passenger emergency briefing cards) Portable cylinder supply and medical oxygen, check pressure and mounting Note: On-board 					pliance
10	Safety instructions	 Tidiness Accuracy/content (A/C type) Sufficient numbers (passenger emergency briefing card for each passenger) Cards for flight crew (check emergency equipment locations) Note:					
11	Cabin crew members	 General overview of cabin crew (conditions) The sufficient number of cabin crew (appropriate) How the duty stations are manned Ask crew training document to prove type training (not required by ICAO) Follow practice of the cabin crew When refuelling with passengers onboard check procedures Note:					
12	Access to emergency exits	 Access areas Possible obstacles for evacuation (foldable jump seat or seat backrest table) Note: 					
13	Stowage of passenger baggage	 Hand baggage storages in cabin Size of hand baggage Quantity of hand baggage Weight of hand baggage Placed under seat (restraint bar) Note:					
14	Seat capacity	Number of passengers/ permittedSufficient seat capacityNote:					
	I	I .			1	1	1

			, are fix		4 0	ct 2010
A: Rule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
C Aircraft condition						phance
1 General external condition	 Radom (latches/painting) Windshields Wipers Static ports/areas AoA probes Pitot tubes TAT probe Crew oxygen discharge indicator (if exist) Ground power connection (condition) Wings (general condition, no ice or frost) Fairings Leading edge (dents) Winglets Trailing edge/static dischargers Look for hydraulic leaks Look for fuel leak Fuselage Tail section/static dischargers APU cooling air inlet APU exhaust air/surge Look APU area for leaks Tail bumper (contact markings) Maintenance and service panels (water/waste/hydraulic maintenance panels/refuel panels/cargo door control panel/RAT door) Cabin windows Exterior lights Painting (condition) Cleanliness Markings/operational instructions and registration Obvious repairs Obvious damages Note:					
2 Doors and hatches	Passenger doors (condition)Emergency exits (condition)		[IA and IND] Comment : C Aircraft Condition 3 Flight con- trols: bullet point 4. Flaps/Track fairings (condi-	Noted		

[•] Cargo doors (condition)

[•] Avionics compartment doors (con-

						4	Oct 2010
A: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO ref.
						compliance	and com-
							pliance
	· ·	dition)		tion). Flap tracks can only be			
	'	Accessory compartment doors		inspected if flaps are deployed			
	'	(condition)		f file and IND1 B and I C	Accepted: text will be changed		
	'	Operation instructions of all doors		[[IA and IND] Proposal : C Aircraft Condition 3 Flight con-	as proposed		
	'	Lubrications of all doors		trols: bottom note! 'flap droof-			
	'	Door seals		ing' is meaningless -should			
	'			be 'flap drooping'			
	'	Handles		Se map arooping			
		Note:					
		, ,					
		Ailerons (condition)					
	· ·	Slats/Krueger flaps/Notch					
	· ·	flap(condition)					
	· ·	Spoiler panels (condition)					
	· ·	Flaps/track fairings (condition)					
	· ·	Rudder (condition)					
	'	Elevators (condition)					
3	Flight contols	Stabiliser (condition)					
		Stabiliser (correction)					
	· ·	Natal Charles for large flag dynamics					
	· ·	Note! Check for leaks, flap drooping, wearing, corrosion, disbonding,					
	'	dents, loose fittings and obvious					
	· ·	damages.					
		Note:					
		Note:					
		Wheels (assy condition, bolts and					
		paint markings)					
	· ·	Tires (condition and pressure). Charles for such a greater warms.					
	'	Check for cuts, groove cracks, worn out shoulders, blister, bulges, flat					
	· ·	spots)					
	Wheels, tyres and						
4	brakes	depth)					
		If cuts measure depth					
		·					
		Brakes (condition, wearing pins)					
		Measure and familiarise length of					
		the pin/check for the limits.					
		Note:					
		Landing gear/hinges (general con-		IIA and IND! Burney			
		dition/leaks)		[IA and IND] Proposals:	Dartially Assented Entry to be		
		Struts		riage: bullet point 9. 'Cleanli-	Partially Accepted: Entry to be changed in "Ability to inspect		
5	Undercarriage	Locking mechanisms		ness is a very subjective	impairment due to excessive		
		Hydraulic (or pneumatic) lines		measure. Remove this item.	contamination".		
		(condition)		Clarification: aircraft condi-			
		Strut pressure (visual check/piston		tion 5 Undercarriage: bullet	various markings and placards		
<u> </u>			I		are required by the type certifi-		

				D. C.	C. D		Oct 2010	
\: R	ule			B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref	
							pliance	
		length)		ards and Markings' checked against?	cation standards. They are usually mentioned in the AMM			
		• Lubrication		aganist:	(Chapter 11)			
		Electric lines and plugs.			(0.14)			
		Bonding						
		Cleanliness						
		FOD (Foreign Object Damage)						
		Surface (plasma) and paintings						
		Check for corrosion						
		Placards and markings (nitrogen pressure table)						
		Dampers and bogie cylinders						
		(check for leaks)		[IA and IND] Proposal : C Air-	Entry to be changed in "Ability			
		Landing gear strut doors		bullet point 3. 'cleanliness is a very subjective measure. Re-	to inspect impairment due to excessive contamination"			
		Use Independent Portable light and mirror		move this item.				
		Note:						
		General condition (structures)						
		Possible corrosion						
		Cleanliness						
Wheel we	Wheel well	Installations (wiring, piping, hoses, hydraulic containers and devices)						
		Check for leaks						
		Wheel well doors (hinges)						
		Check for maintenance safety pins						
		Note:						
		Air intake ring (general condition/inner skin and acoustic panels)						
		Engine cowlings (panels aligned, handles aligned, vortex genera- tors/access doors)						
		Intake area fasteners						
		Sensors						
		Thrust reverses (ring and inner doors or thrust reverser doors)						
	Powplant and py- lon	Reverser duct inner skin and acoustic panels						
		Outlet guide vanes (from behind/reverser duct)						
		Exhaust barrel (inner and outer skin)						
		Drain mast/leaks						
		Pylons (sealants, panels, doors and blow-out-doors, possible leaks)						
		Note:	1					
		· -						

				Par	t-AR		4	O-t-2010
A: R	ule				3: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref. and com-
8	Fan blades, pro- pellers, rotors (main tail)	 Fan blades: general condition (check for foreign object damage, cracks, nicks, cuts, corrosion and erosion) Fan blade: Leading edge Mid-span shroud (no stacked) Tip Contour surface Root area platform Note! Wait until rotation stop! Use Independent Portable light and mirror for the backside of the blades. Spinner (damages/bolts) Fan outlet vanes (thorough the fan) FOD (Foreign Object Damage) Split fairing Blades (general condition) Tip and mid area (75% from root) (Check for nicks, dents, cracks, leakages and) Hub/spinner Looseness of blades in hub 		[IA and IND] Clarification: Clarification: Clarification 10 Obvious repairs: what is the definition of 'badly performed repair?	Accepted: Entry to be changed in "repairs obviously not carried out in accordance with the applicable AMM/SRM"		pliance	
		Note:		1				
9		•						
		Note:		1				
9	Obvious repairs	During the inspection of C-items notify unusual design and repairs obviously not carried out in accordance with the applicable AMM/SRM						
		Note:						
10	Obvious unre- paired damages	 During the inspection of C-items notify unassessed and unrecorded damages and corrosion (lightning strike, bird strikes, FODs, etc) Check damage charts 						
		Note:						
	Leakage	During the inspection of C-items notify all the leaks:			MS] Comment : 2 Dangerous	Comment not accepted. Annex 18 ,mentions in Chapter 8.1b that an operator shall not accept DG for transport by air		

Hydraulic leaks

Toilet liquid leaks

When leak: measure the leak rate and check		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO re
When leak: measure the leak rate and check				compliance	and com- pliance
the leak rates from AMM etc. if it is allowable and withinnormal operation limits or not. • Wear eye protection and use proper inspection gears for inspection Note:		goods It is inappropriate for "labelling". Proposed Text (if applicable): Delete "Labelling" from paragraph 2. 2. Comment 2 regarding: OPS manual/information required by ICAO Annex 18" is inappropriate. Justification: Annex 18 does not specify what should	"until () the DG has been inspected in accordance with the acceptance procedures contained in the technical instructions". These procedures mention in Part 7.1.1, Article 1.1.2 that "The operator		
 Cleanliness Lightning Fire protection/detection/ extinguishing systems and smoke detectors Floor panels Wall panels/markings Blow-out-panels Ceilings Wall and ceiling panel sealants Cargo nets/door nets Fire extinguishers Cargo roller and driving system and control panel Note: OPS manual/information required by ICAO Annex 18 Technical instructions (ICAO Doc. 9284) are applied If dangerous goods on-board: Pilots notification Stowing of dangerous goods cargo Packaging (condition, leaks, damage) Labelling If leak or damage of dangerous goods cargo: Condition of other cargo Follow removal Follow cleaning of contamination. 		[MS] Comment: 2. Comment 2 regarding: OPS manual/information required by ICAO Annex 18" is inappropriate. Justification: Annex 18 does not specify what should be in an operations manual. Proposed Text (if applicable): Delete "OPS manual/information required by ICAO Annex 18" from para 2." [MS] Comment: A check for presence of a copy of the ICAO Emergency Response Guidance for Aircraft Incidents Involving Dangerous Goods. Proposed Text (if applicable): Add the following bullet point to para 2 after the heading "If dangerous goods on-board": "Check for presence of a copy of the ICAO Emergency Response Guidance	package () unless the operator has inspected it, found it to be properly marked and labelled ()". 2. Annex 18 mentions in chapter 9.2: 9.2 Information and instructions to flight crew members The operator shall provide such information in the Operations Manual as will enable the flight crew to carry out its responsibilities with regard to the transport of dangerous goods and shall provide instructions as to the action to be taken in the event of emergencies arising involving dangerous		
Note:					
containers/maximum gross weight) Flight kit/spare wheel/ ladders (secured) Cargo (secured) Condition and presence of: Lockers Restraints Pallets Nets Straps Containers					
	Cleanliness Lightning Fire protection/detection/ extinguishing systems and smoke detectors Floor panels Wall panels/markings Blow-out-panels Ceilings Wall and ceiling panel sealants Cargo nets/door nets Fire extinguishers Cargo roller and driving system and control panel Note: OPS manual/information required by ICAO Annex 18 Technical instructions (ICAO Doc. 9284) are applied If dangerous goods on-board: Pilots notification Stowing of dangerous goods cargo Packaging (condition, leaks, damage) Labelling	Cleanliness Lightning Fire protection/detection/ extinguishing systems and smoke detectors Floor panels Wall panels/markings Blow-out-panels Ceilings Wall and ceiling panel sealants Cargo nets/door nets Fire extinguishers Cargo roller and driving system and control panel Note: OPS manual/information required by ICAO Annex 18 Technical instructions (ICAO Doc. 9284) are applied If dangerous goods on-board: Pilots notification Stowing of dangerous goods cargo Packaging (condition, leaks, damage) Labelling If leak or damage of dangerous goods cargo: Condition of other cargo Follow removal Follow cleaning of contamination. Note: Load distribution (floor limits, pallets and containers/maximum gross weight) Flight kit/spare wheel/ ladders (secured) Cargo (secured) Condition and presence of: Lockers Restraints Pallets Nets Straps Containers	Note: Cleanliness Cleanlines Cleanliness Cleanliness Cleanliness Cleanliness Cleanlines Cleanliness Cleanlines Container Indicates Involving Dangerous Goods on-board: Cleanlines Cleanl	Calcaliness Cleanliness Clea	Classifiess Classifies Classifies

					, ,	are fix		4 (Oct 2010
A: R	tule					B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
		Note:							J
	General								
	General	Note:							
						[MS Comment: Paragraph No: "D2 Dangerous Goods" Ramp inspection items do not reflect items to be checked on page 53 of NPA. Justification: Items should align and should also include the ICAO Emergency Response Guidance for Aircraft Incidents Involving Dangerous Goods Proposed Text (if applicable): Align items with those specified on page 53 of NPA.			
Add	ditional elements (O) o	bserved/perfor	med (P) during OJT			page of the same			
	ease List)		, ,						
	sessment								
	Was the inspection carr								
_	preparation of the insperamp inspection		□ No (provide further□ No (provide further	•					
	proof of inspection		□ No (provide further	-					
- h	numan factors elements	s 📗 Yes	□ No (provide further	details below*)					
- F	Further training needed	l:							
Add	ditional Remarks:*								
Sig	nature of the trainee:		Signature of the sen	ior ramp inspector:	:				
L		,			J				
AMC	C1- AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i	i) Qualification	of ramp inspectors			is too detailed. Proposal : only list the items inspected. (2) [MS] Comment : All the training materials presented in the AMC1 and AMC2 AR.GEN 430(b)(2)(i) are based on the ramp inspections on TCO only and should be tailored to the	1. Not accepted. Since the legal basis for a European SAFA training standardisation programme is missing, standardisation has to be achieved via detailed syllabi. 2. Noted. AMC's and GM for the European Operators will start to be developed as soon as the opinion on the relevant parts have been issued.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
SYLLABUS OF THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE FOR RAMP INSPECTORS				
INITIAL (THEORETICAL) TRAINING COURSE				
- Module (GEN): GENERAL OVERVIEW (legal) Module (A): Flight deals increating there.	general overview (legal) the- commun rules "basic national legal requirements"; inspector should know the basic rules (administrative requirements)	3. Not accepted. The syllabineed to be used also by 3 rd party training organisations. These training courses might serve trainees of many different nationalities. The course cannot be developed to train on all national specific administrative requirements. For that reason national specifics are dealt with in GM 2 AR.GEN.430(b)(2) paragraph 10 & 12		
- Module (A): Flight deck inspection items				
- Module (B): Cabin safety inspection items				
- Module (C): Aircraft condition inspection items				
- Module (D): Cargo inspection items				
1. MODULE (GEN) a. OVERVIEW OF THE SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF AIRCRAFT	18 in ICAO basis.	3. Noted. AMC's for the European operators will be developed as soon as the related regulations have been published.		

		, in the second	Part-AR				
A: Ru	ıle		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
i.	<u>Introduction</u>	Objectives:				phance	
•	TI D	Trainees should know the back-ground of the EU ramp inspection					
ii.	 The EU ramp Inspection programme - ICAO basic references ICAO convention Annex 1 - Personnel Licensing Annex 6 - Operations of Aircraft Annex 8 - Airworthiness of Aircraft - Main features Application by all participating States Dissemination of inspection results Bottom-up approach Focused attention Compliance with ICAO standards 	programme Programme 2. Trainees should be able to identify the main elements of the Programme 3. Trainees should understand the role of ramp inspections in the general safety oversight context					
iii.	EU Member State Role States on SAFA working arrangements with the Agency Common procedures and common reporting format The centralised data base –introduction						
iv.	 The European Commission Role and responsibility Legislative power 						
v.	The European Aviation Safety Agency						
	The executive tasks collection of inspection reports maintenance of the centralised database analysis of relevant information reporting to European Commission and Member States advising the European Commission and Member States on follow-up actions developing training programmes and foster the organisation and implementa- tion of training courses and workshops						
vi.	EU and non-EU Member States						
•	Ro <u>le and responsibilities</u>						
•	EU Member States Non-EU States that have signed the Working Arrangement						
vii	<u>Eurocontrol</u>						
•	Role and responsibilities						
viii.	The Air Safety Committee - (ASC)						

		Part-AR				
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO re and com- pliance	
Role and responsibilities					phance	
Representation of EU Member States						
Legislative advisory role						
<u> </u>						
ix. The European SAFA Steering Expert Group - (ESSG)						
Role and responsibilities						
Representation of EU Member Sates and non-EU Member StatesTechn	<u>lical advisory role</u>					
b. THE EU ramp inspection programme legal framework	4					
	Objectives:					
i. Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005	Trainees should fully under-					
Scope and relevance	stand the legal instruments					
	of the Programme					
	2. Trainees should be able to identify the stakeholders					
ii. List of banned air carriers 474/2006 and subsequent amendments	and their responsibilities					
Scope and relevance	3. Trainees should be capable					
	to define the relationship between the Ramp Inspec-					
 Regulation (EC) No 216/2008 –General overview 	tion Programme and the					
 Article 10 – oversight and enforcement 	Community List of Banned Airlines					
	Airilles					
c. The ICAO framework						
0	bjectives:					
i. International Requirements	Trainees should be able to outline ICAO's					
 The Chicago Convention – general overview The ICAO general overview 	role and responsibilities within the international civil aviation context.					
The Convention – key ramp inspection -related Articles 2	. Trainees should understand the obligations of the signatory States.					
Article 11 – Applicability of air regulations	Trainees should understand the direct					
Article 12 – Rules of the air	relationship between ICAO standards and ramp inspection.					
Article 16 – Search of aircraft		(4)[M				
		(4)[Ms and INDIV] Proposal : "The ICAO framework – RI				
		AND ICAO DOC 7030": the				
		NAT region must be considered	4. Indeed, the training should			
		Europe are going through the	aim at informing trainees where			
		NAT airspace, as it stands for	to find certain specifications in			
			DOC 7030 although the high level requirement (the standard)			
		ments.	might be elsewhere (like for			
		(5)[MS] Proposal: Amond the	MNPS in Annex 6 7.2.3); However, the extension should not			
		(၁)[[13] Floposai . Amend the	ever, the extension should hot			

	F	Part-AR		4	2-+ 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com-
istration Marks) – Overview The Certificate of Registration Example of Certificate of Registration The identification plate iii.RI and ICAO - Annex 8 (Airworthiness of Aircraft) – Overview Validity of the Certificate of Airworthiness Standard form of Certificate of Airworthiness Emergency exits, markings and lights Safety and survival equipment		heading "RI and ICAO – Annex	be limited to NAT but training should be on DOC 7030 in general. Deleted: EUR region only. 5. Accepted.		pliance
iv. RI and ICAO - Annex 1 (Personnel Licensing) – Overview General Rules concerning licenses					
v. RI and ICAO - Annex 6 (Operation of Aircraft) - Overview • Part I, International commercial air transport aeroplanesPart II, Internationlgeneral aviation aeroplanes • Part III, International operations helicopter					
vi. RI and ICAO - Annex 16 (Environmental Protection) - Overview • Noise Certificate (applicability to SAFA programme) RI and ICAO - Annex 18 (The Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air) • Overview • Dangerous goods Technical Instructions for the safe transport of dangerous goods by air (Doc 9284)					
RI and ICAO Doc 7030 (Regional Supplementary procedures) Overview Applicability					
d. Safety Assessment technical aspects overview			6. Accepted. Levels of findings have been changed into the current system of finding categories.		
i. Preparation of the inspection					
 ii. Subjects of the inspection: Aircraft used by third country operators or used by operators under the regulatory oversight of an other Member State. Technical considerations Experience/feedbacks from previous checks "Intelligence" (centralised database, ATC, passenger complaints, etc.) Prioritisation 					
iii. Elements to be inspected:					

A Rule In principle, all RI checkist items, but: Other considerations for a limited inspection: The available (stop duration, slot, no unreasonable delay) Inspector privileges Areas of concern (based upon previous checks and/or centralised database) Context (recentived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspector privileges Available (stop duration, slot, no unreasonable delay) Intelligence information For inspector (secretived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspectors (secretived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspectors (secretived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspectors (secretived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspectors (secretived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspectors (secretived aircraft, new aritine, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information For inspectors of the inspection Intelligence information I		Part-AR	4 Oct 2010		
In principle, all RI checklish (temps, but: other conditionations for a limited inspection: Time evailable (stoo duration, slot, no unreasonable delay) Inspector privileges A reas of concern (beased upon previous checks and/or centralised database) Contact, (recuryled sincraft, new wifeline, new type of introff) Intelligence information IV Planning the inspections: Efficient used the time available Considerations for inspections on arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day V. Short transit times: Wilk around check during off boarding Segmental Inspection: Inspector's documentation (IU) procedures, regulations, updated reference materials, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, comers, telephone, protective) personal coupirrent, etc.) Inspector's toels (vest, Independent Portable light, comers, telephone, protective) personal coupirrent, etc.) Inspector's toels (vest, Independent Portable light, comers, telephone, protective) personal coupirrent, etc.) Inspector's toels (vest, Independent Portable light, comers, telephone, protective) Proceedings to the filter of the process of the protection of the process of the process of the protection of the process of the proc	A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO ref. and com-
- other considerations for a limited inspection: - I'me available (expo durator, side, no uneascanable dalay) - Inseptor privileges - Arres of concern (head upon previous checks ant/ur centralised dalabase) - Context (recent/pid abroral), new aritine, new type of aircraft) - Intelligence information iv Planning the inspection: - Trigient use of the time avoilable - Context (recent/pid abroral), new aritine, new type of aircraft) - Intelligence information iv Planning the inspection: - Any day in a week, any time in a day v. Short transit times: - Walk around check during off boarding - Segmented inspections - Segmented inspections - Vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: - Inspector's decumentation (it) procedures, regulations, updated reference materials, and the segment of t	In principle, all RI checklist items: but:				phance
In maximization (stop duration, stot, no unreasonable dalay) Inspector privileges A reas of concern (beased upon previous checks and/or centralised database) Concest (recentroid aircraft, new airline, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information IV Planning the inspection: Efficient use of the time available Conciderations for inspections on arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day Short Transit times: Will cancount check during off boarding Segmented inspections VI. Toolki for the RI inspection: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's fooling (with independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's fooling fooling (culturily TD, airport budge) Inspector's fooling (with culturily TD, airport budge) Inspectory fooling fooling (culturily TD, airport budge) Inspectory fooling fooling fooling (culturily TD, airport budge) Inspectory fooling foo					
Integrator privileges Arrass of concern (based upon previous checks and/or centralised database) Contest (recent/dol aircaft, new airline, new type of aircaft) Intelligence information IV Planning the Inspection: Efficient used of the fine available Considerations for inspections on arrival or departure Any dey in a week, any time in a day V. Short transit times: Valk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections Vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's identification (authority ID. airport badge) Finding on this allicuston Viii. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Finding on task allicuston Viii. The ramp inspection checklist: A spects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist: A spects to be covered by the ramp inspection International inspection of the cover (fight crow/technical staff/airline representative/translator) International inspection in the cover (fight crow/technical staff/airline representative/translator) International or the crow (fight crow/technical staff/airline representative/translator) International or the crow (fight crow/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
A reas of concern (based upon previous checks and/or centralised database) Context (crecutified interait, new airline, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information IV Planning the inspection: Efficient use of the time available Consideration for inspections on arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day V. Short transit times: Wak around check during off boarding Segmented inspections VI. Toolkit for the El inspector: Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's consemination (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's countertation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Patrice discription available Inspector is attention of authority ID, airport badge) Inspector is attention available Inspector is attention and inspector inspec					
Context (recent/old aircraft, new airline, new type of aircraft) Intelligence information W Planning the inspection: Efficient use of the time available Considerations for inspections on arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day W. Short transit times: Walk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference materials) etc. Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference materials) etc. Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference materials) etc. Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference materials) etc. Inspector's floredification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation Will. Teamwork: Briefing on task allocation Will. Team inspection checklist: Appects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) K. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
iv Planning the inspection: Ifficient use of the time available Considerations for inspections an arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day v. Short transit times. Wilk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference materials, etc.). Inspector's tools (vest. Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest. Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Adrind edocumentation available VII. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) X. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human fector principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
Efficient use of the time available Considerations for inspections on arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day V. Short transfit times: Walk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's foendication (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available Vi. Teamwork Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Berling on task allocation Viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (formut/structure and overview of contents) I. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Callection of evidence					
Considerations for inspections on arrival or departure Any day in a week, any time in a day V. Short transit times: Walk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections VI. Toolkit for the RI Inspector: Inspector's decumentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Ledentification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available VII. Teamwork: Briefing on task allocation VIII. Teamp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist: Determination of available inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection mediation of available inspection in the crew Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	iv Planning the inspection:				
Any day in a week, any time in a day Short transit times: Walk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, act.) Inspector's took (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective inspector's took (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective inspector's Independent portable light, camera, telephone, protective inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Alline documentation available VI. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspectod checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) inspection inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection in Expection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = Intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	Efficient use of the time available				
v. Short transit times: Walk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections vi. Toolkit for the RI Inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available VII. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. Team inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (formal/structure and overview of contents) Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation of evidence Collection of evidence	Considerations for inspections on arrival or departure				
Walk around check during off boarding Segmented inspections vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's took (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available Vi. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) The ramp inspection the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	Any day in a week, any time in a day				
VI. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available Airline documentation available Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) Ex. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	v. Short transit times:				
vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector: Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Alrine documentation available Vii. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) Throduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available VII. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	Segmented inspections				
Inspector's documentation (RI procedures, regulations, updated reference material, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available VII. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	vi. Toolkit for the RI inspector:				
rial, etc.) Inspector's tools (vest, Independent Portable light, camera, telephone, protective personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Alfrine documentation available Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
personal equipment, etc.) Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge) Airline documentation available VII. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation VIII. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
Airline documentation available Vii. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation Viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
Vii. Teamwork: Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation Viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	Inspector's Identification (authority ID, airport badge)				
 Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise Briefing on task allocation Viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 	Airline documentation available				
Briefing on task allocation Viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	Vii. Teamwork:				
viii. The ramp inspection checklist: Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence	Preferably two Inspectors covering all fields of expertise				
 Aspects to be covered by the ramp inspection The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 	Briefing on task allocation				
The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents) ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
ix. Starting the Inspection: Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence					
 Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 	The ramp inspection checklist (format/structure and overview of contents)				
 Introduction to the crew (flight crew/technical staff/airline representative/translator) Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 					
 Determination of available inspection time Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 					
 Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle) X. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 					
 x. Code of conduct: Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 					
 Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 	Explain that any operator is subject to inspections (Ramp Inspection principle)				
 Human factor principle (inspection = intrusion) Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 	x. Code of conduct:				
 Cooperation with the crew Time efficiency Collection of evidence 					
 Time efficiency Collection of evidence • 					
 Collection of evidence • 					
•	· ·				
xi. Categorisation of findings:	•				
	xi. Categorisation of findings:				

	I	Part-AR			
: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
Definition of finding: Deviation from the standards	5				phanee
 Level 1 finding with major influence on safety 					
 Level 2 finding with significant influence on safety 					
•					
xii. Follow up actions:					
 Relationship between finding and action 					
Class 1 action					
Class 2 action					
Class 3 actions					
•					
xiii. Concluding the Inspection:					
 Debriefing of inspection results 					
 Delivery of proof of inspection to the pilot-in 	n-command/commander/Airline representa-	-			
tive/sub-contractors					
 Purpose of the database The database as inspectors' tool RI database - input RI database - output RI database - search Focused inspection module Follow-up actions: Operator logging Database analytical tools and reports 	Objectives: 1. Trainees should have the relevant knowledge to input and retrieve data from the RI centralised database. 2. Trainees should know the analysis process and its deliverables. 3. Trainees should understand the analysis dependability on the accu-				
	racy of the inspection reports.				
2. MODULE (A)	racy of the inspection re-		1. Indeed, it is better to use the		
	racy of the inspection re-	stowage of baggage " by "se-	wording of the finding but since		
	racy of the inspection re-	stowage of baggage " by "se- curisation of interior equip-			
	racy of the inspection re-	stowage of baggage " by "se- curisation of interior equip- ment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisa-	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a refer- ence to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and check-		
	racy of the inspection re-	stowage of baggage " by "se- curisation of interior equip- ment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisa- tion of luggage items in the	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for		
a. RAMP INSPECTION ITEMS (A)	racy of the inspection reports.	stowage of baggage "by "se- curisation of interior equip- ment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisa- tion of luggage items in the cockpit.	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this.		
A1 general condition (flight crew compartment)	racy of the inspection reports. Objectives:	stowage of baggage "by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this. 3. Accepted.		
A1 general condition (flight crew compartment) • Circuit breakers (C/B) (inappropriately pulled/popped)	Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each	stowage of baggage "by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move "minimum crew to A20" as the	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this.		
a. RAMP INSPECTION ITEMS (A) A1 general condition (flight crew compartment)	racy of the inspection reports. Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant	stowage of baggage " by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move "minimum crew to A20" as the minimum crew composition is linked with crew ratings and	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this. 3. Accepted. 4. Not accepted. The trainee should be made aware that the Russian equivalent of the OM is		
A1 general condition (flight crew compartment) Circuit breakers (C/B) (inappropriately pulled/popped) Secure stowage of interior equipment (incl baggage)	Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each	stowage of baggage "by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move "minimum crew to A20" as the minimum crew composition is linked with crew ratings and licences and could only be	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this. 3. Accepted. 4. Not accepted. The trainee should be made aware that the Russian equivalent of the OM is normally not meeting the ICAO		
A1 general condition (flight crew compartment) Circuit breakers (C/B) (inappropriately pulled/popped) Secure stowage of interior equipment (incl baggage)	Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each	stowage of baggage "by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move "minimum crew to A20" as the minimum crew composition is linked with crew ratings and licences and could only be checked by the ramp inspector	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this. 3. Accepted. 4. Not accepted. The trainee should be made aware that the Russian equivalent of the OM is normally not meeting the ICAO requirements. Without knowl-		
. RAMP INSPECTION ITEMS (A) 1.1 general condition (flight crew compartment) • Circuit breakers (C/B) (inappropriately pulled/popped) • Secure stowage of interior equipment (incl baggage)	Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each	stowage of baggage "by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move "minimum crew to A20" as the minimum crew composition is linked with crew ratings and licences and could only be checked by the ramp inspector when inspecting the licences	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this. 3. Accepted. 4. Not accepted. The trainee should be made aware that the Russian equivalent of the OM is normally not meeting the ICAO requirements. Without knowledge of the Russian language		
1 general condition (flight crew compartment) Circuit breakers (C/B) (inappropriately pulled/popped) Secure stowage of interior equipment (incl baggage)	Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each	stowage of baggage "by "securisation of interior equipment" as there is no standard in ICAO regarding the securisation of luggage items in the cockpit. (2)[MS] Proposal : move "minimum crew to A20" as the minimum crew composition is linked with crew ratings and licences and could only be checked by the ramp inspector when inspecting the licences	wording of the finding but since it is a training syllabus, a reference to luggage should be kept) 2. Accepted. The POI and checklist were already amended for this. 3. Accepted. 4. Not accepted. The trainee should be made aware that the Russian equivalent of the OM is normally not meeting the ICAO requirements. Without knowledge of the Russian language this could be verified by asking:		

A. Dola		G. B		Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref
	(2)5102		•	pliance
Emergency exits (flight crew compartment)	(3)[MS]: A4 MANUALS : Op-			
		7. Not accepted (see response		
	Add: "content in relation with	1 /		
A3 Equipment	flight preparation".	8. Not accepted. Too detailed, inspector should already have		
Awareness of different design philosophies of A/C systems (BITE, message dis-	1 1 1 1 2 2	, ,		
plays/status)	tions manual. Proposal de- lete "Rokowodstwo" which is	this knowledge.		
Proper functioning (system test)	not an operations manual and			
GPWS - TAWS	in general in Russian so impos-			
General (basic principles)	sible to check for standard in-			
Forward looking terrain avoidance function (7-channel SRPBZ ICAO compliant)	spector, or other particularities			
Presence of the equipment	should also be addressed :			
Validity of GPWS database	manuals in Chinese , Arabic etc			
System test - passed	(5)[MS] A4 MANUALS : Opera-			
CIS built A/C systems (SSOS, SPPZ and SRPBZ)	tions manual. Proposal : Elec-			
	tronic Flight Bag : delete (
ACAS/TCAS II	build in) replace by ("class			
General (applicability and principles)	1 to 3"), as it stands for the			
Mode S transponder and ACAS II (general)	technical specification name of			
System test	an Electronic Flight bag.			
	(6)[MS] A6 RADIO NAVIGA-			
8.33 kHz radio channel spacing	TION CHARTS Proposal The			
Selection of an 8.33 kHz channel	dispositions of the ICAO, An-			
Presence of 6 or 5 digits (132.055 or 32.055) Astropy Via Gold 10 of the digital page.	nex 15 have to be taken into			
Letter Y in field 10 of the flight plan	account when training is deliv-			
RNAV – BRNAV - PRNAV	ered. Proposal: add "AIRAC			
General (applicability and principles)	cycle "			
Special authorisation	(7)[MS] A7 MEL. Proposal :			
Required equipment	delete "ExSovietbuilt aircraft:			
Flight planning and completion of the flight	Rokowodstwo content".			
and the same of th	(8)[MS] A7 MEL. Proposal :			
	Add: "critical chapters (OPS			
	requirements)"			
RVSM	` '= = '	9. Name will not be changes		
General (applicability and principles)		since the ops specs are always		
Special authorisation Provided Application The second seco	compliant with the news provi-			
 Required equipment Flight planning and completion of the flight 	sions of Annex 6 : -	Changed the bullet into "Content		
Fright planning and completion of the hight				
INPS	tional specifications", -	10. Accepted. Also changed the		
General (applicability and principles)	add :"content of specifica-	name into "Mass and Balance		
Special authorisation	tions".	calculation.		
Required equipment	(10)[MS] A14 Mass and Bal-			
Flight planning and completion of the flight	ance Sheet Proposal:	1		
	add : "Data available for crew	1		
	check", to check the compli-	by "Age limitations".		
	ance of the operations of the			
A4 Manuals	•	to the name, as well as a bullet		
Operation manual (structure) Algorith flight manual (structure)	§4.3.1(d).	with: "Composition of the crew		
Aircraft flight manual (structure) Compared Authority approval	` /= -	vs. the minimum required".		
Competent Authority approval Undate status	Torches. Proposal: change to			
Update status Ex-Soviet-built aircraft "Rukowodstwo" or RLE	"Number and position of re-			
Electronic flightb Bag(EFB class 1, 2 and 3)	quired electric torches."			
Content in relation to flight preparation	(12)[MS] A20 : Flight Crew Li-			
- Content in relation to mynt preparation	censes. Proposal: add: "age			
	limitations", to check the com-			
	pliance with ICAO, Annex 1,			
A5 Checklists	§2.1.10.1.			
Availability: within reach and update status				
 Compliance with operator procedures (normal, abnormal and emergency) 				

	Part-AR			
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref. and compliance
Appropriateness of checklist used (aircraft checklists)				phance
A/C system integrated checklists				
Ex Soviet-built aircraft issues (pilot's checklist and flight engineer's checklist)				
A6 Radio navigation/instrument charts				
Required charts (departure, en-route, destination and alternate):				
within reach and update status				
Validity of FMS database				
Electronic maps and charts				
The AIRAC Cycle				
A7 Minimum equipment list (MEL)				
Availability: approval and update status				
Content: MEL reflects installed equipments				
Ex-Soviet-built aircraft: "Rukowodstwo" content				
Relationship MEL/MASTER MEL				
CDL (configuration deviation list)				
A8 Certificate of Registration				
Availability and accuracy				
Original documents and certified copies acceptability				
Presence of mandatory information on the certificate:				
Identification plate (type – location)				
A9 Noise certificate				
Availability (if applicable)				
Multiple noise certification				
Approval status				
A10 AOC or equivalent				
Availability (original or certified copy) and accuracy				
Content in compliance with requirements/format Content of operational specifications				
A11 Radio (station) license				
Availability and accuracy				
Original documents and certified copies acceptability				
A12 Certificate of Airworthiness (C of A)				
Format of Certificate of Airworthiness				
Original documents and certified copies acceptability				
Presence, accuracy and validity				
A13 Flight preparation				
Presence and accuracy of operational flight plan				
Performance calculations				
Proper fuel calculation and monitoring Chapter to a print and the print of th				
Special considerations for ETOPS operations Availability and undate of metagralagical information.				
 Availability and update of meteorological information Availability and update of NOTAMS 				
Availability and update of NOTAMS				
A14 Mass and balance calculation				
Availability and accuracy				
Data available for a verification by crew				
A15 Hand fire extinguishers				
Validity, access and locations				
Mounting				
• Types				

		Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
					phance
A16 Life jackets/flotation devices					
Validity, access and locations					
Applicability					
A17 Harness					
Presence (and usage)					
Availability for all flight crew members					
 Requirements for different crew positions Conditions (wearing) 					
A18 Oxygen equipment					
Presence, access and condition					
Oxygen cylinder pressure					
Minimum required according to the OPS manual. (In case of low pressure)					
 Operational functional check of the combined oxygen and communication 	n system (crew)				
A19 Independent Portable light					
 Number of required Independent Portable light (day/night) 					
Condition, serviceability and access					
 A20 Flight crew licences Validity of crew licences and appropriate ratings 					
Validation of foreign licences					
Validity of Medical Certificate					
Special medical conditions (spare glasses, etc.)					
Age limitations					
Minimum crew requirements					
A21 Journey Logbook					
Content of journey logbook (recommendation/roman numerals)					
Examples of journey logbooks					
A22 Maintenance Release					
Applicable requirements and duties of the PIC/ commander					
A23 Defect notification and rectification(incl. Tech Log)					
 Defects notification Cross check with MEL 					
History of defects/notification (incl. hold item list)					
A24 Pre-flight Inspection Applicable requirements and duties of the PIC					
MODULE (B)		(1)[IND] Comments tune or	1 Accepted		
		(1)[IND] Comment : typo error in the first bullet of item B2	1. Accepted		
a. Ramp inspection items (b)		"Cabin Crew Stations and Crew Rest Area", close bracket after			
	Objectives:	word "hazard".			
B1 General internal condition	Trainees should possess the relevant				
General condition	knowledge enabling them to inspect each item.				
Safety and survival equipment					

	Pa	art-AR		4.0	ct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
Design and construction					phanee
B2 Cabin Crew Stations and Crew Rest Area Cabin crew seats (number, material/fire resistant and condition, upright position/safety hazard) Equipment B3 First aid kit/emergency medical kit Recommendation on contents (validity) Locations of kits Adequacy Readily/access Identifications/markings/seals					
 B4 Hand Fire Extinguishers Validity, access and locations Mounting Types 					
 B5 Life jackets/flotation devices Validity, access and locations Applicability Different models of jackets and/or flotation devices on-board Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 					
B6 Seat belt and seat condition Seats and belts (material/condition/installation) Portable light (cabin crew) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) Opening assistance systems					
B7 Emergency exit, lighting and marking, Independent Port • Evacuation signs • Lighting and marking (passenger compartment) Independent	able light				
B8 Slides/Life-rafts/ELT's Slides/rafts general (locations, types) Serviceability - pressure gauge/green band Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) ELT (general/types/location)					
 B9 Oxygen Supply (Cabin Crew and Passengers) Oxygen supply: cylinders and generators Serviceability - pressure gauge/green band Models/A/C types Dropout panels/storage of masks 					
B10 Safety instructions • Availability and accuracy					

		Part-AR		4	Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (A/C type) Refuelling with passengers on-board (crew positions					phance
B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Obstructions Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)					
 B13 Stowage of passenger baggage's (cabin luggage) Proper storage (size, weight and number) Safety risks 					
 B14 Seat capacity Numbers of seats (A/C type) Max number of passengers (A/C type) MODULE (C) 					
RAMP INSPECTION ITEMS (C)		(1)[MS] concerned C2 Doors and hatches. Proposal : add: "opening assistance systems".	1. This is added to B07 Emergency exits.		
C1 General External Condition	Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each item.				

	Part-AR		1	Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
 Condition and possible damages, corrosion and loose parts Strut (and tilt cylinder) pressure 				
C6 Wheel well Condition and possible damages, corrosion, leaks and loose parts				
 C7 Powerplant and pylon Cowlings, cowling doors and blow-out doors Condition and possible damages, corrosion, leaks and loose parts Pylon, pylon doors ,blow-out panels and missing rivets Condition and possible damages, corrosion, leaks and loose parts Reversers' condition 				
 C8 Fan blades, propellers, rotors Types of fan blades/propellers/rotors Foreign object damages (FOD), (dents, nicks, blade bending) De-icing (boots and heating elements) 				
C90bvious repairs • Obvious repairs/maintenance release, Technical log,				
C1.0 Obvious unprepared damage Damages/missing maintenance release, technical log, Assessment of damage C11 Leakage Obvious leakage, Technical log, Types and assessment of leakage Toilet leaks/blue ice etc.				
MODULE (D) Ramp inspections items (D) D1 General condition of cargo compartment • Structures, wall panels, wall sealing Objectives: Trainees should possess the relevant knowledge enabling them to inspect each item.	D1, replace "sys" with "systems". (2) [MS] D2 fifth bullet point.	2. and 3. The trainee should be reminded to check if any CAO DG is on board. Wording changed. 4. Accepted. Deleted "special authorisation" since this is part of A10 (AOC).		

A: Rule B: Summary of comments C: Response D: Source ref. and E: compliance an		P	Part-AR		4.7	Oct 2010
Fire detection & extinguishing systems Blow-out panels Genet Gomet Containers Containers Loading instructions/door instructions Damages D	: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
Notification to the pilot-in-command/commander Segregation and accessibility Packaging and labelling Limitations/restrictions (cargo aircraft) goods) D3 Cargo stowage Loading instructions (placards, wall markings) Flight kit (secured) Pallets, nets, straps, containers (secured) Loading limitations (weight, size and height) E1 General All the general items which may have a direct relation with the safety of the aircraft or its occupants AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i) SYLLABUS OF PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR RAMP INSPECTORS	 Blow-out panels 9G-net Containers Loading instructions/door instructions 		(4)[MS] Comment : Ramp inspection items do not reflect items to be checked on page 53 of NPA. Proposed Text : Align items with those specified			pliance
Loading instructions (placards, wall markings) Flight kit (secured) Pallets, nets, straps, containers (secured) Loading limitations (weight, size and height) E1 General All the general items which may have a direct relation with the safety of the aircraft or its occupants AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i) SYLLABUS OF PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR RAMP INSPECTORS	 Notification to the pilot-in-command/commander Segregation and accessibility Packaging and labelling 					
All the general items which may have a direct relation with the safety of the aircraft or its occupants AMC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i) SYLLABUS OF PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR RAMP INSPECTORS	 Loading instructions (placards, wall markings) Flight kit (secured) Pallets, nets, straps, containers (secured) 					
SYLLABUS OF PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR RAMP INSPECTORS	All the general items which may have a direct					
SYLLABUS OF PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR RAMP INSPECTORS						
	MC2-AR.GEN.430(b)(2)(i)					
INITIAL (PRACTICAL) TRAINING COURSE	YLLABUS OF PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR RAMP INSPECTORS					
	NITIAL (PRACTICAL) TRAINING COURSE					
- Module (A): Flight deck inspection items	Module (A): Flight deck inspection items					
- Module (B): Cabin safety inspection items	Module (B): Cabin safety inspection items					
- Module (C): Aircraft condition inspection items	Module (C): Aircraft condition inspection items					
- Module (D): Cargo inspection items	Module (D): Cargo inspection items					

					Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	E: ICAO re
				compliance	and com- pliance
					phance
			1. Accepted.		
MODULE A (Flight deck)			2. Not accepted. Comment not		
A1 General condition (of flight crew compartment)	Objectives:	(1)[IND] Third bullet of item			
Security/reinforced door (how to recognise)	Trainees should be able to use their technical knowledge	A1, reads: "C/Bs/different lo-			
Reinforced flight crew compartmentdoor installations/locking functions (with	and ramp inspection tech-	cations (recognise pulled/popped)/". Proposal :	4. Accepted.		
a real example)	niques in a satisfactory man- ner during the subsequent		6. Not accepted. The trainee		
 C/Bs/circuit breakers (recognise pulled/popped)/ 	on-the-job training	clear.	should be made aware that the		
 Crew seats/serviceability (functions of seats/manual – electrical) 			Russian equivalent of the OM is		
Examples of storage of flight cases and crew luggage (possible safety haz-		(2)[MS] Module A - Ramp In-	,		
ards)			requirements. Without knowledge of the Russian language		
Check cleanness of flight crew compartment			this could be verified by asking:		
A2 Emergency exit (Flight crew compartment)		of baggage " by "securisation			
Recognise easy access (no blockings)		of interior equipment" as there			
Escape ropes (check if secured)		is no standard in ICAO regard-			
Escape ropes (check ii secureu)		ing the securisation of luggage			
A3 Equipment		items in the cockpit. (3) [MS] A2 Emergency Exit			
		(cockpit). Proposal : delete			
GPWS-TAWS:		"escape through avionic bay",			
GPWS, locate instruments in cockpit		which is not an emergency			
 Aural warning test demonstrating: Sounds/display patterns 		exit.			
 Recognise CIS-built A/C systems (if possible): SSOS – SPPZ – SRPBZ 		(4)[MS] A4 Manuals AFM, Pro- posal: delete "recognise accu-			
		racy".			
ACAS/TCAS II		(5)[MS] A4 Manuals. Com-			
Locate instruments in cockpit		ment: It should be underlined			
 Mode S transponder and ACAS II (locate and check the model) 		that most of the time, the AFM			
System warning test/indications		does not need to be used as the airline has, in compliance			
8.33 kHz radio channel spacing		with ICAO standards, to have a			
Indication in the flight plan (examples)		Operations Manual that en-	I		
 How to check real channel spacing during the inspection (performed with 		compasses more data and pro-			
real radios or approved training devices)		cedures than the AFM. (6) [MS] A7 MEL. Proposal :			
		Delete "Rukowodstwo".			
A4Manuals (flight manuals only)		(7) [MS] A20 : Flight Crew Li-			
 Operations manual: (content/handling exercise) 		censes. Proposal: add:			
Aircraft flight manual (examples)		"change last line to more gen-			
 Electronic manuals (lap-tops)/integrated systems. 		eral: age limitations". Dispositions of ICAO, Annex 1.			
Í		LIGHS OF ICAO, ATTREX 1.			
• A5Checklists					
	handbook"				
Check validity normal-, abnormal-, emergency checklists and "Quick reference Magning of "available" (within reach (case study) examples)	папаловк				
Meaning of "available"/within reach (case study/ examples) A/C available available (demonstration of available)					
A/C sys integrated checklists (demonstration of system) Coviet built A/C shouldists (magazing (system))					
 Ex-Soviet-built A/C checklists (recognise/examples) 					
A6Radio navigation/instrumentcharts					
Check the covering of charts					

	Part-AR			
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and compliance
En-route and instruments approach charts (view examples)				pilanee
Locations in the flight crew compartment				
Electronic maps and charts (examples)				
Check updating markings of the charts and folders.				
FMS navigation data-base (check the "INIT" page for validity)				
A7Minimum equipment list (MEL)				
Check the deferred defects are in accordance with the MEL instructions				
Inspect MEL according the current MMEL				
Approval (check)				
"Rukowodstwo" (examples)				
A8Certificate of Registration (CoR)				
 Content and accuracy of the Certificate of Registration (various examples/check) 				
Requirements of certified true copy (examples of copies)				
Common location in the A/C				
Identification plate/show various locations in A/C				
A9Noise certificate				
Format of the noise certificate				
Content of noise certificate/approval/(check)				
A10Air Operator Certificate (AOC) or equivalent				
Format of the air operator certificate				
 Content and accuracy of AOC/approval (check compliance with the requirement) 				
Show location (A/C documents or door)				
A11Radio (station) licence				
Format of the radio station licence (examples)				
Show location (a/c documents or door)				
A12Certificate of Airworthiness (C of A)				
Check certificate and content (recognise standard form)				
Accuracy and validity (check)				
Show location (A/C documents or door)				
A13Flight preparation				
Check operational flight plan, proper filling and relevant documents				
 Proper fuel calculation and monitoring (demonstration of various examples) 				
NOTAMS/check validity (examples)				
 Weather information/available and within reach (demonstrate updated reports/examples) 				
A14Mass and balance calculation				
Check examples of different type weight and balance sheets/A/C types (manual and computerised)				
A15 Hand fire extinguishers				
Locations/access (flight crew compartment visit)				
Condition and pressure gauge				
Familiarise with different date markings (inspection date or expiry date)				
Mountings (review examples)				
Types (review examples)				

	Pa	ert-AR		10	oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
A16Life Jackets/flotation devices					phance
• Locations					
Familiarise with date markings					
Extra raft location in flight crew compartment(installation, pressure gauge)					
Excita rate location in highe crew comparement (motalisation) pressure gauge)					
A17Harness					
Worn out (examples)					
Locks (common problems)					
A180xygen equipment					
Storage of masks (Quick Donning/Balloon)					
Pressure gauge (check green band)					
Radio boom – mask check					
A19Independent Portable light					
• Locations					
Operational check					
A20Flight crew licences					
• Licenses of personnel:					
- Endorsement of certificates and licenses					
- Validity of endorsed certificates and licenses					
- Language proficiency					
- Medical Certificate (Spare glasses etc.)					
- Validity of licenses					
Aeroplane flight crew:Composition of the flight crew					
- Age limitations					
A21Journey logbook					
Content of journey log book (check markings and comply with the requirement)					
Responsibility of signing log book (example)					
A22 Maintenance release					
Aeroplane maintenance (maintenance record)					
Maintenance release, general (checkmark or sign)					
Relevant release for service (examples)					
A23Defect notification and rectification (incl. Tech Log)					
Open defects Ulitary of defeats (in al. Held items list)					
History of defects (incl. Hold item list)					
A24Pre-flight inspection					
 Pre-flight inspection sheet and journey logbook (presence and signed off) 					
		(1)[IND] Comment : the ob-	1. Accepted. (change still to be		
MODULE B (Cabin Safety)		jectives should be included in a	done)		
	Objectives:	box, for consistency. Pro- posal : add a line to define a	2. Accepted.		
22 Constanting Containing (Casin)	Trainees should be able	tboksor 'Objectives".			
• Docign and construction (familiarise with different type cabins)	their technical knowledg	e and			
	ramp inspection techniqu				
	satisfactory manner duri subsequent on-the-job tra				
System design reductes.	Sansequent on-the-job th	(2)[MS] Module B3 First Aid Kit			

	Part-AR			4.0	ct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summ	ary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
 Recognise right materials (Cabin visit) Lavatory smoke detection system/Cabin visit for the locations Built-in fire extinguisher system for each receptacle intended for disposal of towels, paper or waste (how to check extinguishers)/Cabin visit for the locations Check that normal and abnormal duties by cabin crew may be performed without hindrance (Guided tour in cabin for demonstration of duties) 	quacy ne Proposed 'adequacy with 'Cor	t: The term "ade- eeds to be clarified. I Text: Substitute (how to determine)' offirmation that con- atch the relevant			phanee
 B2 Cabin crew stations and crew rest area Cabin crew seats (cabin visit for number, material and condition) Cabin crew seats upright position (case study/ recognise safety hazard) Familiarise with problems with belt wearing and fast locks Familiarise with seat attachment to the floor or wall Easy access to emergency equipments (cabin visit for locations and condition) 					
B3 First aid kit/emergency medical kit Cabin visit for locations (readily/access) Adequacy (how to determine) Confirmation that contents match the relevant checklist Identifications/markings/seals (examples) B4 Hand fire extinguishers Cabin visit for locations (readily/access) Checking serviceability					
B5 Life jackets/flotation devices • Different models of life- jackets and flotation devices • Instructions for passengers • Condition and serviceability					
 B6 Seat belt and seat condition Seat belt material/condition (examples) Recognise common problems with fast locks Recognise common problems with seat belt wearing Installation of seat belts (hazard to block evacuation) Extra belts (locations) Passenger seats (number and condition) Passenger seat materials/fire resistant (recognise right materials) Seat attach to the cabin floor (how to check) 					
 B7 Emergency Exit, Lighting and Marking, Independent Portable light Lighting and marking (cabin visit for locations and condition) Condition and serviceability of exits Instructions for passengers Availability, serviceability and easy access of Independent Portable light 					

B8 Slides/Life-rafts/ELT's Slides/rafts general (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check pressure gauge and recognise green band Recognise condition of slides and rafts and familiarise with expiry date markings Emergency locator transmitter (ELT) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Automatic fixed ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types)	nmary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
Check pressure gauge and recognise green band Recognise condition of slides and rafts and familiarise with expiry date markings Emergency locator transmitter (ELT) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Automatic fixed ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)				
 Slides/rafts general (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check pressure gauge and recognise green band Recognise condition of slides and rafts and familiarise with expiry date markings Emergency locator transmitter (ELT) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Automatic fixed ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Check oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability Storage of masks/serviceability The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions Content of instructions Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
Check pressure gauge and recognise green band Recognise condition of slides and rafts and familiarise with expiry date markings Emergency locator transmitter (ELT) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Automatic fixed ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)				
 Emergency locator transmitter (ELT) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Automatic fixed ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Automatic fixed ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Automatic portable ELT (examples/how to recognise) Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
Automatic deployable ELT (examples/how to recognise) B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)				
B9 Oxygen supply (cabin crew and passengers) Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)				
 Check oxygen supply (cylinders and generators) (cabin visit for locations and condition) Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Check the cylinder pressure gauge and recognise green band Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Dropout panels (cabin visit for locations and condition) Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Storage of masks/serviceability B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
B10 Safety instructions The meaning of available (within reach) The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)				
 The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 The meaning of accuracy/A/C types (recognise difference in instructions) Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Content of instructions B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 B11 Cabin crew members Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Appropriate number of cabin crew (how to check) Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Refuelling with passengers on-board (check cabin crew positions) Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Cabin crew member's type training document (familiarise with different types) B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 B12 Access to emergency exits Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
 Number and location of exits Different models and sizes (A/C type) Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration) 				
Instructions for passengers (written and demonstration)				
Obstructions (requirement on the projected opening)				
B13 Stowageof passenger baggage (cabin luggage)				
Recognise proper storage (size, weight and number)				
Familiarise and recognise safety risks (case study)				
B14 Seat capacity				
Max number of passengers according to the cabin configuration				
Compare the numbers of passanger and the number of semilerable seets				
Compare the numbers of passenger and the number of serviceable seats Interrelation with other inspection items, maximum number of passengers influenced by R6 (inch.)				
Interrelation with other inspection items: maximum number of passengers influenced by: B6 (inoperative seat) and/or B7 (inoperative exit)				
3.MODULE C (aircraft condition) brakes. miliariz	C4 Wheels, tyres and Proposal: - add: fa-			
manual	ze with Maintenance			

A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO re and com-
				Compliance	pliance
	Objectives:				
C1 General external condition	Trainees should be able to utechnical knowledge and ramption techniques in a satisfactory during the subsequent on-the-j	inspec- manner			
Recognise presence of ice, snow and frost	ing				
 Condition of paint (familiarise when loose of painting is problem) 					
Recognise legibility of aircraft's markings (registration)					
 Corrosion (familiarise and recognise different corrosion types) 					
Cleanliness and contamination of fuselage and wings (familiarise and recognise)					
Windshields (recognise delaminating)					
Windows (recognise damages and problems)					
 Exterior lights (landing lights, NAV-lights, strobes, beacon, etc.) (check the condition) 					
Recognise marks of lightning strike					
2 Doors and hatches					
Familiarise with different door types/structures (aircraft visit for locations)					
 Cockpit indications of doors (flight crew compartment visit) 					
Familiarise with markings and placards of doors					
 Operating instructions of doors (recognise hazards if lack of markings) 					
 Recognise normal condition and possible damages/loosing parts 					
3 Flight controls					
 Condition and possible damages, corrosion and loose parts 					
Recognise marks of lightning strike					
Familiarise with static dischargers (recognise when missing)					
Recognise possible defects and damages					
24 Wheels, tyres and brakes					
Familiarise with different tyre models					
Familiarise with different brake assemblies					
Familiarise with maintenance manual limits					
 Recognise brake wearing indicator "pin" (examples/locations) 					
 Recognise normal condition and possible damages, leaking and loose parts 					
Tyre wear/Tyre pressure (check)					
C5 Undercarriage					
Condition and possible damages, corrosion and loose parts					
Proper strut (and tilt cylinder pressure) A lubrication (was a piece of lubrication)					
Lubrication (recognise signs of lubrication)					
Familiarise with marking placards					
Recognise bonding wires Passible defeats and damages.					
Possible defects and damages					
6 Wheel well					
Condition and possible damages, corrosion and loose parts					
Lubrication (recognise signs of lubrication)					
Familiarise with marking placards					
Recognise bonding wires					
Possible defects and damages					

Part-AR					
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance	
C7 Powerplant and pylon				рнансе	
Powerplants (type of engines)					
Cowlings, cowling doors and blow-out doors					
Leaks (hydraulic, fuel, oil)					
Condition and possible damages, corrosion, leaks and loose parts					
Recognise engine sensors (condition)					
Possible defects and damages					
 Pylon (types of pylons) - Recognise pylon doors, panels and blow-out panels and loose rivets - bolts 					
Reverser's condition (broken hinges and proper closure)					
C8 Fan blades, propellers, rotors					
Typical foreign object damages (FOD), (examples of dents, nicks and blade bending)					
Recognise looseness of blades in hub					
 Ppossible defects and damages (familiarise with procedures related to compliance with engine maintenance manual) 					
Check de-icing boots					
•					
C9 Obvious repairs					
Recognise obvious repairs (examples)					
Maintenance release/technical log					
C10 Obvious unrepaired damage					
Recognise obvious damages (examples)					
Damages/maintenance release/technical log					
Recognise assessment of damage (examples)					
C11 Leakage					
Fluid leaks outside of limits (examples fuel, hydraulic, oil)					
Obvious leak: check the maintenance release, technical log					
Recognise toilet leaks (blue ice examples)					
Recognise de-icing fluids on the A/C (aircraft visit for locations) MODULE D (Cargo)					
	(1) [MS] D2 Comment : "As-	1.Not accepted: ICAO refers to			
D1 General Condition of cargo compartment	sessing the scope of the au-	"special authorisations" (please			
Cargo compartment (aircraft visit for locations)	thorisation (different classes)"	see Appendix 6 to Annex 6). These authorisations may also			
Check wall panels	is inappropriate. Proposed Text : Delete ""Assessing the	have "Specific approvals". There			
Recognise wall sealing	scope of the authorisation (dif-	is no ICAO requirement prevent-			
Familiarise with A/C systems in cargo compartment:	ferent classes)".	ing the restriction of carriage of			
- Fire containment, detection and extinguishing systems		dangerous goods by air.			
- Ventilation					
- Ventination - Heating					
- Loading systems (rollers)					
- Lighting					
Recognise blow-out panels The state of					
Familiarise with 9-G-net					
Cargo restraining devices					
Check cargo door sealing for ETOPS					
Containers					

<u> </u>		4 Oct 2010		
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
 Loading instructions/door instructions Damages in cargo compartment Recognise obvious repairs in cargo compartment 				phanec
 D2 Dangerous goods (DG) How to recognise the special authorisation to transport DG Assessing the scope of the authorisation (different classes) NOTOC (format and content) Segregation and accessibility Examples of packaging and labelling of DG Identifying limitations and restrictions for certain (sub)classes of DG Identification and removal of contamination with DG 				
 D3 Secure cargo stowage Cargo bay (guided visit for locations) Loading instructions (placards, wall markings/tidiness) Familiarise with flight kit/spare wheel (secured) Familiarise with pallets, nets, straps, containers (secured) Recognising loading limits (weight and height) 				
AMC 1-AR.GEN.430(b)(3) Qualification of ramp inspectors		After RG: GM has been upgraded to AMC		
RECURRENT TRAINING				
1. Once qualified, ramp inspectors should undergo recurrent training in order to be kept up-to-date.				
2.A competent authority should ensure that all ramp inspectors undergo recurrent training at least once every three years after being qualified as ramp inspectors or when deemed necessary by the competent authority or the Agency, e.g. after major changes in the inspection procedures. The Agency will inform the competent authority of such necessity	lows: "An inspecting authority should ensure that all ramp inspectors undergo recurrent			
3. Recurrent training should be delivered by a competent authority or by an approved training organisation.				
4. The recurrent training should cover at least the following elements:				
a. new regulatory and procedural developments;				
b. new operational practices;				
c. articulation review of other European processes and regulations(list of banned operators or aircraft pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2111/2005, authorisation of third-country op-				

B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	t 2010 E: ICAO ref.
		compliance	and com-
			ришнее
	Review Group comment: Two sets of standards exist for SAFA and SACA. Regency requirements should reflect the existence of these two sets. Currency should be for both sets of requirements.		
-	Response: noted		
-			
change: "If an inspector lost his/her qualification as a result of not reaching the minimum number of inspections mentioned in (1), he/she may be re-qualified by the inspecting authority by performing at least 6 inspections under the	tions will be raised to 4 inspections within 2 months. Group comment: Four seems excessive just to regain currency. Text has been modified. GM is upgraded to AMC.		
÷-			
[IA] Proposal : to transfer this provision to AMC.	GM is upgraded to AMC.	6.1 Annex II to Directive 2008/49/EC	
r	change: "If an inspector lost his/her qualification as a result of not reaching the minimum number of inspections mentioned in (1), he/she may be re-qualified by the inspecting authority by performing at least 6 inspections under the supervision of a senior inspector." C- C- [IA] Proposal: to transfer this	Two sets of standards exist for SAFA and SACA. Regency requirements should reflect the existence of these two sets. Currency should be for both sets of requirements. Response: noted The minimum number of inspections will be raised to 4 inspections within 2 months. Group comment: Four seems excessive just to regain currency. Text has been modified. GM is upgraded to AMC. Group comment: Four seems excessive fust or regain currency. Text has been modified. GM is upgraded to AMC. GM is upgraded to AMC.	Two sets of standards exist for SAFA and SACA. Regency requirements should reflect the existence of these two sets. Currency should be for both sets of requirements. Response: noted The minimum number of inspections will be raised to 4 inspections within 2 months. Group comment: Four seems excessive just to requilified by the inspecting authority by performing at least 6 inspections under the supervision of a senior inspector." Certain Troposal: to transfer this GM is upgraded to AMC. GM is upgraded to AMC. GM is upgraded to AMC. 6.1 Annex II to Directive

	Part-AR				
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
CONE 1.	DUCT OF RAMP INSPECTIONS Ramp inspections should be performed by inspectors possessing the necessary knowledge relevant to the area of inspection whereby technical, airworthiness and operational knowledge must be represented in case all items of the checklist are being verified. When a ramp inspection is performed by two or more inspectors, the main elements of the inspection - the visual inspection of the aircraft exterior, the inspection in the flight deck and the inspection of the passenger cabin and/or cargo compartments - may be divided among the inspectors, according				
2.	to their privileges granted in accordance with AR.GEN.430. An inspecting authority should put in place appropriate procedures to allow them unrestricted access to the aircraft to be inspected. In this respect ramp inspectors should possess adequate credentials.				
3.	Inspectors should identify themselves to pilot–in-command/commander of the aircraft or, in his/her absence, to a member of the flight crew or to the most senior representative of the operator prior to commencing the on-board part of their ramp inspection. When it is not possible to inform any representative of the operator or when there is no such representative present in or near the aircraft, the general principle should be not to perform a ramp inspection. In special circumstances it may be decided to perform a ramp inspection but this should be limited to a visual check of the aircraft exterior.		Not accepted: the common practice is indeed to identify to the Pilot in command. Only in his/her absence the inspectors have an alternative available. This was introduced to cater for cases when the crew was not available (e.g. during long turnaround with crew change)		
4.	The inspection should be as comprehensive as possible within the time and resources available. This means that if only a limited amount of time or resources is available, not all inspection items but a reduced number may be verified. According to the time and resources available for a ramp inspection, the items that are tobe inspected should be selected accordingly in conformity with the objectives of the ramp inspection programme. Items not being inspected may be inspected during a next inspection.				
5.	Inspectors should show tact and diplomacy when performing a ramp inspection. A certain amount of inconvenience to flight and cabin crews, handling agents and other personnel involved in ground handling activities may arise but inspectors should try to reduce it to the minimum. Unnecessary contact with passengers should be avoided.				
		[MS] Comment: In order to be consistent with the current SAFA procedures well implemented, the dispositions of this paragraph should be completed with the acted dispositions of the Guidance Material published by the EASA on the SAFA ramp inspection procedures. Proposal: add the following precisions: "Any unnecessary contact with passengers should be avoided; however, to be able to inspect certain elements in the cabin this may be justified".			
6.	Ramp inspectors should not open any hatches, doors or panels themselves nor should they operate or interfere with any aircraft controls or equipment. When such actions are required for the scope of the inspection, the ramp inspectors should request the assistance of the operator's personnel (flight crew, cabin crew, ground crew).	[MS] Proposal : change to: "Except in the passenger cabin for safety equipment inspection, ramp inspectors should not open any hatches, doors or panels themselves nor will they operate or interfere with any aircraft controls or equipment."	Only with the prior agreement of the crew. One phrase will be added: "Notwithstanding the above, when inspecting the safety equipment in the passenger cabin the inspector may open doors or panels giving access to the said equipment provided he/she has requested and obtained the agreement of the		

A: R		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and	4 Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref.
A: K	uie	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	compliance	and com- pliance
			crew".		pliance
7.	The items to be inspected should be selected from the ramp inspection checklist (see Appendix 3). The ramp inspection checklist contains a total of 54 items. Of these, 24 relate to operational requirements (A-items) to be checked on the flight deck, 14 items address safety and cabin items (B-items), 12 items are concerning the aircraft condition (C-items) and three items (D-items) are related to the inspection of cargo (including dangerous goods) and the cargo compartment. In case of any general inspection items not addressed by the other items of the checklist, they may be administered by the E-item (General) of the checklist.	[MS] Comment : the reference to appendix 1 is wrong. The correct reference is appendix 3.	Agreed: Text will be changed to indicate the correct reference		
8.	Items which have been inspected as well as any possible findings and observations will be recorded in the Ramp Inspections Report (see Appendix 3).				
9.	AR.GEN.435(c) requires that the operator is informed about the results of every ramp inspection by providing itwith a copy of the Proof of Inspection (see Appendix 2). A signed acknowledgement of receipt should be requested from the recipient and retained by the inspector. Refusal by the recipient to sign should be recorded in the document.	[MS] Comment: Wrong reference: AR.GEN.425(f) doesn't exist.	Accepted: The reference will be changed to AR.GEN.435(c)		
		1. [MS] Comment: "to be determined": When? 2. [MS] Comment: As far as ramp inspection on TCO are concerned, this AMC should be consistent with dispositions of the §3 of the Guidance Material published by the EASA on the SAFA ramp inspection procedures from July 2009.	Answer: before the publication of the Opinion. Accepted: AMC1 was "mapped" to include procedures for conducting ramp inspections on TCO, which now are included in the EASA SAFA Guidance Material. However, the		
		1. [MS] Comment : "to be determined": When? 2. [MS] Comment : As far as ramp inspection on TCO are concerned, this AMC should be consistent with dispositions of the §3 of the Guidance Material published by the EASA on the SAFA ramp inspection procedures from July 2009.	Answer: After the publication of the opinions on the relevant parts rules. Not accepted: AMC2 was "mapped" to include procedures for conducting ramp inspections on EU operators. Such procedures require the existence of applicable EU standards		
UNR The med lays lar a	EASONABLE DELAY inspector(s) intending to conduct the ramp inspection should be able to start the inspection imiately. The inspector(s) should ensure that the inspection can be carried out expeditiously. Derelated to the availability of the inspector(s) or the necessary inspection documentation or simivoidable reasons of delay caused by the inspector(s), which are not directly related to safety, all be avoided without exception.	AR.GEN.435(c).Proposal: Replace "(e)" with "(c)", in the title, to read: "GM AR.GEN.435(c)". 2. [MS] Comment: This principle has been updated by a more relevant one, in SAFA ramp inspections procedures published by the EASA in July 2009. Proposal: Complete the proposed text by the following: "However, when an inspector discovers an issue which may have a major effect on flight	changed to indicate the correct reference 2.Accepted: Text to be modified as proposed Group comment: Should something be added to address taxi operators? Preferred to inspect them on arrival flight. Group suggested rewording the provision and deleting the second sentence. After RG: Key issue here is proper prepa-		

	art-AR			4 Oct 2010
A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref and com- pliance
		deleted		рпапсе
	inspections on TCO are concerned, this AMC should be consistent with dispositions of the Appendix 1 of the Guidance	Accepted: GM1will contain the set pre-described findings included in the Appendix 1 to the EASA SAFA Guidance Material. However, the SAFA Guidance Material will be subject of periodic revisions. A final version of the GM 1 will be published shortly before the finalisation of the Opinion.		
	[MS] Comment : As far as ramp inspections on TCO are concerned, this AMC should be consistent with dispositions of the Appendix 1 of the Guidance Material published by the EASA on the SAFA ramp inspection procedures from July 2009.	Note: This GM was "mapped" to include guidance on the categorisation of findings for inspections on EU operators. Since the rules applicable to EU operators (e.g. Part OPS) are currently being developed it is impossible to have this GM prior to the publication of the applicable EU rules.		
SUBPART OPS - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AIR OPERATIONS				
SECTION I - CERTIFICATION OF COMMERCIAL AIR OPERATORS				
AMC1-AR.OPS.100 Issue of the air operator certificate DEMONSTRATION FLIGHTS In order to verify compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority may require the conduct of one or more demonstration flights, operated as if they were commercial air transport		This AMC has been added to align it with the EU-OPS requirement in Subpart C	EU.OPS.1.80(c)	
flights.		This GM on dry lease-out clari-		
GM1-AR.OPS.110 Lease agreements DRY LEASE-OUT The purpose of the requirement for the competent authority to ensure proper coordination with the authority that is responsible for the oversight of the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003 is to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to allow: - the transfer of regulatory oversight over the aircraft, if relevant; or - continued compliance of the aircraft with the requirements of Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003.		fies that the reference to Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003 has been added to ensure that either regulatory oversight of the aircraft is transferred to the State of the lessee or that the aircraft remains subject to the continued airworthiness requirements of Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003.		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
SECTION II - APPROVALS	(MS) section III instead of section IV			
AMC1-to AR.OPS.200 Specific approval procedure				
PROCEDURES FOR THE APPROVAL OF CARRIAGE OF DANGEROUS GOODS				
 When verifying compliance with the applicable requirements of SPA.DE.100, the competent authority should check that: the procedures specified in the operations manual are sufficient for the safe transport of dangerous goods; operations personnel are properly trained in accordance with the ICAO <i>Technical Instructions for the Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air</i> (ICAO Doc 9284-AN/905); and a reporting scheme is in place. 				
AMC2-AR.OPS.200 Specific approval procedure				
PROCEDURES FOR THE APPROVAL FOR reduced vertical separation minima (RVSM) OPERATIONS				
 When verifying compliance with the applicable requirements of SPA.RVSM, the competent authority should verify that: each aircraft holds an adequate RVSM airworthiness approval; procedures for monitoring and reporting height keeping errors have been established; a training programme for the flight crew involved in these operations has been established. operating procedures have been established. 	-	Point 1 has been brought in line with Part-SPA.		
		Point 2 has been transferred to AMC1-SPA.RVSM.105 RVSM operational approval		

		Ture 7 ii C			Oct 2010
A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
					piidiiee
2.	Demonstration flight(s). The content of the RVSM application may be sufficient to verify the aircraft performance and procedures. However, the final step of the approval process may require a demonstration flight. The competent authority may appoint an inspector for a flight in RVSM airspace to verify that all relevant procedures are applied effectively. If the performance is satisfactory, operation in RVSM airspace may be permitted.				
3.	Form of approval documents. Each aircraft group for which the operator is granted approval will be listed in the approval.				
4.	Airspace monitoring. For airspace, where a numerical target level of safety is prescribed, monitoring of aircraft height keeping performance in the airspace by an independent height monitoring system is necessary to verify that the prescribed level of safety is being achieved. However, an independent monitoring check of an aircraft is not a prerequisite for the grant of an RVSM approval.				
	a. Suspension, revocation and reinstatement of RVSM approval The incidence of height keeping errors that can be tolerated in an RVSM environment is small. It is expected of each operator to take immediate action to rectify the conditions that cause an error. The operator should report an occurrence involving poor height keeping to the competent authority within 72 hours. The report should include an initial analysis of causal factors and measures taken to prevent repeat occurrences. The need for follow-up reports will be determined by the competent authority. Occurrences that should be reported and investigated are errors of: i. total vertical error (TVE) equal to or greater than ±90 m (±300 ft); ii. altimeter system error (ASE) equal to or greater than ±75 m (±245 ft); and iii. assigned altitude deviation equal to or greater than ±90 m (±300 ft).				
	Height keeping errors fall into two broad categories: - errors caused by malfunction of aircraft equipment; and - operational errors.				
Note: 1	b. An operator that consistently experiences errors in either category will have approval for RVSM operations suspended or revoked. If a problem is identified which is related to one specific aircraft type, then RVSM approval may be suspended or revoked for that specific type within that operator's fleet. The tolerable level of collision risk in the airspace would be exceeded if an operator consistently experienced errors.				
	c. Operators' actions: The operator should make an effective, timely response to each height keeping error. The competent authority may consider suspending or revoking RVSM approval if the operator's responses to height keeping errors are not effective or timely. The competent authority shouldconsider the operator's past performance record in determining the action to be taken.				

	Pe	Part-AR			1 Oct 2010
A: Rule		B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
d.	Reinstatement of approval: The operator will need to satisfy the competent authority that the causes of height keeping errors are understood and have been eliminated and that the operator's RVSM programmes and procedures are effective. At its discretion and to restore confidence, the competent authority may require an independent height monitoring check of affected aircraft to be performed.				
GM1-AR.O	PS.205 Minimum equipment list approval				
EXTENSION	OF RECTIFICATION INTERVALS				
The compet intervals as established	tent authority should verify that the operator does not use the extension of rectification is a means to reduce or eliminate the need to rectify MEL defects in accordance with the category limit. The extension of rectification intervals will only be considered valid and when events beyond the operator's control have precluded rectification.	authority should verify that the operator does not use the extension of rectification intervals as a means to reduce or eliminate the need to rectify MEL defects in accordance with the established category limit. RIEs will only be considered valid and justifiable when events beyond the operator's control have precluded rectification. In these cases, the operator must apply for an authorization of the authority to implement a RIE" This GM should be transferred to AMC.	dressed in AR.OPS.205		
		tine and indirect approval scope expansion audits by authority is requested and AMC/GM to AR.OPS.305 should	Indirect approval is not allowed under EU-OPS and it is decided to follow the same philosophy.		
		CHECKEU.	FTL will be addressed in a separate Rulemaking task (OPS.055).		
			FTL will be addressed in a separate Rulemaking task (OPS.055).		
GM1-AR.O	PS.210 Local area		New GM added for new AR.OPS.210.		
The local ar	ea should reflect the local environment and operating conditions.				

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
SUBPART FCL - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO FLIGHT CREW LICENSING	Based on a general change of the numbering system for AMCs and the decision to in- troduce such a new system for the AMCs of all Parts the num- bering of the following AMCs had to be changed.			
	MS(6), INDIV(1)			
	light that the objectives of those paragraphs are unclear. They question what is expected from those statistics. Some comments propose to delete the AMC. b. One comment (I) points out that examinations for private pilot licences (done under the scope of an ATO approved for	b. Not accepted. The Agency does not agree and will not exempt examinations for private		
SECTION II -LICENCES, RATINGS AND CERTIFICATES				
AMC1AR.FCL.205Monitoring of examiners	MS(4), IA(2), INDIV(1)			
QUALIFICATION OF INSPECTORS				
Inspectors of the competent authority supervising examiners should ideally meet the same requirements as the examiners being supervised. However, it is unlikely that they could be so qualified on the large variety of types and tasks for which they have a responsibility and, since they normally only observe training and testing, it is acceptable if they are qualified for the role of an inspector.	that 2 seat aircraft are not	a. Noted. The Agency does not understand the meaning of this comment. The competent authority shall develop procedures		

A: Rule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
	requirements as flight examiners. c. One comment (I) points out that there is no provision for an appeal procedure. d. Three comments (MS) request deletion of the paragraph as far as AR.FCL 205 should be withdrawn. e. One comment (MS) proposes to delete the term	 b. Not accepted. The Agency does not agree on that position for the reason detailed in this paragraph. c. Accepted. FCL.1030 (b)(1) has been reworded and the right of applicants to appeal has been expanded. d. Accepted. Subpart K of Part-FCL has been redrafted and the monitoring of examiners has been clarified. e. Accepted. The term "exam- 		
SECTION III- THEORETICAL KNOWLEDGE EXAMINATIONS				
AMC1AR.FCL.300 Examination procedures	MS(14), IA(2), IND(2), INDIV(1)		JAA FCL JIP Chapter 10 Paragraph 10.	
GENERAL	most of the mentioned items should not be applicable to TK examinations for private licences. The comment proposes to develop different proposals.	The Agency does not agree with the statement that some of the issues might not be applicable to all kind of examinations as the mentioned criteria are valid for all kind of examinations mentioned in this Part.		
The competent authority should provide suitable facilities for the conduct of examinations.	to move this AMC to the requirement level	Accepted. The text was moved to AR.FCL.300 b. Not accepted. The wording		
The competent authority should provide suitable facilities for the conduct of examinations.	to move this AMC to the requirement level.	will be kept in AMC in order to provide some flexibility.		
The content of the examination papers should retain a confidential status until the end of the examination session.	to put this in the implementing rules. b. 11 comments (MS) on the issue of confidentiality request-	a. Not accepted. The text will be kept in AMC. b. This wording has been chosen on purpose because the authority can not prevent an applicant from discussing the contents of a TK examination they have seated. This is consistent with AMC1-AR.FCL.300 (new) paragraph 2 where no examination material is permitted to takeaway. The wording will be kept		

A: R	ule	B: Summary of comments	C: Response	D: Source ref. and compliance	E: ICAO ref. and com- pliance
			as this will leave an option for the applicant to discuss the re- sults or the contents of the ex- amination with the invigilator.		
3.	The identity of the applicant should be confirmed before an examination is taken.	One comment (MS) proposed to move this AMC to the requirement level.			
4.	Examination applicants should be seated in a way so that they cannot read each other's examination papers. They should not speak to any person other than the invigilators.	2 comments (MS) propose to move this AMC to the requirement level.	Not accepted. See responses above.		
5.	All examination papers, associated documents and additional papers handed out to the applicants for the examination should be handed back to the invigilator at the end of the examination.	See above.			
6.	Only the examination paper, specific documentation and tools needed for the examination should be available to the applicant during the examination.	Severalcomments (MS) mention that the data of the CQB should always be confidential. They propose a text change.	,		
7.	 Applicants may use the following equipment during an examination: a. a scientific, non-programmable, non-alphanumeric calculator without specific aviation functions; b. mechanical navigation slide-rule (DR calculator); c. protractor; d. compasses and dividers; and e. ruler. 				
8.	Applicants may use a translation dictionary at the discretion of the competent authority.				
9.	Except equipment specified above, applicant(s) should not use any electronic equipment during the examination(s).	b. Two comments (MS) propose to delete all the separately mentioned technical items and to replace it with the term "shall not use any electronic equipment other than" or the term "any recording or communication device".	b. The Agency agrees and will delete the separately mentioned items.		
АМС	1-AR.FCL.300(b)Examination procedures		a. Accepted. The Agency agrees and will correct the editorial mistakes accordingly. A total review of all the given numbers will be done at the final review stage taking into account the latest changes of the JAA Learning Objectives and the Central Question Bank.	JAA FCL JIP Chapter 10 Attachment 1	
		b. Three comments (MS) propose to put the content of AMC1 & AMC2 in the Implementing Rules.	b. Not accepted. The Agency is not of the opinion that these		

B: Summary of comments

C: Response

A: Rule

(14	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30 s 18:15	(14 e Duration 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30 18:15 1:00 XX 1:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 1:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 1:00 1:30 1:15 1:15	44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX 24 24 5 682	(i) (i) es- Dur tion (ii) (ii) (iii)	:45 :30 :00 :00 :45 :30 :30 :30 :30 :45 :30 :30 :45 :45 :45 XX :30 XX CPL(0:4!	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX 469 Subjectical questions	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 ATPL	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24 24 675 10 - AIF ledge examd displayed and d	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX XX	on of qu ATPL(H 0:45	(13 e Duration 0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX XX 1:00 0:45 XX XX XX XX X	Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX 475	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX 1:00 XX XX XX XX XX XX	H) SS- INS			
AT (14	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30 8 18:15	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30 18:15	Questions Questions	(i) es- Dur tion 4	(13 exaura- ion 145 130	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX 469 Subjectical questions	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 ATPL	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24 24 675 10 - AIF ledge examd displays and displays a	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX XX	33 80 60 25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX 608	(13 e Duration 0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX 1:00 0:45 XX XX 1:00 0:45 XX XX XX XX X	33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX 475	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX I:00 IR (A	H) SS- INS			
AT (14	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30 ATP	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30 18:15	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX 24 24 5 682	(i) (i) es- Dur tion (ii) (ii) (iii)	(13 exaura- ion 145 130	33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX 469 Subjectical potentical quotal quotal and a subjective an	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 0:30 1:00 XX 1:00 0:30 0:30 18:00 ATP	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24 24 675 10 - AIF ledge est and displayed and	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX XX 1:00 XX XX 1:00 XX X	33 80 60 25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX 608	(13 e Duration 0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 XX 1:00 0:00 XX 1:00 XX XX 1:00 XX XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX 475	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX I:00 IR (A	H) SS- INS			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00 082 XX 091 0:30 092 0:30 Totals 18:15	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 3:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30 0:30	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX 24 24 Exam	65) (1) es- Dur tion 4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	(13 exauration 13 exauration 145 130 130 130 145 130 130 145 130 130 145 130 130 145 130 130 145 130	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX 469 Subjectical questions	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 1	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24 24 675	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX XX 1:00 XX XX 1:00 XX X	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX 608	(13 e Duration 0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX XX 1:00 0:30 XX XX 1:00 0:30 XX XX 1:00 0:30 XX XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX 0:35	H) SS- INS			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00 082 XX 091 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	exams Questions	65) (1) es- Dur tion 4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X 88 0:4 4 1:3 60 0:3 66 0:3 65 0:4 4 0:4 X X 84 0:3 84 X 85 0:4 85 0:4 86 0:4 87 0:4 88 0:4 89 0:4 8	(13 exaura- ion 145 130	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX 469 Subjectica	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 0:30 0:30 1:00 1:00 0:30 0:3	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24 24 675	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:00 XX 1:00 XX 1:00 3:00 XX 1:00 XX II XX I	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX 608	(13 e Duration 0:45 1:30 1:00 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX 475	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX XX 1:00 XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	1)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00 082 XX 091 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX 24	65) (1) es- Dur tion 4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X 8 0:4 4 1:3 6 0:3 5 0:4 4 0:4 X X 32 12:4	(13 exaura- ion 145 130	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX 469	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 0:30 0:30 0:30 0:30 0:40 0:40 0:40 0	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24 24 675	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX 1:00 0:30 XX	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX 608	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX XX 1:00 XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	1)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00 082 XX 091 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX 24	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X XX 8 0:4 14 1:3 6 0:3 5 0:4 4 0:4 X XX 14 0:3	(13 example (13 ex	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 1	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX XX 1:00 XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	1)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00 082 XX 091 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX 24	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X XX 8 0:4 14 1:3 6 0:3 5 0:4 4 0:4 X XX 14 0:3	(13 example (13 ex	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24 XX	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 1	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24 XX	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30 XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24 XX	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX XX 1:00 XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	1)			
AT (14	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX 0:30	Questions Questions	65) (1) es- Dur tion 4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	(13 exaura- ion	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33 XX 24	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:00 XX	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44 24	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX 1:00 1:00 XX 1:00 0:30	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44 24	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:30 0:45 XX 1:00 0:30	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44 24	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX XX	H) PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:30 1:15 1:00 XX	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44 XX	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	(13 exauration 13 exauration 145 130 130 130 130 145	33 G0 40 25 25 33 XX 36 G3 45 22 30 33 XX	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX 44	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:00 XX 1:00 XX	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX 44	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 1:30 0:45 XX 1:00	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX 44	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX XX	() () () () () () () () () ()			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30 070 1:15 081 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15 1:00	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84 60 66 45 44	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X XX 8 0:4 1:3 0 0 1:3 6 0:3 5 0:4 4 0:4	(13 example (13 ex	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30 33	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38 XX	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 XX	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38 XX	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:30 0:45 XX	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30 XX	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX XX XX 1:30 XX XX 1:30 XX	1) 25- 25- 25- 26- 26- 26- 26- 26- 26- 26- 26- 26- 26			
AT (14	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:15	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30	Questions	65) (1) es- Dur tion 4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X 88 0:4 4 1:3 60 1:3 66 0:3 55 0:4	(13 exaura- ion	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22 30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30 1:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66 38	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:00 1:00	2xams) Questions 33 80 60 25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44 38	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 0:45 0:45	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22 30	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00 XX	H) Iss- Iss- I			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00 062 1:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30	Questions Questions	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X X 8 0:4 14 1:3 60 0:3	(13 exaura- ion :45 :30 :00 :00 :45 :30 :30 :30 :30	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45 22	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:30	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60 66	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:00 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:00	25 XX 33 35 48 84 60 44	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 0:30	xams) Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20 36 63 45 22	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX 1:00	H) PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00 061 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00 2:00	Questions	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X XX 8 0:4 1:3 0 1:3	(13 exauration 13 exauration 145 130	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63 45	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84 60	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 2:00 2:00	Questions 33 80 60 25 XX 33 35 48 84 60	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30 1:30	25 XX 33 20 36 63 45	0:45 XX 0:30 XX XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30 XX	H) PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX 040 1:00 050 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00 2:00	exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 35 43 XX 48 84	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X XX 8 0:4 1:3	(13 exauration 13 exauration 145 150	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36 63	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48 84	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00 1:00 2:00	Questions 33 80 60 25 XX 33 35 48 84	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45 1:30	25 XX 33 20 36 63	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45 1:30	1)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX 040 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 XX 1:00	Questions Questions	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X XX 8 0:4	(13 exaurra- ion :45 :30 :00 :00 :45 :30 :XX :45	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX 36	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35 48	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:00 1:00	2xams) Questions 33 80 60 25 XX 33 35 48	0:45 1:00 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45 0:45	25 XX 33 20 36	0:45 XX 0:30 XX 1:30 XX 0:45	H) SS- SS- SS- SS- SS- SS- SS- S			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00 034 XX	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:30	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 XX	Questions	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3 X X	(13 example (13 ex	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33 XX	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00 1:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43 35	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 1:00	Questions 33 80 60 25 XX 33 35	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30 0:45	Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33 20	0:45 XX 0:30 XX XX 1:30 XX	H) PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00 033 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:00 2:00 2:00	1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00 2:00	Questions	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4 3 1:3	(13 exauration 13 exauration 145 150	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25 33	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 2:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX 43	0:45 2:00 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30	Questions 33 80 60 25 XX 33	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX 1:30	Questions 33 60 40 25 XX 33	0:45 XX 0:30 XX XX 1:30	H)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00 032 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:00 1:00	Questions	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 0:4	(13 example (13 ex	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25 25	1:00 2:00 1:00 XX	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25 XX	0:45 2:00 1:00 XX	Questions 33 80 60 25 XX	0:45 1:30 1:00 XX	Questions 33 60 40 25 XX	O:45 XX O:30 XX XX	H) is- is- is- is- is- is- is- is- is- is			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00 021 2:00 022 1:30 031 1:00	1:00 2:00 1:30	1:00 2:00 1:00	Questions 44 80 60 25	s) (1) es- Dur tio 4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0 5 1:0	(13 exa ura- ion :45 :30 :00	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40 25	ATPL (14 e Duration 1:00 2:00 1:30 1:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60 25	0:45 2:00 1:30	Questions 33 80 60 25	0:45 1:30 1:00	Questions 33 60 40 25	O:45 XX O:30 XX	H) PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS- PS			
AT (14	1:00 2:00 1:30	1:00 2:00 1:30	Questions 44 80 60	4 0:4 0 1:3 0 1:0	(13 example) (13 example) (13 example) (13 example) (13 example) (14 example) (15 e	(A) ams) Questions 33 60 40	ATPL (14 e Duration 1:00 2:00 1:30	(H)/IR exams) Questions 44 80 60	0:45 2:00 1:30	Questions 33 80 60	0:45 1:30	Questions 33 60 40	0:45 XX 0:30	es- ns 3			
AT (14	1:00 2:00	(14 e Duration 1:00 2:00	Questions 44 80	s) (: es- ins tio 4 0:4 0 1:3	(13 exaura-ion :45 :30	Questions 33 60	ATPL (14 e Duration 1:00 2:00	(H)/IR exams) Questions	(13 e Duration 0:45 2:00	Questions 33 80	(13 e Dura- tion 0:45 1:30	Questions 33 60	O:45	H)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference* 010 1:00	AT (14 Duration	(14 e Dura- tion	exams) Questions	s) (1 es- ons tio	(13 exa	(A) ams) Ques- tions	ATPL (14 e	(H)/IR exams) Ques- tions	(13 e Dura- tion	Questions	(13 e Dura- tion	Questions	O:45	H)			
AT (14 Duration Subject Reference*	AT (14 Duration	(14 e	Ques- tions	es- Dur ens tio	(13 exa	(A) ams) Ques- tions	ATPL (14 e Dura- tion	(H)/IR exams) Ques- tions	(13 e Dura- tion	Ques- tions	(13 e. Duration	Ques- tions	(7 exa	1) 25- 15			
AT (14 Duration	AT (14 Duration	(14 e	exams) Ques	es- Dur	(13 exa	(A) ams) Ques-	ATPL (14 e	(H)/IR exams)	(13 e Dura-	Ques-	(13 e	xams) Ques-	(7 exa	1)			
AT (14 Dura- tion	AT (14 Dura- tion	(14 e	exams) Ques	es- Dur	(13 exa	(A) ams) Ques-	ATPL (14 e	(H)/IR exams)	(13 e Dura-	Ques-	(13 e	xams) Ques-	(7 exa	1)			
AT (14	AT (14	(14 €	exams)	s) (:	(13 exa	(A) ams)	ATPL (14 e	(H)/IR exams)	(13 e	exams)	(13 e	xams)	(7 exa	1)			
АТ	AT					(A)	ATPL	(H)/IR						1)			
ETICAL KNOV	`AL KNOV					INATIC	JNS F(
ETICAL KNOV	:AL KNOV					IVATIC	JNS F(
ETICAL KNO\	:AL KNOV					INATIC	JINS F(
ETICAL KNOV			7	L//	0 (1 III	INMIII	71N.2 ⊢(1	. ✓ . 1/ \L			2 11101				
		KNOW	NI FGT	DE EY	ΙΤΜΔ	ΝΛΤΙΩ	אוכ די	AR PR)FFSST	ΌΝΔΙ Ι	LICENO	FS AN	D INST	IMFNT RAT-			
																proposed one.	
															tions).	also to address issues like the	
															for the type rating examina-		
															examinations (75% as defined	FCL.002) and related topics.	
															and the pass mark for all TK	Learning Objectives (task	
															to be given for each question	another task will deal with the	
															dardisation of number of points	at this stage. At a later stage	
																not introduce a change like this	
															tions and proposes to specify	e c. Not accepted. The Agency will	
																if the documents are published	
																of flexibility which is only given	
															a One comment (IA) montions	it necessary to have some kind	
																dated as necessary. This makes	
																jectives which need to be up-	

4 Oct 2010 E: ICAO ref.

and compliance

D: Source ref. and

compliance

							Part-AR	4.0 1.2040
03	1	1	1	1	1	XX		4 Oct 2010
03		2	2	2	2			
		8	8	8		8		
05						7		
06		4	7	3		,		
07 08		3 2	5 2	2		5 2		
09		4	6	4		6		
10		1	2	1		XX		
11	. 2	2	2	2	2	XX		
12	2 2	1	2	1	1	XX		
13	3 2	1	2	1	1	XX		
Total ques-	- 44	33	44	33	33	33		
tions								
Subjec		Theo	ERAL KNOWLEDGE retical knowledge	examination		WER PLANT		
			al questions and					
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/IR	ATPL(H)	CPL(H)	IR(A) & (H)		
Time al-	2:00	1:30	2:00	2:00	1:30	XX		
owed								
	Distribut	tion of quest	ions with regard t	to the topics of	the syllabus			
024.04		1 00	1 04					
021 01	04	02	04	04	02	XX		
02	04	04	04	04	02	XX		
02	04	04	04	04	02	^^		
03	05	02	04	04	03	XX		
04	05	06	04	04	02	XX		
	_							
05	07	04	06	06	03	XX		
06	05	04	04	04	02	XX		
06	05	04	04	04	02	^^		
07	04	04	02	02	02	XX		
0,]		"-	"-	"-	'''		
08	06	04	04	04	04	XX		
09	06	06	06	06	04	XX		
10	06	14	06	06	08	XX		
4.4	20	00	20	20	12	VV		
11	20	06	20	20	13	XX		
12	04	02	02	02	02	XX		
12	U -1	02	02	02	02	^^		
13	04	02	XX	XX	XX	XX		
13		-						
14	XX	XX	01	01	01	XX		
15	XX	XX	04	04	03	XX		
					<u> </u>			
16	XX	XX	06	06	05	XX		
	3/3/	1 202	02		0.4	1/1/		
17	XX	XX	03	03	04	XX		

Su	abject : 022	60	80	80	60	XX	4 Oct 2010
Su	ubject: 022						
Su	-			I		701	
	-	'					
	-			<u> </u>	-		
	-						
	-						
	-	A I D C D A E T C E	NIED AL JANOVAJI E	DOE INCTRI		ON	
ime allowed	Evam le				JMEN I A I I	JN	
ime allowed	Evam la		knowledge exar				
ime allowed	LXaiii id	ength, total que	estions and distr	bution of que	stions		
ime allowed	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/I	R ATPL(H)	CPL(IR(A) & (H)	
ime allowed		3(,	7111 = (11), =		H)	(, (,	
	1:30	1:00	1:30	1:30	1:00	0:30	
	Distribution	of questions v	vith regard to th	e topics of the	syllabus		
022 01	08	08	08	08	08	XX	
02	08	06	08	08	06	06	
03	04	04	04	04	04	04	
04	04	05	06	06	05	04	
05	05	XX	03	03	XX	XX	
06	08	06	XX	XX	XX	XX	
07	XX	XX	14	14	08	XX	
08	03	02	XX	XX	XX	XX	
08	03	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	
10	02	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	
10		XX		04	XX	XX	
	04		04				
12		04	06	06	04	03	
13		04	05	05	04	03	
14		XX	01	01	XX	XX	
15		01	01	01	01	XX	
otal ques- ions	60	40	60	60	40	20	
Subjec	ct: 031 - FL	[GHT PERFORM.	ANCE AND PLAN	NING - MASS	AND BAL	ANCE	
Subjec		Theoretical l	knowledge exam	ination		ANCE	
Subjec		Theoretical I	knowledge exam stions and distrib	ination oution of ques			
		Theoretical I	knowledge examstions and distrib ATPL(H)/I	ination oution of ques		IR(A) &	
	Exam le	Theoretical Ingth, total ques	knowledge exametions and distrib ATPL(H)/I R	ination oution of ques	tions CPL(H)	IR(A) & (H)	
ime al-	Exam le	Theoretical I	knowledge examstions and distrib ATPL(H)/I	ination oution of ques	tions	IR(A) &	
ime al-	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00	Theoretical Ingth, total ques	knowledge exametions and distrib ATPL(H)/I R 1:00	ination pution of ques ATPL(H) 1:00	tions CPL(H) 1:00	IR(A) & (H)	
Time al- owed	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution	Theoretical Ingth, total questions with the Theoretical Ingth, tot	knowledge examstions and distriberations and distriberations and distriberations are set of the set	ination pution of ques ATPL(H) 1:00 topics of the	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus	IR(A) & (H) XX	
Time al- owed 031 01	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution 03	Theoretical length, total questions would be considered as the constraint of the con	knowledge examstions and distributions and distributions and distributions are set of the set of th	ination pution of ques ATPL(H) 1:00 topics of the 03	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus 03	IR(A) & (H) XX	
Time al- owed 031 01 02	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution 03 05	Theoretical Ingth, total questions was 1:00 of questions was 03 05	knowledge examinations and distributions are distributions and distributions and distributions are distributions and distributions and distributions are distributions are distributions and distributions are dis	nination oution of quest at PL(H) 1:00 topics of the 03 05	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus 03 05	IR(A) & (H) XX XX XX	
031 01 02 03	Exam let ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution 03 05 05	Theoretical Ingth, total questions with the control of questions w	knowledge exametions and distributions are distributions are distributions and distributions are distr	topics of the 03 05 05	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus 03 05 05	IR(A) & (H) XX XX XX XX	
031 01 02 03 04	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution 03 05 05 05	Theoretical Ingth, total questions with the second	knowledge exametrions and distributions and distributions and distributions are distributed as a second sec	topics of the 03 05 05 05	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus 03 05 05 05	IR(A) & (H) XX XX XX XX XX	
031 01 02 03 04	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution 03 05 05 05 05	Theoretical Ingth, total questions with the second	knowledge examinations and distributions and dis	topics of the 05 05 05 05 05	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus 03 05 05 05 05	IR(A) & (H) XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	
031 01 02 03 04	Exam le ATPL(A) 1:00 Distribution 03 05 05 05	Theoretical Ingth, total questions with the second	knowledge exametrions and distributions and distributions and distributions are distributed as a second sec	topics of the 03 05 05 05	tions CPL(H) 1:00 syllabus 03 05 05 05	IR(A) & (H) XX XX XX XX XX	

032 01	05	05	XX	XX	XX	XX
02	10	10	XX	XX	XX	XX
03	10	10	XX	XX	XX	XX
04	10	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Total ques-	35	25	XX	XX	XX	XX
tions						
_						
Subject: 033 -	- FLIGHT PEF	RFORMANCE A	AND PLANNING	- FLIGHT PLA	ANNING AND	MONITORING
		The second is a	Llusaviladas			
	Francisco Inc		I knowledge ex lestions and dis			
	ATPL(A)		ATPL(H)/I			IR(A) & (H)
	AIPL(A)	CPL(A)	AIPL(H)/I	AIPL(II)	CPL(H)	IK(A) & (II)
Time allowed	2:00	1:30	2:00	1:30	1:30	1:30
Time anowed	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.50	1.50	1.50
	Distribution	of questions	with regard to	the topics of	the syllabus	
033 01	05	05	05	05	05	XX
02	10	XX	10	XX	XX	10
03	10	10	10	10	10	05
04	08	08	08	08	08	08
05	05	05	05	05	05	05
06	05	05	05	05	05	05
Total ques-	43	33	43	33	33	33
tions						
·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Subject : 02	24 ELTCUT	DEDECDMANC	CE AND PLANNI	NC DEDEOD	MANCE / LIEI	CODTEDC)
Subject : 03	34 - FLIGHT	PERFORMANC	E AND PLAININI	NG - PERFOR	MAINCE (HEL	(COPTERS)
		Theoretica	ıl knowledge ex	amination		
	Evam lo		estions and dis		uoctions	
						TD/A\ 0. /U\
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/IR	ATPL(H)	CPL(H)	IR(A) & (H)
Time allowed	XX	XX	1:00	1:00	0:45	XX
Time allowed			1:00	1.00	0.45	^^
	Distribution	of questions	with regard to t	the tonics of	the cyllahus	
034 01		XX	15	15	15	XX
034 01		XX	05	05	05	XX
03		XX	05	05	XX	XX
<u>04</u>		XX	10	10	XX	XX
Total questions	XX	XX	35	35	20	XX
		Subject: 04	40 HUMAN PER	FORMANCE		
		Theoretica	l knowledge ex	amination		
	Exam lei		estions and dis		uestions	
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/I			IR(A) & (H)
'	,		Ř	,		
Time allowed	1:00	0:45	1:00	1:00	0:45	0:45
			with regard to t			
040 01	02	01	02	02	01	01
02	33	26	33	33	26	26
03	13	09	13	13	09	09
Total ques-	48	36	48	48	36	36
tions						

		Subjec	t:050 METEOR	OLOGY		
		Theoretic	al knowledge ex	amination		
	Exam le	ngth, total q	uestions and dis	tribution of qu	estions	
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/IR	ATPL(H)	CPL(H)	IR(A) & (H)
Time allowed	2:00	1:30	2:00	2:00	1:30	1:30
Title dilowed			with regard to t			1.50
050 01	11	09	11	11	09	09
02	11	06	11	11	06	06
03	04	04	04	04	04	04
04	07	06	07	07	06	06
05	03	03	03	03	03	03
06	07	07	07	07	07	07
07	06	02	06	06	02	02
08	08	03	08	08	03	03
09	11	09	11	11	09	09
10	16	14	16	16	14	14
Total ques-	84	63	84	84	63	63
tions		00		0.		
		Subject : 0	61 - GENERAL N	AVICATION		
			al knowledge ex			
	Exam le		uestions and dis		estions	
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/IR	-		IR (A) &
		0()			0(,	(H)
Time allowed	2:00	1:30	2:00	2:00	1:30	XX
	Distribution	of questions	with regard to t	the topics of t	ne syllabus	
061 01	12	07	12	12	07	XX
02	04	04	04	04	04	XX
03	14	12	14	14	12	XX
04		11	16	16	11	XX
05		11	14	14	11	XX
Total :	60	45	60	60	45	XX
Total .	00	13		00	13	, AA
		Subject :	062 - RADIO NA	VIGATION		
			al knowledge ex			
	Exam le	ngth, total q	uestions and dis	tribution of qu	estions	
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/I	ATPL(H)	CPL(H)	IR (A) &
Time allowed	1:30	0:30	1:30	1:00	0:30	(H) 1:00
Tittle allowed			s with regard to t			1.00
062 01		04	07	05	04	02
02		12	21	15	12	23
03		02	12	08	02	05
04	1	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
05		XX	15	10	XX	10
06		04	11	06	04	04
Total questions	66	22	66	44	22	44

	ς	ubiect : 070	OPERATIONA	I PROCEDURE	S	
			cal knowledge			
			uestions and d			1
	ATPL(A)	CPL(A)	ATPL(H)/	I ATPL(H)	CPL(H)	IR(A) & (H)
Time allowed	1:15	0:45	1:00	1:00	0:45	XX
			s with regard to			
071 01	25	18	18	18	14	XX
02	20	12	14	14	12	XX
03	XX	XX	06	06	04	XX
Total ques-	45	30	38	38	30	XX
tions						
	Subjec		NCIPLES OF FL		LANES)	
			cal knowledge			
	ATPL (A)		uestions and d	ATPL (H)		IR (A) & (H)
	AIFL (A)	CPL (A)	(H)/IR	AIFE (II)	CPL (II)	IK (A) & (II)
Time allowed	1:00	0:45	XX	XX	XX	XX
	Distribution	of questions	s with regard to	o the topics of	the syllabus	
081 01		14	XX	XX	XX	XX
02	06	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
03		XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
04		06	XX	XX	XX	XX
05		03	XX	XX	XX	XX
06		03	XX	XX	XX	XX
07		03	XX	XX	XX	XX
08		04	XX	XX	XX	XX
Total questions	44	33	XX	XX	XX	XX
	Subjec	t:082 PRI	NCIPLES OF FL	IGHT (HELICO	PTERS)	
		Theoretic	cal knowledge	examination	•	
			questions and o			T == 4= 3 = 4= 3
				ATPL(H)	CPL(H)	IR(A) & (H)
Time allowed	XX	XX	1:00	1:00	1:00	XX
002.01			s with regard t			VV
082 01 02	XX	XX	05 03	05 03	05 03	XX
03	XX	XX	03	01	03	XX
03	XX	XX	12	12	12	XX
		XX	10	10		XX
05 06		XX	05	05	10 05	XX
07	XX	XX	05	05	05	XX
08	XX	XX	03	03	03	XX
Total questions	XX	XX	44	44	44	XX
Total questions	70.7	707				7.7.
			091 VFR COM			
			cal knowledge			
			uestions and o			TD(4)0 (II)
Time allowed	00:30	00:30			00:30) IR(A)& (H) XX
Time anowed	00.50	00.50	00.50	00.50	00.50	

	Part-AR		4 Oct 2010	
Distribution of questions with regard to the topics of the syllabus			4 Oct 2010	
091 01 05 05 05 05 05 XX				
02 11 11 11 11 XX				
03 02 02 02 02 XX	4			
04 02 02 02 02 02 XX 05 02 02 02 02 02 XX	-			
06 02 02 02 02 02 XX	1			
Total: 24 24 24 24 XX	-			
Subject: 092 IFR COMMUNICATION	4			
Theoretical knowledge examination Exam length, total questions and distribution of questions	-			
ATPL(A CPL(A) ATPL(H) / ATPL(H CPL(IR(A)				
Time al- 00:30 XX 00:30 XX 00:30				
092 01 05 XX 05 XX XX 05				
02 11 XX 11 XX XX 11				
03 02 XX 02 XX XX 02				
04 02 XX 02 XX XX 02				
05 02 XX 02 XX XX 02				
06 02 XX 02 XX XX 02 07 XX XX XX XX XX XX				
Total: 24 XX 24 XX XX 24				
	140(7) 74(9)			
	MS(7), IA(2) a. One comment (MS) pro- a.	Not accorted See response	1AA ECL IID Chapter 10	
EXAM LENGTH, TOTAL QUESTIONS AND DISTRIBUTION OF QUESTIONS	poses to put the content in an alr			
		omment to AMC1.	Account 2	
	b. 7 comments identify edito- b.			
	rial mistakes (mainly on total an			
	number of questions for spe- the			
	cific subjects).			
	c. One comment (MS). states c.			
	that the CQB shall remain con- the			
		ecision is not made.		
	d. One comment (IA) proposes	Natad As this would made		
	to establish the same number d.			
	of questions in Human Per- ne formance for all the different the	ecessary a complete review or		
	types of licences. Furthermore CQ			
	the organisation suggests re- sta			
	quiring the same number of tas			
		FCL.002) in order to solve		
		ome issues related to the		
		earning Objectives, this issue		
	sh	hould be part of this future		
	tas	ask.		
		MC1 and AMC2 to		
		R.FCL.300(b) are merged in		
		ne with the AMC numbering onvention.		
		JIIVEIILIUII.		

							Pai	rt-AR		4 Oct	2010
		Subje	ct : 010 - AIF	R LAW						4 00.	2010
			knowledge e								
			estions and di ATPL(H)/I		CPL(H)	IR (A) &					
	AIPL(A	CPL(A)	R	AIPL(II)	CPL(II)	(H)					
Time allowed	1:00	0:45	1:00	0:45	0:45	0:45					
010 01	ribution o	f questions	with regard t	to the topics of	of the syllabu	2					
010 01	2	2	2	2	2	2					
03	1	1	1	1	1	XX					
04	2	2 8	2 8	8	2 8	8					
05 06	<u>8</u> 7	4	 7	3	4	7					
07	5	3	5	3	3	5					
08	2	2	2	2	2	2					
09 10	<u>6</u> 2	1	<u>6</u> 2	1	1	6 XX					
11	2	2	2	2	2	XX					
12	2	1	2	1	1	XX					
Total ques-	2 44	33	<u>2</u> 44	33	33	XX 33					
tions	44	33	44	33	33	33					
Subject: 021 - A	IRCRAFT	GENERAL I	KNOWLEDGE	- AIRFRAME/S	SYSTEMS/POV	WER PLANT					
			knowledge e								
<u>E</u>	xam lengt	h, total que	estions and di	stribution of o	questions						
SECTION I - C REW ATTESTA		SATIONS	PROVIDIN	G CABIN (CREW TRA	INING OR	ISSUING CABIN				
	w attest IDUCTING Inducting	G EXAMIN the exan	ATIONS ANI	O CHECKING or checking	i required in	Part-CC fo	training or to		This new AMC results from the revision of the rule to provide flexibility as requested by comments. If examinations and issuance of CCA may be delegated, the the competent authority remains responsible. Appropriate condi-		
e met, the com	petent au interest t	uthority sl hat could:	nould verify affect the ju	that appropudgment of	riate alterna the personn	ative conditi	is condition cannot ons are in place to ng the examination		tions should therefore be in place.		
								MS: delete "to" in the title; new title "AMC AR.CC.100"	This AMC has been transferred as AMC1-OR.OPS.CC.115 after consultation of the review group – please refer to revised text in CRD for Part-OR (OR.OPS)		
											ICAO Doc 7192- AN/857, Part E-1, Chapter 2

Pä	art-AR		4 Oct	2010
		Please refer to response 'Noted as an issue' in box on	IEM to Appendix 1 to JAR-OPS	
	ods"; develop AMC or GM (refer to Circular dgaccursobasico TCP 961104) IA: create a new para: "1(c) Cabin crew training syllabus" -detailed syllabus should be the based for approval of ATO	Please refer to response 'Noted as an issue' in box on AR.CC.100 (a) (2)	1020	
	MS: develop approval requirements and guidance for representative training devices IA: separate type/variant training from initial attestation, these must be left to operator (type training to be annexed to attestation, annexes delivered by authority with a seal) IA (comment confirmed by indiv. members): this should only refer to IST; reference to actual a/c & a/c type related issues should be deleted (since actual a/c is related to type training); re-phrase "CC training" with "CC initial safety training"& amend AMC to only reflect IST related issues	as an issue' in box on AR.CC.100 (a) (2) This comment conflicts with BR: please refer to response in the first line box under title 'Subpart CC. This comment conflicts with BR: please refer to response in the first line box under title 'Subpart CC'	1.1005/1.1010/1.1015/1. 1020 (1)	ICAO Doc 7192- AN/857, Part E-1, Para 2.1.2.7 + Para 2.1.2.8 + Para 2.1.2.9
	No comments	Testing' has been replaced by 'checking' for consistency in consultation with RG (ref. to AMC transferred to OR.OPS.CC.	1.1005/1.1010/1.1015/1.	Refer to the above box (2)
	No comments		(2)(a)	See above
	No comments		(2)(b)	See above
	No comments		(2)(c)	See above
	No comments		(2)(d)	See above
	No comments		ACJ OPS 1.1005/1.1010/1.1015/1. 1020 (3) OPS	
			1.1005/1.1010/1.1015/1. 1020 (3)(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)	

Pai	rt-AR		4 Oct 2010
SUBPART ATO - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO APPROVED TRAINING ORGANISATIONS (ATOS)			
SECTION 1 - GENERAL			
AMC1-AR.ATO.120 Record-keeping FSTDS	Based on a general change of the numbering system for AMCs and the decision to in- troduce such a new system for the AMCs of all Parts the num- bering of the following AMCs had to be changed.		
 Records relating to FSTDs should include, as a minimum: the application for an FSTD qualification; the FSTD qualification certificate including any changes; a copy of the evaluation programme listing the dates when evaluations are due and when evaluations were carried out; initial and recurrent evaluation records; copies of all relevant correspondence; details of any exemption and enforcement actions; and any report from other competent authorities relating to initial and recurrent evaluations. 			
	IA(2), IND(1), INDIV(4), MS(12)	a. Not accepted: The ATO specific elements have been deleted	
AMC1 AR.ATO.105 Oversight Programme GENERAL	1 Individual) requested relocating ATO specific AMCs and GMs under Subpart ATO. b. One commenter (NAA) requests that terms such as "inspection" and "audit" be used consistently. c. One commenter (IND) requests that more emphasis be put on sufficient staff and change management. d. Five comments (3 Individuals, 2 Federations) claim the requirements are disproportionate for small ATOs working	and the remainder of the AMC kept in AR.GEN. b. Accepted: The text has been reviewed to ensure consistency, definitions are added for audit and inspection in the AR.GEN section. c. Partially accepted: Sufficient staff for the ATO is addressed under 2(a) and in the additional AMC to AR.GEN.310. Change management is not relevant upon initial certification. d. Noted: The AMC will be adapted to make it generic. An additional AMC will be developed for the specific issues addressing the ATOs. The items to be checked during an audit or in-	

1.	comp	udit or inspection of an ATO should be conducted on the basis of checking the facility for liance, interviewing personnel and sampling any relevant training course for its conduct tandard.		New AMC developed on the basis of AMC to AR.GEN.300 (a).	
2.	Such on:	anaudit or inspection should focus in addition to the items required inAMC1 AR.GEN.310			
	a.	information on flight instructors, validity of licences, certificates, ratings, and logbooks;			
	b.	evidence of sufficient funding;			
	C.	training aircraft in use, including their registration, associated documents and maintenance records;			
	d.	aerodromes, heliports and associated facilities;			
	e.	facilities with regard to their adequacy to the courses being conducted and number of students;			
	f.	flight simulation training devices, including their qualification certificates, associated documents and maintenance records;			
	g.	documentation, in particular documents related to courses, information on the updating system, and training and operations manual;			
	h.	training records and checking forms; and			
	i.	flight instruction, including pre-briefing, actual flight and debriefing.			
SECT	TION 2	- FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING DEVICE (FSTD) QUALIFICATIONS			
			[MS:5; IND:3; INDIV:0]		
AMC	1-AR.A	TO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure			
				1. Not accepted. The mutual ac-	
		T PROCESS LEADING TO THE ISSUE OF AN FSTD QUALIFICATION	the workload of NAAs the im-	ceptance or recognition of FNPT qualifications does not replace the qualification process for	
1.	comp the F autho	STD will require evaluation leading to qualification. The required process should be aclished in two distinct steps. First, a check should be made to determine whether or not STD complies with the applicable requirements. When making this check, the competent prity should ensure that accountability for the issue of an FSTD qualification is clearly de-	tance is proposed for lower level devices like FNPT.		
	appoi	In all cases an individual department manager of the competent authority should be nted under whose personal responsibility the issue of an FSTD qualification is to be coned. The second step should be the grant (or refusal) of an FSTD qualification.	flight inspectors or designated persons who are not suitably	authority shall nominate only personnel properly qualified for their task according to AMC4-	
			evaluation of an FSTD, are not in the position to judge	AR.ATO.200(a)(1),which stat-	
			and whether "serious defects"	competent authority (holding a valid type rating or - if not - as-	

		Pai	rt-AR		4 Oct 2010
				sisted by a TRI with a valid rating on the aircraft, class of aeroplane/type of helicopter being simulated) or another designated person (holding a valid type rating) must be qualified in flight crew training. Compliance with this requirement will be audited via EASA standardisation team visits to the competent authorities. A simulator MEL is neither part of this regulation nor has it been considered as being necessary by the International Working Group when drafting the new ICAO doc. 9625, 3rd edition. A simulator MEL could be the subject of a future rulemaking proposal.	4 00 2010
2.	ensure	checking compliance with the applicable requirements, the competent authority should e that the following steps are taken:			
	a.	Once an FSTD is contracted to be built, the organisation that is to operate the FSTD has the responsibility to ensure that the regulatory standard upon which the FSTD will eventually be qualified against is acceptable to the competent authority. This should be the current applicable version of CS-FSTD(A) or CS-FSTD(H)at the time of application.			
	b.	A written application for an FSTD qualification should be submitted, in a format according to OR.ATO.350, at least three months before the date of intended operation except that the Qualification Test Guide may be submitted later, but not less than 30days before the date of intended evaluation. The application form should be printed in English and any other language(s) of the competent authority's choosing.			
	c.	An individual should be nominated by the department manager of the competent authority to oversee, and become the focal point for, all aspects of the FSTD qualification process, and to coordinate all necessary activity. The nominated person should be responsible to the department manager for confirming that all appropriate evaluations/inspections are made.			
	d.	The ability of the applicant to secure, in compliance with the applicable requirements and certification specifications, the safe and reliable operation and proper maintenance of the FSTD should be assessed.			
	e.	The applicant's proposed compliance monitoring system should be scrutinised with particular regard to the allocated resources. Care should be taken to verify that the system is comprehensive and likely to be effective.			
	f.	The competent authority should inform the applicant of its final decision concerning the qualification within 14 days of completion of the evaluation process irrespective of any temporary qualification issued.	to retain temporary qualification certificates for pragmatic reasons and to allow entry into training while issues are being addressed (with any appropriate restrictions). Concerns were raised that point 2.f. itself makes no mention of such a qualification or how to issue it. It has been suggested that a temporary certificate may be issued in a format defined by the competent authority.	Section Six (FSTD). By applying point2.f. the possibility to issue a temporary qualification will be retained. The Agency considers "any" temporary qualification as a format defined by the competent authority. That could be, for instance, a stamp and signature of the competent authority on the actual qualification certificate, indicating a limited extension of the validity combined with restric-	

	Part-AR			4 Oct 2010
		tion of the Evaluation Report, where applicable, and the final Qualification Certificate. A temporary certificate may be	2. The evaluation report should be produced on site to reflect the actual condition of the FSTD at the time of the evaluation and before any corrective actions will take place following the evaluation.	4 00. 2010
	g. On completion of the evaluation process, the application, together with a written recommendation and evidence of the result of all evaluations or assessments, should be presented to the nominated person responsible for FSTD qualification. The presentation should be made by the person with overall responsibility, nominated in accordance with point c. above.			
	h. The department manager of the competent authority should only issue an FSTD qualification certificate if he/she is completely satisfied that all requirements have been met. If he/she is not satisfied, the applicant should be informed in writing of the improvements which are required in order to satisfy the competent authority.			
	 Should an application for an FSTD qualification be refused, the applicant should be in- formed of such rights of appeal as exist under national regulations. 			
AMC GENE 1.	PAL During initial and recurrent FSTD evaluations it should be necessary for the competent authority to conduct an appropriate sample of the Objective and Subjective tests described in Part-OR and detailed in CS-FSTD(A) and CS-FSTD(H), as applicable. There maybe occasions when all tests cannot be completed – for example during recurrent evaluations on a convertible FSTD – but arrangements should be made for all tests to be completed within a reasonable time. Following an evaluation, it is possible that a number of defects are identified. Generally, these defects should be rectified and the competent authority notified of such action within 30 days.	inspectors or designated persons who are not suitably qualified, who perform the evaluation of an FSTD, are not in the position to judge whether a finding is serious and whether "serious defects" influence training delivery. In the same context it is proposed to use simulator MELs to determine the level of restriction to training, testing and checking. (2 comments) One commenter proposes to extend the rectification period	Not accepted.Defects shall be rectified as soon as possible to	
	Gerects should be rectified and the competent authority notified of such action within 30 days. Serious defects, which affect flight crew training, testing and checking, could result in an immediate downgrading of the qualification level. I If any defect remains unattended without good reason for a period greater than 30 days, subsequent downgrading may occur or the FSTD qualification could be revoked.	up to 60 days. In the same comment he addresses the point that the classification of findings raised by some competent authorities is inappropriate and induces a severe impact on the FSTD operator (up to the revocation of a qualification certificate). The commenter proposes that an appeal process can be initiated with support of another competent authority before revoking or suspending a qualifica-	keep the training credits and the qualified status, especially if there are major findings. An extension of the 30 days period is possible on a case-by-case basis for good reasons to be explained by the FSTD operator. It is not a good reason if there is a lack of support by the manufacturer, for instance due to missing contracts (between operator and manufacturer) or due to different priorities (authority/operator/manufacturer) given to certain rectifica-	

Part-AR 4 Oct 2010					
			FSTD operator (ATO) (see AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1), especially para 1.,2. and 4.) The appeal process is addressed in AMC2-AR.ATO.235,5. and should be followed according to national legislations.	4 OCI 2010	
3.	For the evaluation of an FSTD the standard form as mentioned in AMC5-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) should be used.	to be multi-use, or to provide additional AMC defining report	Partially accepted. The term will be changed from "Full Flight Simulator" to "FSTD" and the evaluation report form will be made applicable to all FSTD.		
		[MS:0; IND:2; INDIV:0]			
	AL EVALUATION The main focus of objective testing is the Qualification Test Guide (QTG). Well in advance of the evaluation date, the aircraft manufacturer and the competent authority should agree on the content and acceptability of the validation tests contained in the QTG data package. This will ensure that the content of the QTG is acceptable to the competent authority and avoid time being wasted during the initial qualification. The acceptability of all tests depends upon their content, accuracy, completeness and recency of the results.	It is proposed to change the text of point 1	Accepted regarding Part-21 (OSD) (AMC 21A.62(a)(1)) The point here is trying to establish the validity of the data package used early in the process and not having to wait until the final stages of the development of a device to find that the data is unacceptable.		
2.	Much of the time allocated to objective tests depends upon the speed of the automatic and manual systems set up to run each test and whether or not special equipment is required. The competent authority should not necessarily warn the organisation operating an FSTD of the sample validations tests which should be run on the day of the evaluation, unless special equipment is required.				
3.	It should be remembered that the FSTD cannot be used for subjective tests while part of the QTG is being run. Therefore, sufficient time (at least eight consecutive hours) should be set aside for the examination and running of the QTG.				
4.	The subjective tests for the evaluation can be found in CS-FSTD(A) or CS-FSTD(H), and a suggested subjective test profile is described in AMC1-AR.ATO.200(a)(3). Essentially, one working day should be required for the subjective test routine, which effectively denies use of the FSTD for any other purpose.				
5.	To ensure adequate coverage of subjective and objective tests and to allow for cost effective rectification and re-test before departure of the inspection team, adequate time (up to three consecutive days) should be dedicated to an initial evaluation of an FSTD.				
	OSITION OF THE EVALUATION TEAM The competent authority should appoint a technical team to evaluate an FSTD in accordance with a structured routine to gain a qualification level. The team should normally consist of at least the following personnel: a. A technical FSTD inspector of the competent authority, or an accredited inspector from another competent authority, qualified in all aspects of flight simulation hardware, software and computer modelling or, exceptionally, a person designated by the competent authority with equivalent qualifications; and	[MS:6; IND:0; INDIV:1] Again one commenter proposes to add the requirement that an initial evaluation shall use a flight test team (test pilots, flight test engineers) as part of the evaluation team if an FSTD is representing a new aircraft type for a simulator manufacturer or which has new motion or visual system technology that has not been previously evaluated, or any other	Not accepted to add this as a requirement (see response given in AR.ATO.200 above).		
	b. One of the following:i. A flight inspector of the competent authority, or an accredited inspector from	technology which has not been previously modelled or assessed.			

another competent authority, who is qualified in flight crew training procedures and holds a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter) being simulated; or ii. A flight inspector of the competent authority who is qualified in flight crew training procedures, assisted by a type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter) being simulated by the competent authority who is qualified in flight crew training procedures and holds a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter) being simulated and sufficiently experienced to assist the technical team. This person should fly out at least part of the functions and subjective test profiles. c. Where a designee is used as a substitute for one of the competent authority's inspections, the other person shall be a properly qualified inspector of the competent authority. 2. For an FTD level 1 and FNPT Type I, one suitably qualified inspector may combine the functions in points1.a. and 1.b. above. It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIS as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector suitably qualified in spector of the competent authority and one from JAR-FSTD A/H needs a wider from JAR-FSTD A/H needs			Part	t-AR		4 Oct 2010	
training procedures, assisted by a type rating instructor holding a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter) being simulated as a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated and sufficiently experienced to assist the technical team. This person should fly out at least part of the functions and subjective test profiles. c. Where a designee is used as a substitute for one of the competent authority's inspectors, the other person shall be a properly qualified inspector of the competent authority ity or an accredited inspector from another Member State's competent authority. 2. For an FTD level 1 and FNPT Type I, one suitably qualified inspector may combine the functions in points1.a. and 1.b. above. It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by a suitably qualified inspector forum. There have been many discussions in the past until the competent authorities agreed upon points. of AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1), which has been transferred unchanged from JAR-STD and JAR-FSTD. 3. For a BITD this team should consist of an inspector from a competent authority and one from			and holds a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter) being simulated;			7 8.1 2010	
iii. A person designated by the competent authority who is qualified in flight crew training procedures and holds a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/helicopter) being simulated and sufficiently experienced to assist the technical team. This person should fly out at least part of the functions and subjective test profiles. c. Where a designee is used as a substitute for one of the competent authority's inspectors, the other person shall be a properly qualified inspector of the competent authority. 2. For an FTD level 1 and FNPT Type I, one suitably qualified inspector may combine the functions in points1.a. and 1.b. above. It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified inspector from a suitably qualified inspector may discussions in the past until the competent authorities agreed upon points. of AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1), which has been transferred unchanged from JAR-FSTD. 3. For a BITD this team should consist of an inspector from a competent authority and one from			training procedures, assisted by a type rating instructor holding a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the				
tors, the other person shall be a properly qualified inspector of the competent authority or an accredited inspector from another Member State's competent authority. 2. For an FTD level 1 and FNPT Type I, one suitably qualified inspector may combine the functions in points1.a. and 1.b. above. It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified inspector It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified inspector It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector. It is suggested that recurrent evaluations of FNPT IIs as well as the support of the composition of the evaluation team for other training devices than already mentioned in JAR-FSTD 2/3 A/H and JAR-FSTD 2/3 A/H and JAR-FSTD 2/3 A/H and JAR-FSTD 4/H needs a wider forum. There have been many discussions in the past until the competent authorities agreed upon points. of AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1), which has been transferred unchanged from JAR-STD and JAR-FSTD.			iii. A person designated by the competent authority who is qualified in flight crew training procedures and holds a valid type rating on the aeroplane/helicopter (or for FNPT and BITD, class rated on the class of aeroplane/type of helicopter) being simulated and sufficiently experienced to assist the technical team. This				
evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in spector reduced composition of the evaluation team for other training devices than already mentioned in JAR-STD 2/3 A/H and JAR-FSTD A/H needs a wider forum. There have been many discussions in the past until the competent authorities agreed upon point5. of AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1), which has been transferred unchanged from JAR-STD and JAR-FSTD. 3. For a BITD this team should consist of an inspector from a competent authority and one from		C.	tors, the other person shall be a properly qualified inspector of the competent authority or an accredited inspector from another Member State's competent authority.		tentionally when drafting the NPA.		
	2.		in points1.a. and 1.b. above.	evaluations of FNPT IIs as well should be able to be performed by one suitably qualified in-	reduced composition of the evaluation team for other training devices than already mentioned in JAR-STD 2/3 A/H and JAR-FSTD A/H needs a wider forum. There have been many discussions in the past until the competent authorities agreed upon point5. of AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1), which has been transferred unchanged		
another competent authority, including the manufacturer's competent authority, if applicable.	3.		BITD this team should consist of an inspector from a competent authority and one from ner competent authority, including the manufacturer's competent authority, if applicable.				
 4. Additionally, the following persons should be present: a. For FFS, FTD and FNPT a type or class rated instructor from the ATO operating an FSTD or from the main FSTD user. b. For all types, sufficient FSTD support staff to assist with the running of tests and operation of the instructor's station. 	4.	a.	For FFS, FTD and FNPT a type or class rated instructor from the ATO operating an FSTD or from the main FSTD user. For all types, sufficient FSTD support staff to assist with the running of tests and op-				
It is proposed to delete point 5 and to re-instate it as a new AMC2-AR.ATO.220 being applicable to recurrent evaluations only (2 comments). Accepted. A new AMC2-AR.ATO.220has been added. General remark: Many procedures described for initial evaluations as well. This is covered by AR.ATO.220 (Continuation of an FSTD qualification) point (a) which refers to the procedures detailed in AR.ATO.200 (including its AMC material)				and to re-instate it as a new AMC2-AR.ATO.220 being applicable to recurrent evaluations	AR.ATO.220has been added. General remark: Many procedures described for initial evaluations apply for recurrent evaluations as well. This is covered by AR.ATO.220 (Continuation of an FSTD qualification) point (a) which refers to the procedures detailed in AR.ATO.200 (including its AMC		
[MS:5; INDIV:2]				[MS:5; IND:3; INDIV:2]	,		
AMC5-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure	AMC5	5-AR.A	ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure				
FSTD EVALUATION REPORT FOR INITIAL AND RECURRENT EVALUATION	FSTD	EVALU	JATION REPORT FOR INITIAL AND RECURRENT EVALUATION				
FSTD Evaluation Report	FSTD	Evalua	ation Report				

	Tu	LAK		4 Oct	2010
Date:					
	[competent authority]				
F	FSTD EVALUATION REPORT				
[Member State] FSTD code (if applicable):					
EASA FSTD code (if applicable):					
Aircraft type and variant:					
Class of aeroplane / type of helicopter:					
Engine fit(s)simulated:					
Contents					
Flight Simulation Training Device (FSTD) char	racteristics				
2. Evaluation details	racter istics				
3. Supplementary information					
4. Training, testing and checking considerations					
5. Classification of items					
6. Results					
7. Evaluation team					
This report is provisional					
	ion team. The competent authority reserves the right to change				
	cate finalises the evaluation report, unless a modified report has				
been issued.	and manage the evaluation report, unless a meaning report has				
1. Flight Simulation Training Device (FSTD)					
(a) Organisation operating the FSTD:					
(a) Organisation operating the PSTD.					
(b) FSTD Location:					
(b) 131b Location.					
(c) FSTD Identification (Member State					
FSTD code / EASA FSTD Code):					
(d) FSTD Manufacturer and FSTD Identifi-					
cation serial number:					
(e) First entry into service (month/year):					
(f) Visual system (manufacturer and					
type):					
(g) Motion system(manufacturer and					
type):					
(h) Aircraft type and variant:					
(i) Engine fit(s):					
(k) Engine instrumentation:					
Flight instrumentation:					
2. Evaluation details					
(a) Dateof evalua- (b) Date of previ-					
tion: ous evaluation:					
(c) Type of evaluation: ☐ initial ☐ recur-					
rent □ special					
(d) FSTD Qualification Level recom-					
mended:					
111 550 50 50					
1.1.1. FFS 🗆 A 🗆 B 🖂					
C D D AG BG CG					
□ DG □ SC					
FTD 0 1 0 2 03					
FNPT 🗆 I IIIII 🗆 MCC					
BITD					
Technical criteria primary reference					
document:					
		The language and the control of	Not people of The control of the		
Validation Data Roadmap (VDR) ID-No.:			Not accepted. The row "Specific		
3. Supplementary information			Airfield" will be deleted from the		
Company representative(s)			evaluation report, because all		
(FSTD operator, Main FSTD		of the meaning by the compe-	airfields (generic and non-		

	rt-AR		4 Oct 2010
user) FSTD Seats available Visual databases used during evaluation Other 4. Training, testing and checking considera-	tent authorities (2 comments) 1. The following proposals are	generic) used during an evaluation will be listed in the row "visual databases used". The row for "Specific Airfield" is a remainder from times where category C aerodromes may have been checked by the competent authority and before AMC OPS 1.975 Para 5. was introduced. 1. Partially accepted.	
tons CAT II RVR m DH ft CAT III (lowest minimum)RVR m DHft LVTO RVR m Recency IFR-Training/Check Type Rating Proficiency Checks Autocoupled Approach Autoland/Roll Out Guidance ACAS I / II Windshear Warning System/Predictive Windshear WarRadar HUD/HUGS FAMS GPWS/EGPWS ETOPS Capability GPS Other	made: a) add ZFTT (Zero Flight Time Training) to section 4 b) delete Line Check c) add other sections for specific items such as PWS and EVS d) delete reference to specific airfield 2. A commenter points to the structure of the Evaluation Report which seems not to cater for 'Lower than Standard CATI Operations' Minima where RVRs less than 550m will be trained and checked in the simulator.	a) ZFTT is addressed in Part-FCL. Beside other requirements (to the A/C operator and the pilot experience) the only requirement on the FFS side is a qualification level CG, interim C, C, DG, or D. b) "Line Check" will be deleted from the form. c) PWS (Predictive Windshear) is already in. A row "Other" will be added to include for instance "EVS". d) See response to comment 1460 above: The row "Specific Airfield" will be deleted from the evaluation report, because all airfields used during evaluation (generic and non-generic) will be listed in the row "visual databases used".	

T di	t AN	4 Oct	2010
5. Guidance Material			
5.1 Classification of items			
UNACCEPTABLE			
An item which fails to comply with the required standard and, therefore, affects the level of qualifica-			
tion or the qualification itself. If these items will not be corrected or clarified within a given time			
limit, the (competent authority) shouldhave to vary, limit, suspend or revoke the FSTD qualification.			
DECEDIVATION.			
RESERVATION An item where compliance with the required standard is not clearly proven and the issue will be re-			
An item where compliance with the required standard is not clearly proven and the issue will be reserved for a later decision. Resolution of these items will require either:			
1. acompetent authority policy ruling; or			
2. additional substantiation.			
UNSERVICEABILITY			
A device which is temporarily inoperative or performing below its nominal level.			
LIMITATION			
An item which prevents the full usage of the FSTD according to the training, testing and checking con-			
siderations due to the unusable devices, systems or parts thereof.			
RECOMMENDATION FOR IMPROVEMENT			
An item which meets the required standard, but where considerable improvement is strongly recommended.			
mended.			
COMMENT			
Self-explanatory			
Sen explanaeory			
5.2 Period of Rectification			
Reference: AMC2-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) section 2.			
1.1.2. Following an evaluation, it is possible that a number of defects are identified.			
Generally, these defects should be rectified and the competent authority notified of such ac-			
tion within 30 days. Serious defects, which affect flight crew training, testing and checking, could result in an immediate downgrading of the qualification level, or if any defect remains			
unattended without good reason for period greater than 30 days, subsequent downgrading			
may occur or the FSTD qualification could be revoked.			
6. Results			
6.1 Subjective/Functional			
A Unacceptable			
B Reservation			
C Unserviceability			
D Restriction			
E Recommendation for improvement			
1			

	art Art		4 Oct 2010
F Comment			
6.2 Objective A Unacceptable 1 B Reservation 1 E Recommendation for improvement 1 F Comment 1 I	classifications "Unserviceabil-	Not accepted. Ifa QTG test cannot be run or is not working for any reason that will be unacceptable. If this will influence or is reflected in the subjective/functional evaluation leading to training constraints, it will be mentioned at least under "6.1 Subjective/Functional, D Restriction"	
7. Evaluation Team		To avoid confusion the abbrevia-	
	viation for Flight Instructor in	tion is deleted.	
Nam Position Organisation Signature	Part FCL (3 comments)		
Signed:For the competent authority			
GM1-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) Initial evaluation procedure INITIAL EVALUATION A useful explanation of how the validation tests should be run is contained in the 'RAeS Aeroplanation's	sentence with "For Full Flight Simulators", because expec- tations have overall become	Noted. Requirements for FFS cannot be applied to FNPTs and demanded by the competent authority the same way. There are different (data) bases and different training scopes.	

	Pai	rt-AR		4 Oct 2010
	Simulator Evaluation Handbook' (February 1995 or as amended) produced in support of the Doc 9625, 'Manual of Criteria for the Qualification of Flight Simulators'.	book" was initially created to meet the requirements for FFSs, not for FNPTs. Using this document as a reference man-	The competent authority's staff shall be adequately qualified and have the necessary knowledge, experience, initial training and continuation training to perform their allocated tasks (see AR.GEN.200). Compliance with this requirement will be audited by EASA standardisation team visits to the competent authorities.	4 00, 2010
		[MS:4; IND:1; INDIV:0]		
AMC	1-AR.ATO.200(a)(3) Initial evaluation procedure			
FUNC	TIONS AND SUBJECTIVE TESTS - SUGGESTED TEST ROUTINE			
1.	During initial and recurrent evaluations of an FSTD, the competent authority should conduct a series of functions and subjective tests that together with the objective tests complete the comparison of the FSTD with the aircraft, the class of aeroplane or type of helicopter.			
2.	Functions tests verify the acceptability of the simulated aircraftsystems and their integration. Subjective tests verify the fitness of the FSTD in relation to training, checking and testing tasks.			
3.	The FSTD should provide adequate flexibility to permit the accomplishment of the desired andrequired tasks while maintaining an adequate perception by the flight crew that they are operating in a real aircraft environment. Additionally, the Instructor Operating Station (IOS) should not present an unnecessary distraction from observing the activities of the flight crew whilst providing adequate facilities for the tasks.			
4.	It is important that both the competent authority and the organisation operating an FSTD understand what to expect from the routine of FSTD functions and subjective tests. Part of the subjective tests routine for an FSTD should involve an uninterrupted fly-out (except for FTD level 1) comparable with the duration of typical training sessions in addition to assessment of flight freeze and repositioning. An example of such a profile is to be found under points 6 and 7 (for BITD point 8) below.			
5.	The competent authorities, and organisations operating FSTD, who are unfamiliar with the evaluation process should contact the Agency or the competent authority of another Member State with adequate expertise in this field.	that point5. implies that any Member State (MS) can, following the implementing rules becoming effective, issue a qualification certificate based upon their own investigation and that all MS are obliged to	Paritally accepted. The text is changed but terms as "mandate" are not appropriate to AMCs. Compliance with the requirements for the evaluation and qualification of FSTDs will be audited by EASA standardisation team visits to the competent authorities.	

Pa	rt-AR	4 Oct 2010
7. Typical test profile for an FSTD helicopter:		4 Oct 2010
Selection Sele		
8. Typical subjective test profile for BITDs (approximately twohours) - items and altitudes, as applicable: a. instrument departure, climb performance, b. level-off at 4 000 ft, c. fail engine (if applicable), d. engine out climb to 6 000 ft (if applicable), e. engine out cruise performance (if applicable), restart engine, f. all engine cruise performance with different power settings, g. descent to 2000 ft, h. all engine performance with different configurations, followed by ILS approach, i. all engine go-around, j. non-precision approach, k. go-around with engine failure (if applicable), l. engine out ILS approach (if applicable), m. go-around engine out (if applicable), p. non-precision approach engine out (if applicable), followed by go-around.		
 n. non-precision approach engine out (if applicable), followed by go-around, o. restart engine (if applicable), p. climb to 4000 ft, q. manoeuvring, 		

	Par	rt-AR		4 Oct 2010
GENE A use check ruary	r. normal turns left and right, s. steep turns left and right, t. acceleration and deceleration within operational range, u. approaching to stall in different configurations, v. recovery from spiral dive, w. auto flight performance (if applicable), x. system malfunctions, y. approach. AR.ATO.200(a)(3) Initial evaluation procedure	[MS:0; IND:1; INDIV:0] It is suggested to begin the sentence with "For Full Flight Simulators", because expectations have overall become similar for FNPTs as for FFSs. The "RAeS Evaluation Handbook" was initially created to meet the requirements for	demanded by the competent authority the same way. There are different (data) bases and different training scopes. The competent authority's staff	4 Oct 2010
	L- AR.ATO.210 Issue of an FSTD qualification certificate CINSTRUMENT TRAINING DEVICE (BITD)	[MS:0; IND:0; INDIV:0]	·	
2.	The competent authority should only grant a BITD qualification for the BITD model to a BITD manufacturer following satisfactory completion of an evaluation. This qualification should be valid for all serial numbers of this model without further technical evaluation.			
3.	The BITD model should be clearly identified by a BITD model number. A running serial number should follow the BITD model identification number.			
4.	The competent authority should establish and maintain a list of all BITD qualifications it has issued, containing the number of the BITD model with a reference to the hardware and software configuration.			
GENI	A-AR.ATO.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification ERAL Objective Testing. During recurrent evaluations, the competent authority should wish to see evidence of the successful running of the QTG between evaluations. The competent authority should select a number of tests to be run during the evaluation, including those that may be cause for concern. Again adequate notification would be given when special equipment is required for the test.	[MS:1; IND:0; INDIV:0] The following is proposed: a) Make the existing AMC-AR.ATO.220 to AMC1-AR.ATO.220 and add a new AMC2 to reposition the point5. from AM 4 to ATO 200 (amended) relating to team composition, because the alleviation on team composition is solely related to recurrent evaluations and so this is the appropriate place for it to be located. b) Transfer the BITD team	Accepted. The proposal is incorporated.	

		i di	T AK		4 Oct	2010
			composition in point8. to the new AMC because the guidance for team composition should be in one place for the recurrent process			
2.	equip	tially the time taken to run the objective tests depends upon the need for special ment, if any, and the test system, and the FSTD cannot be used for subjective tests or functions whilst testing is in progress.				
3.		modern FSTD incorporating an automatic test system, four hours would normally be red. FSTDs that rely upon manual testing may require a longer period of time.				
4.	profile	ective Testing. Essentially the same subjective test routine should be flown as per the described in AMC1- AR.ATO.200(a)(3) with a selection of the subjective tests taken CS-FSTD(A) or CS-FSTD(H), as appropriate.				
5.		ally, the time taken for recurrent subjective testing is about four hours, and the FSTD d not perform other functions during this time.				
6.	a tota meml	sure adequate coverage of subjective and objective tests during a recurrent evaluation, of eight hours should be allocated, (four hours for a BITD). However, it should be reserved that any FSTD deficiency that arises during the evaluation could necessitate the sion of the evaluation period.				
AMC2-AR.ATO.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification		TO.220 Continuation of an FSTD qualification		Text moved from AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1)5. to this position.		
СОМ	POSITIO	ON OF THE EVALUATION TEAM				
1.		omposition of the evaluation team for a recurrent evaluation should be the same as for itial evaluation (see AMC4-AR.ATO.200(a)(1).				
		case-by-case basis (except for BITD), when a specific FSTD in operation by a specific isation is being evaluated, the competent authority may reduce the evaluation team to:				
	a.	the competent authority's flight inspector; and				
	b.	a type rated instructor (or class rated instructor for FNPT) from a main FSTD user.				
2.	Evalu	ations with a reduced evaluation team in line with 1. abovemay only take place if:				
	a.	this composition is not being used prior to the second recurrent evaluation;				
	b.	such an evaluation is followed by an evaluation with a full competent authority evaluation team;				
	C.	the competent authority's flight inspector performs some spot checks in the area of objective testing;				
	d.	no major change or upgrading has been applied since the directly preceding evaluation;				
	e.	no relocation of the FSTD has taken place since the last evaluation;				
	f.	a system is established enabling the competent authority to monitor and analyse the status of the FSTD on a continuous basis; and				
	g.	the FSTD hardware and software has been working reliably for the previous years. This should be reflected in the number and kind of discrepancies (technical log entries)and the results of the compliance monitoring system audits.				
3.		case of a BITD, the recurrent evaluation may be conducted by one suitably qualified inspector only, in conjunction with the inspection of any ATO, using the BITD.				

		[MS:1; IND:0; INDIV:0] It is proposed to delete this AMC as it adds no value, not-	Accepted. This AMC is deleted.	4 Oct 2	(010
		withstanding that this was copied from JAR FSTD. The scenario that this paragraph considers is unclear and confusing. The main text of the IR is completely clear as to the requirements for evaluation of an upgrade. A special evaluation is required whenever the upgrade occurs (be it at an anniversary or not) and the only practical reason for continuing at a lower qualification level would be a failure to meet the upgrade requirements at that time. However, the evaluation for upgrade will determine the acceptability of ongoing operations.			
AMC 1-	-AR.ATO.230Changes	[MS:2; IND:1; INDIV:0]			
i t	AL An organisation operating an FSTD who wishes to modify, upgrade, de-activate or re-locate its FSTD should notify the competent authority. When considering applications for a change of the existing FSTD qualification level, the competent authority should ensure that accountability for the change is clearly defined.				
2. /	An individual department manager of the competent authority should be appointed under whose personal authority an FSTD qualification may be changed.				
ģ	A written application for a change, including appropriate extracts from the qualification test guide indicating proposed amendments should be submitted in a format and manner as specified by the competent authority. This application should be submitted no later than 30 days before the date of intended change, unless otherwise agreed with the competent authority.				
t t	On receipt of an application for a change of the existing FSTD qualification level, the competent authority should conduct such evaluations and inspections as are necessary to ensure that the full implications of the request have been addressed by the organisation operating the FSTD.				
	During the processing of a change request, the continued adequacy of the compliance monitoring should be reviewed.				
	When the request has been considered and examined, the competent authority should decide on the depth of inspection of the FSTD that is required.				
1	The department manager, if satisfied that the organisation operating the FSTD remains competent and the qualification level of the FSTD can be maintained, should issue revised FSTD qualification documentation, as appropriate.				
1	The competent authority should inform the organisation operating the FSTD of its decision within 30 days of receipt of all documentation where no evaluation is required, or within 14 days of any subsequent evaluation.				
	Such documentation includes the appropriate extracts from the QTG amended, when necessary, to the competent authority's satisfaction.				

	Par	t-AR		4.0-+ 2010
QUAL When equip evalu cases altern	L-AR.ATO.230 Changes ITY OF NEW TECHNOLOGY OR SYSTMES e an update to an FSTD involves a change of technology or the addition of a new system or ment which is not covered by the qualification basis used for the existing qualification, an ation of such changes may not be possible using this original qualification basis. For these is, the specific changes can be qualified by using newer certification specifications, new AMCs or native means of compliance, that apply to these changes, without affecting the overall qualification the FSTD. This approach should be documented.		New GM1-AR.ATO.230 added to give guidance to the competent authority on how new systems or equipment can be evaluated if not covered by the original qualification basis for the device.	4 Oct 2010
AMC: GENE 1.	1-AR.ATO.235Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate FRAL The competent authority's inspection and monitoring process should confirm the competent authority's continued confidence in the effectiveness of the compliance monitoring system of the organisation operating an FSTD, and its ability to maintain an adequate standard.	findings which may be raised by a competent authority's evaluation team as a result of bad knowledge of the FSTD or inappropriate use of it. A rational would be sometimes sufficient to clarify the misunderstanding and to avoid further investigation. If the competent authority, based on those "findings", suspends or revokes the qualification certificate, which prevents operators from using the FSTD, this is a serious issue. The commenter reports from his own experience that competent	Appeal process: The appeal procedure of the competent authority performing the evaluation and issuing (suspending, revoking) the qualification certificate has to be followed (seeAMC2-AR.ATO.235,5.). Expertise of the evaluation team: The availability and application of procedures for the education and the training of the competent authority's evaluation teams will be audited by EASA standardisation teams.	
2.	If the competent authority is not satisfied, the ATO operating an FSTD should be informed in writing of the details of the conduct of its operation which are causing the competent authority concern. The competent authority should require corrective action to be taken within a specified period(see AMC2-AR.ATO.200(a)(1) section 2.).			
3.	In the event that an organisation operating an FSTD fails, in spite of warning and advice, to satisfy the competent authority's concerns, a final written warning should, whenever possible, be given to the organisation together with a firm date by which specified action to satisfy the competent authority should be taken. It should be made clear that failure to comply may result in enforced limitation or suspension of the FSTD's qualification.			
4.	Circumstances may, however, preclude recourse to the process described under points 1 to 3above. In such cases the competent authority's duty to preserve quality of training, testing and checking is of paramount importance and therefore the competent authority may immediately limit or suspend any FSTD qualification which it has issued.			

		[MS:1; IND:1; INDIV:0]			
AMC	2-AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate	[]			
SUSP	ENSION AND LIMITATION				
1.	When a decision has been taken to suspend, or limit, an FSTD qualification certificate, the organisation operating an FSTD should be informed immediately by the quickest available means.				
2.	In the event of full suspension of an FSTD qualification certificate, the organisation operating an FSTD should be instructed that the FSTD concerned cannot be used for any credited training, testing or checking. The "quickest available means" will in most situations mean the use of a facsimile or email message.				
3.	This should be followed by a formal letter giving notice of suspension, or limitation, restating the requirement to cease operations as applicable, and also setting out the conditions on which suspension may be lifted.				
4.	If it becomes apparent to the competent authority that all operations have ceased over a period in excess of six months, the competent authority should consider opening the warning process described in AMC1-AR.ATO.235,1 4.				
5.	An FSTD qualification certificate should not remain suspended indefinitely. Further steps may be taken by the organisation operating an FSTD to reinstate the FSTD qualification or, in default, should be taken by the competent authority to revoke the FSTD qualification certificate. Should an organisation operating an FSTD wish to dispute the suspension of its FSTD's qualification certificate, it should be informed of such rights of appeal as exist under national regulations. If an appeal is lodged, the FSTD qualification may remain suspended until the appeal process is complete.	sentences is proposed to make the appeal process possible	Not accepted. The appeal procedure always starts when a decision has been made - not before. The appeal is against a decision.		
6.	Suspension of an FSTD qualification certificate may be lifted on appeal or if the organisation operating an FSTD restores the FSTD to its previously acceptable standard.				
7.	In neither case should operations be permitted to restart until it has been demonstrated that the cause of the suspension or limitation has been rectified. The competent authority may require a special evaluation depending on the severity of the problem.				
8.	The competent authority should issue a formal notice of the lifting of suspension before the organisation operating an FSTD is permitted to resume use of an FSTD.				
AMG	CAR ATO 225. Findings and something actions. FCTR multiplication contificate	[MS:0; IND:2; INDIV:0]			
АМС.	3AR.ATO.235 Findings and corrective actions - FSTD qualification certificate				
REVC 1.	CATION The competent authority should give the organisation operating an FSTD notice that it intends to revoke the FSTD qualification followed by a formal letter of revocation.				
2.	Should an organisation operating an FSTD wish to dispute this revocation, it should be informed of such rights of appeal as exist under national regulations. Once revoked, there can be no further activities under the terms of the FSTD qualification.	tence is proposed to make the appeal process possible before	Not accepted. The appeal procedure always starts when a decision has been made - not before. The appeal is against a decision.		
SUI	BPART MED - SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AERO-MEDICAL CERTIFICATION				
AMC	1-AR.MED.120 Medical assessor		The need to remain competent as medical assessor and the tasks to be performed in the post were mixed in that AMC. It	JAR-FCL 3.080	Annex I 1.2.4.7
EXPE	RIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE	auditing of AMEs NAAs and 1 pilot organisation	is not divided into two parts for		

4 Oct 2010

7 47	10711	4 C	Oct_2010	
	suggested deleting the mini- mum of 200 class 1 examina- tions			
1. Medical assessors should:				
 have considerable experience of aero-medical practice and have undertaken a mini- mum of 200 class 1 medical examinations or equivalent; and 				
b. maintain their professional competence in aviation medicine by undertaking regular re- fresher training including participation in international aviation medicine conferences.				
AMC2-AR.MED.120Medical assessor				
TASKS				
1. Medical assessors should				
 a. provide lectures in basic, advanced and refresher training courses for aero-medical ex- aminers (AMEs) and aero-medical centres (AeMCs); 				
b. carry out audits of AeMCs, AMEs and AME training facilities; and				
c. perform the aero-medical assessment of applicants for or holders of medical certificates after referral to the licensing authority.				
AMC1AR.MED.125Referral to the licensing authority	All NAAs recommended: • deleting wording "Class 1" in (1)	Both changes were agreed.	JAR-FCL 3.125	Annex I 1.2.4.8
REFERRAL TO THE LICENSINGAUTHORITY	 to replace "competent" by "licensing" authority. 			
1. The licensing authority should supply the AeMC or AME with all necessary information that lead to the decision on aero-medical fitness.				
The licensing authority should ensure that unusual or borderline cases are evaluated on a common basis.				
AMC1-AR.MED.135 Aero-medical forms				
STANDARD FORMS The forms referred to in AR.MED.135should follow this format:				

LOGO

CIVIL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION / MEMBERSTATE

APPLICATION FORM FOR AN AVIATION MEDICAL CERTIFICATE

Complete this page fully and in block capitals - Refer to instructions pages for details.

MEDICAL IN CONFIDENCE

(1) State of licence issue:		(2) Medica	l certificate applied fo	r: class 1 🗆	class 2	□ LAPL □ Othe	rs 🗆				
(3) Surname:		(4) Previou	us surname(s):		(12) Application Initial Revalidation/Renewal						
(5) Forenames:		(6) Date of	f birth(dd/mm/yyyy):	(7) Sex Male □ Female □	(13) Reference number:						
(8) Place and country of birth:		(9) Nationa	ality:		(14) Type	of licence applied for:					
(10) Permanent address:		(11) Postal	address (if different)		(15) Occu	pation (principal)					
					(16) Empl	•					
Country: Telephone No.: Mobile No.: e-mail:		Country Telephone	: No. :		(17) Last: Date: Place:	medical examination					
(18) Aviation licence(s) held (type): Licence number: State of issue:			Details:		edical Certi	ficate No □ Yes □					
(20) Have you ever had an aviation movoked by any licensing authority? No □ Yes □ Date: Country Details:		ded or re-	(21) Flight time hours	total:	(22)Flight	time hours since last med	ical:				
			(23) Aircraft class /typ	pe(s) presently	flown:						
(24) Any aviation accident or reported in No ☐ Yes ☐ Date: Place:	cident since last medical examina		(25) Type of flying int								
Details:			(26) Present flying act Single pilot □	ivity: Multi pilot □							
(27) Do you drink alcohol? ☐ No ☐ Yes, amount (29) Do you smoke tobacco? ☐ No, nev			(28) Do you currently No □ Yes □ State	use any medi	cation?	and why:					
(29) Do you smoke tobacco? ☐ No, nev☐ Yes, state type and amount:	rer \(\subseteq \text{No, date stopped:} \)										
General and medical history: Do you have, or have you Note: if revalidating at the same venue as last examina Yes No			halmic or other events or chan YesNo Family			ange, state this in 'Remarks,.					
101 Eye trouble/eye operation	112 Nose, throat or speech disorder		123 Malaria or other tro	pical disease		170 Heart disease					
102 Spectacles and/or contact	113 Head injury or concussion		124 A positive HIV test			171 High blood pressure					
lenses ever worn	114 Frequent or severe headaches		125 Sexually transmitted	d disease		172 High cholesterol leve		-			
103 Spectacle/contact lens prescrip-	115 Dizziness or fainting spells		126 Admission to hospi	tal		173 Epilepsy		+			
tions change since last medical exam.	116 Unconsciousness for any reason		127 Any other illness or			174 Mental illness		+			
104 Hay fever, other allergy	117 Neurological disorders; stroke,		128 Visit to medical pra		-	175 Diabetes		+			
105 Asthma, lung disease	epilepsy, seizure, paralysis, etc		since last medical exam			176 Tuberculosis		+			
106 Heart or vascular trouble	118 Psychological/psychiatric trouble	9	129 Refusal of life insur		177 Allergy/asthma/eczema						
107 High or low blood pressure	of any sort	+	130 Refusal of flying lic	ence	178 Inherited disorders						
108 Kidney stone or blood in urine	119 Alcohol/drug/substance abuse				-	179 Glaucoma					
109 Diabetes, hormone disorder 110 Stomach, liver or intestinal	120 Attempted suicide 121 Motion sickness requiring	+ +	132 Medical rejection fi	rom or for		Females only:					
trouble	medication		military service	on or ior		150 Gynaecological,		T			
111 Deafness, ear disorder	122 Anaemia / Sickle cell trait/other		133 Award of pension of	r		menstrual problems					
	blood disorders		compensation for injury			151 Are you pregnant?					
(30) Remarks: If previously reported ar	nd no change since, so state.										
(31) Declaration: I hereby declare that I have carefully consider made any false or misleading statements in connection with this CONSENT TO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION: I EASA Member State, recognising that these documents or electracording to national law. Medical Confidentiality will be respectively.	application, or fail to release the supporting medical hereby authorise the release of all information cont ronically stored data are to be used for completion of	information, the ained in this repo	Licensing Authority may refuse to got and any or all attachments to the	grant me a medical cert Medical Assessor of th	ificate or may with ne Licensing Author	ndraw any medical certificate granted. ority and where necessary to the Medical	Asessor of	another			
Date	Signature of app	olicant		Signature of	AME/GM	P (witness)					

MEDICAL EXAMINATION REPORT

MEDICAL IN CONFIDENCE

(201) Examina				(202) Hei	_	(203) Weigh		204)Colour	(205)Colour		lood Press) Pulse - r			_
Initial □Revalidation/Renewal□ (cm)			(cm)	1	(kg)	Ey	уe	Hair	seated (n	-	F	Rate ((bpm)	Rhyt			
Special referra	al 🗆				1	į				Systolic	Diasto	olic			regul		
~~	~' '1			* *1			L	1			Ш				irreg	gular	
Clinical exam			Norma	al Abnor	mai	$\overline{}$		Normal	Abnormal Abdomen, hernia	11:ron on	1				—т		
(208) Head, fa (209) Mouth, t		<u>p</u>				+	+		Abdomen, herma Anus, rectum	a, livei, spi	ieen				-+		
(209) Mouth, t						+	+		Anus, rectum Genito - urinary	rozetem			\longrightarrow	+	+		
(210) Nose, sii (211) Ears, dru		motility	,			+	+		Endocrine system				\longrightarrow	+	+		
(211) Ears, art						+	+		Upper & lower l		+0		\longrightarrow	 	\rightarrow		
(212) Eyes - 01 (213) Eyes - pi			iltius			+	+		Spine, other mus				\longrightarrow		\rightarrow		
(214) Eyes - o			mus			+	 		Neurologic - refl		aı		\longrightarrow	†	$\overline{}$	-	
(215) Lungs, c			II.C.			†	1	(225) P	Psychiatric						\neg		
(216) Heart						† <u> </u>			Skin, identifying	g marks an	d lympha	atics					
(217) Vascular	r system					†		(227) G	General systemic								_
(228) Notes: I	Describe ever	y abnorm	nal find	ing. Enter	applic	able item nu	mber be	fore each co	omment.							_	_
Visual acuity							(22() I		2(227)								
(229) Distant v				G-22	10		(236) r	'ulmonary	function(237)	Haemogio	bin						
ı	Uncorrected	1		Spec- tacles		ontact nses		/EV/C		0/					(unit)	4).	
Diaht arra	 	Cor	- to	tacies	ICII	ises	FEV ₁ /I	FVC		%			-		. (<i>unu)</i>)	
Right eye Left eye	-	Corr		+	+		Morma	al□ Abnorn	1		Normal	□ Abn	arma	-10			
Both eyes	 	Corr		+	+		Norma	ILI Aunom	laı∟ı		Norman	→ Aunc	Лии	ш			
Dom cycs	<u>I</u>	100	. 10				(235) I	UrinalysisN	Jormal□	Abnormal	П						
(230) Intermed	diate vision	Uncorr	rected	Correcte	.ed		Glucos		Protein		Blood		$\overline{}$	Other			
N14 at 100 cm		Yes	No	Yes		No							J	1			_
Right eye				†	工		Accom	npanying Re	eports				_			_	_
Lefteye					\top					Not perfo	ormed	Norma	al	Abnorm	nal / Co	omme	nt
Both eyes				†	T		(238) E					†	\Box				_
							(239) A	Audiogram				T					
(231) Near visi	ion	Uncorr	ected	Correcte	ed			Ophthalmolo									_
N5 at 30-50 cm	n	Yes	No	Yes	No	,	(241) C	ORL (ENT))				\Box				_
Right eye		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	\Box			Blood lipids				I					
Left eye		<u> </u>	$oxed{oxed}$	1				Pulmonary fi		<u> </u>		 					
Both eyes			1222	<u> </u>				Pulmonary f		<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
(232) Glasses) Contact l			(246) C	Other (what?	<u>?)</u>	<u></u>							
Yes Dight eve	No □		Yes I		lo 🗆		1										
Right eye		<u> </u>	 	+	+		☐ Fit C	01202				-					—
Left eye (313)Colou	narcent		Norn	 nal□Abnoi	l	1 '			ficate issued by		ad (conv	- attacher	4) for	- alagg			
Pseudo-isochro				:Ishihara (2				fit for class	Calt Issued of	Ulluciaigii	a (cop)	allaciica	1) 10.	Class _			
No of plates:	Jillatie piacec			f errors:	24 pius	es)			ther evaluation.	If ves, wh	v and to	whom?	,				
(234) Hearing			Right					1100 -	.Her C	· ** j,	.y w	****					
(when 241 not	t performed)				Left ear												
Conversational					∕es□		(248) (Comments,	, limitations								
with back turne	ed to examine	er	No□	N	No□												
^diamatry			<u></u>				L										
Audiometry Hz	500	1000	<u> </u>	2000	300	00											
Right	500	1000	+	.000	300	10											
Left	,———		+		+-												
(249) Medical	examiner's	declarat	ion:			. 4.4	,	- 1 11			- 1	2 - 4 -					
I hereby certif	y that I/my A	ME grou	ap have	personali	y exan	nined the app	plicant na	amed on thi	is medical exan	nination re	port and	that this	s repo	ort with a	any att	.achme	ent
(250) Place an	-	pletely a	nd com	ectly.		Agramadia	al avami	inor's name	and address:		TAME	certifica	oto N	· ·			
(230) Flace an	id date.					Actomedica	Il examin	nei s hanne	and address.		ANIE	Certifica	ile in	ð			
Aeromedical e	examiner's si	onature:			$\neg \neg$	ı											
11010	///	51				E-mail:											
						Telephone 1											

LIGHT AIRCRAFT PILOT LICENCE Shaded areas do not require completion

MEDICAL IN CONFIDENCE

		DIC	AL	CXA		LNAIJ	LU	N KE	P	JKI								
(201) Examin	ation Categor	ry		(202) Heig	ght	(203) Weig	ht	(204)Colou	ur	(205)Colour	(206) B	lood Pres	sure-	(207)) Pulse - 1	resting		
Initial □Revalidation/Renewal□ (cm) Special referral □			(kg)		Eye	- 1	Hair	seated (mmHg)	mHg) Rate		e (bpm) Rhythm						
Special referra	al 🗆										Systolic				(1)	regula		
~ F * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *											- ,					_	lar 🗆	
Clinical exam: Check each item Normal Abnormal					Normal Abnormal													
(208) Head, face, neck, scalp								(218	8) Ał	odomen, hernia	a liver si	oleen						
(209) Mouth,		<u> P</u>								nus, rectum	a, 11 (C1 , D	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
(210) Nose, si							1			enito - urinary	evetem							
(210) Nose, si		motility								docrine syster	_							
(211) Ears, ur (212) Eyes - c			Galda							per & lower l		+ a						
			ileius							•								
(213) Eyes - p										ine, other mus								
(214) Eyes - c			nus							eurologic - refl	exes, etc.							
(215) Lungs,	chest, breasts									ychiatric								
(216) Heart										in, identifying		id lympha	itics					
(217) Vascula										eneral systemic	2							
(228) Notes:	Describe ever	ry abnorm	al find	ing. Enter	applic	cable item nu	ımbe	r before eacl	h co	mment.								
Visual acuity																		
(229) Distant			(23)			unction (237	<u>) Ha</u>	emoglobin										
	Uncorrected	d		Spec-	Co	ontact												
				tacles	lei	nses	FE	V ₁ /FVC _			%					(unit)		
Right eye		Corr	. to															
Left eye		Corr	: to				No	rmal□ Abn	norm	al□		Normal	☐ Ab	norma	ıl□			
Both eyes		Corr	. to															
		I					(23	5) Urinalysi	sisNo	rmal□	Abnorma	1 🗆						
(230) Interme	diate vision	Uncorr	ected	Correcte	d		$\overline{}$	ıcose		Protein		Blood			Other			
N14 at 100 cn		Yes	No	Yes		No												
Right eye						- 1	Ac	companying	g Re	ports								
Lefteye								p y e	<u> </u>		Not perf	ormed	Nori	mal	Abnorm	al/Com	ment	
Both eyes							(23	88) ECG			1vot peri	ornica	1					
Both cycs								(9) Audiogra	am		•							
(221) 3/	·	7.7	. 1	- C	1			-										
(231) Near vis		Uncorr		Correcte				0) Ophthalm		gy								
N5 at 30-50 cr	m	Yes	No	Yes	No)		1) ORL (EN										
Right eye								3) Blood lip										
Left eye							_	3) Pulmonar										
Both eyes			L	L.			$\overline{}$	4) Pulmonar					<u> </u>					
(232) Glasses				Contact le			(24)	6) Other (wl	hat?)									
Yes □	No □		Yes I	→ No) 🗆													
Type:			Type:				$\overline{}$,		niner's recon	ımendati	on						
Refraction		Sph	Cyl	Axis		Add	Na	me of applic	cant:						Date	e of birt	h:	
Right eye																		
Left eye								Fit class LAl										
(313) Colo u			Norm		norma					cate issued by	undersigr	ed (copy	attach	ed) for	r class L	APL		
Pseudo-isochr	omatic plates	3	Type:	Ishihara (2	24 pla	tes)	☐ Unfit for class LAPL											
No of plates:				errors:				Deferred fo	or fu	rther evaluatio	n. If yes,	why and	to who	m?				
(234) Hearing	g		Right															
(when 241 no	t performed)				eft ear	<u> </u>												
Conversationa	al voice te	st(2 m)	Yes□		es□		(24	8) Commen	nts, l	imitations								
with back turn	ned to examin	er	No□	N	ο□													
Audiometry	<u> </u>																	
Hz	500	1000	2	.000	30	00												
Right																		
Left																		
(249) Medica	l examiner's	declarat	ion:															
				nined the	applic	ant named o	n thi	is medical ex	xami	nation report	and that t	his report	with	any at	tachment	embod	ies my	
findings com										1		1		-			,	
(250) Place at						AME/GMF	nan	ne and addre	ess			AME certificate No./ GMP declar					eclara-	
													tion date:					
AME/GMP s	ignature:					1												
						E-mail:												
						Telephone												
1	ļ							Telefay No:										