EASA	NOTIFICATION OF A PROPOSAL TO ISSUE A CERTIFICATION MEMORANDUM			
X	A CERTIFICATION MEMORANDUM EASA Proposed CM No.: EASA Proposed CM - AS - 002 Issue: 01 Issue Date: 22 nd of March 2012 Issued by: Avionics Systems section Approved by: Head of Certification Experts Department Regulatory Requirement(s): CS 25.1301, 25.1302, 25.1307, 25.1309, 25.1316, 25.1321, 25.1322, 25.1325, 25.1329, 25.1431, 25.1581. CS 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1311, 23.1321, 23.1322, 23.1325.			
	23.1329, 23.1335, 23.1431, 23.1581. Equivalent requirements of CS/FAR 27 and 29 if applicable.			

In accordance with the EASA Certification Memorandum procedural guideline, the European Aviation Safety Agency proposes to issue an EASA Certification Memorandum (CM) on the subject identified below.

All interested persons may send their comments, referencing the EASA Proposed CM Number above, to the e-mail address specified in the "Remarks" section, prior to the indicated closing date for consultation.

EASA Certification Memoranda clarify the European Aviation Safety Agency's general course of action on specific certification items. They are intended to provide guidance on a particular subject and, as non-binding material, may provide complementary information and guidance for compliance demonstration with current standards. Certification Memoranda are provided for information purposes only and must not be misconstrued as formally adopted Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC) or as Guidance Material (GM). Certification Memoranda are not intended to introduce new certification requirements or to modify existing certification requirements and do not constitute any legal obligation.

EASA Certification Memoranda are living documents into which either additional criteria or additional issues can be incorporated as soon as a need is identified by EASA.

Subject

Clarifications to AMC 20-27

Log of Issues

Issue	Issue date	Change description
01	22.03.2012	First issue.

Table of Contents

1 TNT	RODUCTION	4
1 1	Purnose and Scone	4
1.1.	References	- Д
1 2	Abbroviations	5
1.3.	Definitions	7
2 PAC		。 。
2. DAC	A CEDTIEICATION DOI ICY	0
J. EAS	EASA Deliev	0
J.I. 2 D	What his Cartification Mamarandum Affacta	0
3.2.		0
4. GEN	IERAL APPLICADILITY AND INTENDED USE OF AMC 20-27	9
4.1.		9
4.2.	Applicability	9
4.3.		9
	USE OF GNSS/SBAS GEOMETRIC ALTITUDE AS A SOURCE OF ALTITUDE	1
	ROACHES TO LINAV/ VINAV MINIMA	.U
5.1.		10
5.2.	Conditions for Accontance of SBAS/CNISS Coometric Altitude	10
J.J. E 2 1	Conditions for Acceptance of SDAS/GNSS Geometric Annuale	10
5.5.	I. Equipment Qualification I. Equipment Qualification I. Installation Dequirements	11
5.3.	2. Installation Requirements	11
5.5.	5. Fullculular Requirements 4. Integrating SPAS/CNSS //NA//	11
5.3.4	4. Integrating SDAS/GNSS VINAV	17
5.3.3		12
5.3.0	0. AFM OF POH	12
5.3.		
	D VEDTICAL DEVIATIONS AS ODDOSED TO THE LINEAD DEVIATIONS	C
ANGULA	$\frac{1}{10} \text{ TN AMC } 20_27$	12
6 1	Identification of Issue	13
6.2	Applicability	13
6.3	Conditions for Accentance of Angular Vertical Deviation	13
63	The angular deviation is provided within the vertical boundaries of the	15
`star	1. The angular deviation is provided within the vertical boundaries of the 1	12
63	The angular deviation complies with a Full Scale Deviation (FSD) of ± 0.250	15
from	$2.$ The angular deviation completes with a run scale Deviation (15D) of $\pm 0.25^{\circ}$	12
	EDTANCE OF DEVICUS DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLETANCE WITH FAA AC	13
20-129	FOR CREDIT FOR AMC 20-27 AIRWORTHINESS AND OPERATIONAL	
		4
7 1	Identification of Issue	14
7 2	Applicability	14
73	Rationale	14
74	Airworthiness Annroval	15
75	Considerations for Existing Operational Approvals	15
8. REM	IARKS	17

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this Certification Memorandum is to provide specific guidance, within the context of AMC 20-27, on:

- General applicability and intended use of AMC 20-27;
- The use of SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude as a source of altitude for approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima;
- Provisioning of steering and monitoring signals with angular vertical deviations as opposed to the linear deviations assumed in AMC 20-27;
- Acceptance of previous demonstration of compliance with FAA AC 20-129 for credit for AMC 20-27 airworthiness and operational approval.

This Certification Memorandum describes how the additional clarifications and interpretations may be applied by an applicant for airworthiness or operational approval.

1.2. REFERENCES

It is intended that the following reference materials be used in conjunction with this Certification Memorandum:

Reference	Title	Code	Issue	Date
EASA AMC 20-27	Airworthiness Approval and Operational Criteria for RNP APPROACH (RNP APCH) Operations Including APV BAROVNAV Operations			23/12/2009
EASA NPA 2009-04 (AMC 20-28)	Airworthiness Approval and Operational Criteria for on-board equipment related to Area Navigation for Global Navigation Satellite System approach operation to Localiser Precision with Vertical guidance minima using Satellite Based Augmentation System			19/03/2009
FAA AC 20-129	Airworthiness Approval of Vertical Navigation (VNAV) Systems for Use in the U.S. national Airspace System (NAS) and Alaska			12/09/1988
FAA AC 20-130A	Airworthiness Approval of Navigation or Flight Management Systems Integrating Multiple Navigation Sensors			14/06/1995
FAA AC 20-138B	Airworthiness Approval of Positioning and Navigation Systems			27/09/2010
ETSO C115b	Airborne Area Navigation Equipment Using Multi-sensor Inputs			24/10/2003

[©] European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved.

Reference	Title	Code	Issue	Date
ETSO C145c	Airborne Navigation Sensors Using the Global Positioning System Augmented by the Satellite Based Augmentation System			14/12/2010
ETSO C146c	Stand Alone Airborne Navigation Equipment Using the Global Positioning System Augmented by the Satellite Based Augmentation System			14/12/2010
RTCA DO- 229C	Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Global Positioning System/Wide Area Augmentation System Airborne Equipment			28/11/2008
RTCA DO- 229D	Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Global Positioning System/Wide Area Augmentation System Airborne Equipment			13/12/2006

1.3. ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations are used in this Certification Memorandum:

Abbreviation	Meaning				
AC	Advisory Circular				
АМС	Acceptable Means of Compliance				
AFM	Aircraft Flight Manual				
АРСН	Ap proa ch				
ΑΡ٧	Approach with Vertical guidance				
ARINC	Aeronautical Radio, Incorporated				
BARO	Baro metric				
CDI	Course Deviation Indicator				
СМ	C ertification M emorandum				
CRI	Certification Review Item				
EASA	European Aviation Safety System				
EGNOS	European Geostationary Navigation Overlay Service				
EHSI	Electronic Horizontal Situation Indicator				
ETSO	European Technical Standard Order				
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration				

 \textcircled European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved. Proprietary document. Copies are not controlled. Confirm revision status through the EASA-Internet/Intranet.

Abbreviation	Meaning		
FSD	Full Scale Deflection		
FTEz	Flight Technical Error (Vertical)		
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System		
GPS	Global Positioning System		
HSI	Horizontal Situation Indicator		
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organisation		
LNAV	Lateral Navigation		
LPV	Localiser Performance with Vertical guidance		
MAPt	Missed Approach Point		
мос	Minimum Obstacle Clearance		
MSL	Mean Sea Level		
NAA	National Aviation Authority		
NAS	[US] National Airspace System		
NPA	Notice of Proposed Amendment		
PANS-OPS	Procedures for Air Navigation Services – Aircraft Operations		
PBN	Performance Based Navigation		
РОН	Pilot Operating Handbook		
RNP	Required Navigation Performance		
RTCA	Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics		
SBAS	Space Based Augmentation System		
STC	Supplemental Type Certificate		
тс	Type Certificate		
TSEz	Total System Error (Vertical)		
VNAV	Vertical Navigation		
VDI	Vertical Deviation Indicator		
WAAS	Wide Area Augmentation System		
WGS-84	World Geodetic Survey 1984		

 \textcircled European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved. Proprietary document. Copies are not controlled. Confirm revision status through the EASA-Internet/Intranet.

1.4. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions are used in this Certification Memorandum:

Definition	Meaning			

2. BACKGROUND

At the time of writing of AMC 20-27, certain assumptions were made, which either did not reflect the latest technological advances, or appeared too restrictive for TC holders and operators of existing aircraft. Following various meetings with manufacturers, national aviation authorities (NAAs), aircraft operators and their representative bodies, EASA has agreed to provide further guidance and clarifications on the subjects identified in the introduction. Each of the subjects will be discussed in a separate chapter in this Certification Memorandum.

3. EASA CERTIFICATION POLICY

3.1. EASA POLICY

The clarifications and guidance provided in this Certification Memorandum relate to the acceptable means of compliance documented in AMC 20-27, which was published in December 2009.

3.2. WHO THIS CERTIFICATION MEMORANDUM AFFECTS

This Certification Memorandum affects applicants for airworthiness approval (TC, STC, Major Change), aircraft operators, National Aviation Authorities and EASA experts when working on projects where the additional guidance of this Certification Memorandum may be applicable.

4. GENERAL APPLICABILITY AND INTENDED USE OF AMC 20-27

4.1. IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUE

From discussions with applicants for airworthiness approval, aircraft operators and NAAs, it became clear that the applicability of specific sections of the AMC and in particular the division between airworthiness requirements and operational requirements was not always understood. Consequently, requirements were not always applied as intended, resulting in discussions with the applicants for airworthiness approval and sometimes additional burden to the operators.

4.2. **APPLICABILITY**

The criteria and clarifications in this section apply to aircraft operators, applicants for airworthiness approval and aviation authorities.

4.3. INTENDED APPLICATION OF AMC 20-27

AMC 20-27 contains 11 sections and 5 appendices. The intended application of the guidance and means of compliance provided in AMC 20-27 is as follows:

- Sections 1 through 5, Section 11 and Appendix 1 provide general and background information, assumptions and reference documents. These sections provide information which may be useful to all.
- Sections 6 through 9 contain airworthiness requirements. Demonstration of compliance with the requirements of these sections is typically expected from applicants seeking airworthiness approval of; a Type Certificate (TC), a Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) or a Major Change to a TC. The Aircraft Flight Manual (AFM) or Pilot Operating Handbook (POH) or a supplement thereto generally contain statements indicating the types of operation for which compliance has been demonstrated, with reference to EASA AMCs.
- Section 10 and associated Appendices 2, 3, 4 and 5 contain operational requirements. Demonstration of compliance with these requirements is typically expected from an aircraft operator and should be provided to the authority responsible for oversight of the operator's operations for approval.

5. THE USE OF GNSS/SBAS GEOMETRIC ALTITUDE AS A SOURCE OF ALTITUDE FOR APPROACHES TO LNAV/VNAV MINIMA

5.1. IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUE

When AMC 20-27 was drafted, it was assumed that vertical navigation (VNAV) would be based on barometric altitude. With the introduction of Space Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS), such as the US Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) and more recently the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay Service (EGNOS), GNSS geometric altitude augmented by SBAS corrections, became available to aircraft operators as a viable second source of altitude, provided that they had the required SBAS capable GNSS receivers installed.

This second source of altitude was considered, but it was assumed that those systems would primarily be used to support Approaches with Vertical Guidance (APV) to Localiser Performance with Vertical guidance (LPV) minima, which would be covered in a separate AMC, namely AMC 20-28 (NPA 2009-04). Industry standards, like RTCA DO-229C (and later revisions), however contained provisions which would enable SBAS capable GNSS receivers to provide steering commands and guidance data on selected approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima. Industry appeared keen to bring such systems to the market, but certification of aircraft equipped with those systems to the strict requirements of AMC 20-27 proved to be difficult.

5.2. **A**PPLICABILITY

The criteria and clarifications provided in this section of the Certification Memorandum are provided to applicants for airworthiness approval, except for those in paragraph 5.3.6, which could be useful for operators of aircraft equipped with systems using SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude as a source of altitude for approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima, and their NAA.

5.3. CONDITIONS FOR ACCEPTANCE OF SBAS/GNSS GEOMETRIC ALTITUDE

After consultation with internal and external experts, EASA decided that SBAS/GNSS may be used as a source of altitude on approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima, provided that the aircraft installation complies with the following criteria:

5.3.1. Equipment Qualification

The requirements of AMC 20-27 paragraphs 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 do not apply to equipment providing VNAV based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude and should be substituted by the following:

5.3.1.1. GNSS SBAS Stand-alone Navigation system

GNSS SBAS stand-alone equipment should be approved in accordance with ETSO-C146c class Gamma, operational class 3.

5.3.1.2. Integrated Navigation System Incorporating a GNSS SBAS sensor

The equipment should incorporate a GNSS SBAS sensor approved in accordance with ETSO-C145c class Beta, operational class 3.

Note: Aircraft that have previously been demonstrated to comply with FAA AC 20-130A and ETSO C-115b (or subsequent versions), should only comply with the performance requirements of Chapter 2.3 of RTCA DO-229C.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved.

5.3.1.3. Approach system incorporating class Delta GNSS SBAS equipment

The equipment should be approved in accordance with ETSO-C146c class Delta, operational class 4.

5.3.2. Installation Requirements

5.3.2.1. Vertical Accuracy

The requirements of AMC 20-27 paragraph 6.3.2 do not apply to equipment providing VNAV based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude and should be substituted by the following:

Systems compliant with the requirements of paragraph 5.2 above are considered to provide equal or better vertical accuracy than the requirements of AMC 20-27 paragraph 6.3.2 prescribe, provided that the guidance is adequately displayed on a vertical deviation display (Reference is made to paragraph 5.2.2.2).

5.3.3. Functional Requirements

5.3.3.1. Display

The requirements of AMC 20-27 paragraph 7.1 item 1 and paragraph 7.2, item 1 do not apply to equipment providing VNAV based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude and should be substituted by the following:

Approach guidance must be continuously displayed on a lateral and vertical deviation display (HSI, EHSI, CDI/VDI) including a failure indicator and must meet the following requirements:

- 1. This display must be used as primary means of guidance during the approach.
- The display must be visible to the flight crew and located in the primary field of view (± 15 degrees from the normal line of sight) when looking forward along the flight path.
- 3. The deviation display must have a suitable full-scale deflection based on the required track keeping accuracy.

5.3.3.2. Database

The requirements of AMC 20-27 paragraph 7.1 item 3 remain applicable, with the additional requirement that for systems that provide VNAV based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude, the flight crew should only be able to retrieve an approach to LNAV/VNAV minima when the approach has been appropriately coded, i.e. and indication 'A' in the ARINC 424 coded Navigation Database (see note below).

Note:

In order for an approach to LNAV/VNAV minima to be flown with VNAV guidance based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude, the angular nature of the guidance should have been taken into account in the procedure design. States publishing the approach should explicitly declare whether or not angular guidance has been accounted for in the approach design. Where approaches with angular guidance can be used, this is indicated by the database provider by coding an approach with the character 'A' in the ARINC 424 coding of the navigation database. This is not visible to the flight crew. However, if the coding of the approach indicates that the approach cannot be flown with angular guidance, the flight crew should not be able to retrieve the approach from the Navigation Database.

5.3.4. Integrating SBAS/GNSS VNAV

Because BARO-VNAV will be in use for most flight phases, including missed approach, there will be a need to transition from BARO-VNAV to SBAS/GNSS-VNAV and vice versa during the final and missed approach segments. Aspects to consider when transitioning from one source to the other include:

[©] European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved.

Proprietary document. Copies are not controlled. Confirm revision status through the EASA-Internet/Intranet.

- 1. Temperature errors, particularly if operating outside the allowable BARO-VNAV temperature range.
- 2. MSL versus WGS-84 ellipsoid for path definition.
- 3. Curved BARO-VNAV path versus straight SBAS/GNSS path.
- 4. Linear BARO-VNAV guidance versus angular SBAS/GNSS guidance.

These issues can cause discontinuities or jumps that have the potential to destabilise the aircraft on final or missed approach, resulting in unacceptable pilot workload. The applicant for airworthiness approval should demonstrate that:

Transitions between BARO-VNAV and SBAS/GNSS VNAV and vice-versa are smooth, i.e. there should be no transients or jumps that would result in either a sudden change in aircraft position on the flight path or in commands that could contribute to destabilisation of the aircraft.

Note:

Although depicted as a straight line on the approach chart, BARO-VNAV follows the earth's surface, implying a slightly curved glide path. SBAS/GNSS VNAV on the other side calculates a straight glide path from the missed approach point (MAPt) to a point in space.

5.3.5. Operational Criteria

The operational criteria of section 10 and associated appendices 2 through 5 of AMC 20-27 have been written with the assumption that BARO-VNAV would be used as the sole means of altitude information. Consequently, the procedures contained therein will have to be modified to adapt the procedures for the use of SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude.

The applicant for airworthiness approval should provide documentation containing alternate procedures, appropriate to the use of SBAS/GNSS altitude to the operator of the aircraft, to enable the operator to obtain operational approval from the NAA. The alternate procedures should consider all the aspects addressed in section 10 of the AMC 20-27.

Aspects that may need particular attention are operational characteristics and alternate criteria for monitoring of the procedure, in order to ensure adequate obstacle clearance and a stabilised approach. Transitions from BARO-VNAV to SBAS/GNSS-VNAV and vice versa should also be addressed.

5.3.6. AFM or POH

In order to indicate the types of operation for which compliance has been demonstrated, the AFM should include the following statement:

"The aircraft complies with the criteria of AMC 20-27 for RNP approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima, with the exception that VNAV is based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude."

5.3.7. Interpretation

AMC 20-27 was written with the assumption that VNAV would be based on barometric altimetry (BARO-VNAV). The AMC 20-27 therefore contains many references to BARO-VNAV. Although this Certification Memorandum addresses the main differences between VNAV-based on barometric altimetry and VNAV based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altimetry, it would be nearly impossible to cover all references to barometric VNAV. Where the AMC refers to BARO-VNAV and no explicit guidance is provided in this Certification Memorandum, the reader of this Certification Memorandum should replace this reference by SBAS/GNSS VNAV.

© European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved.

6. PROVISIONING OF STEERING AND MONITORING SIGNALS WITH BAROMETRIC ANGULAR VERTICAL DEVIATIONS AS OPPOSED TO THE LINEAR DEVIATIONS ASSUMED IN AMC 20-27

6.1. IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUE

Some applicants have approached the EASA with proposals for provisioning steering commands and monitoring data for approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima with angular deviations, where the altitude source for the VNAV is based on barometric altimetry.

6.2. **APPLICABILITY**

The criteria and clarifications in this section are provided to applicants for airworthiness approval of systems which provide angular steering commands and guidance data, based on barometric VNAV. Systems based on SBAS/GNSS geometric altitude are addressed in section 5 of this Certification Memorandum; this section is not applicable to those systems.

Although the contents of this section may provide useful information to aircraft operators and aviation authorities responsible for oversight of these operators, this section primarily provides criteria and guidance on airworthiness aspects. Aircraft operators are therefore not expected to demonstrate compliance with the criteria of this section.

6.3. CONDITIONS FOR ACCEPTANCE OF ANGULAR VERTICAL DEVIATION

The EASA has identified two possible options for provisioning of angular deviation:

- The angular deviation is provided within the vertical boundaries of the 'standard' linear approach to LNAV/VNAV minima.
- The angular deviation complies with a Full Scale Deflection (FSD) of $\pm 0.25^{\circ}$ from the glide path, as defined in RTCA DO-229D.

The applicable criteria for both options are discussed in the paragraphs below.

6.3.1. The angular deviation is provided within the vertical boundaries of the `standard' linear approach to LNAV/VNAV minima.

Most of the airworthiness and operational criteria of AMC 20-27 would apply, with the notable exception of the criteria for monitoring of the approach: Obviously, the requirement to monitor that deviation above and below the vertical path must not exceed \pm 75 feet cannot be applied with angular deviations. The applicant should therefore propose an alternate means of monitoring to the EASA for review and acceptance.

Operational procedures and flight crew training instructions should be provided to the aircraft operator in a suitable format to enable the operator to incorporate the procedures in their own operating manuals and provide adequate training.

6.3.2. The angular deviation complies with a Full Scale Deviation (FSD) of $\pm 0.25^{\circ}$ from the glide path, as defined in RTCA DO-229D.

In this case, more deviations from the airworthiness and operational criteria of AMC 20-27 are foreseen, which require detailed review and analysis. Aspects that need particular consideration include the vertical accuracy of the system and temperature compensation. The EASA would support such applications, but considers the matter too complex and application specific to address in this Certification Memorandum. A project specific Certification Review Item (CRI) will be issued in support of such an application.

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved.

7. ACCEPTANCE OF PREVIOUS DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH FAA AC 20-129 FOR CREDIT FOR AMC 20-27 AIRWORTHINESS AND OPERATIONAL APPROVAL

7.1. IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUE

Paragraph 6.3.2 of AMC 20-27 contains the VNAV requirements, including vertical Flight Technical Error (FTEz) limits which the applicant should demonstrate. These are considerably more stringent than those found in FAA AC 20-129 and in the attachment to Vol. II of the ICAO PBN manual. Operators, applicants for airworthiness approval, aviation authorities and their representative bodies have objected to the more stringent requirements, because it would require re-certification of existing aircraft.

7.2. APPLICABILITY

The criteria and clarifications in this section are provided to aircraft operators, applicants for airworthiness approval and aviation authorities.

7.3. RATIONALE

The reason for the more stringent requirements in AMC 20-27 is an inconsistency between the values found in FAA AC 20-129 (cancelled by FAA AC 20-138B) and in the attachment to Vol. II of the PBN manual and PANS OPS design criteria: The allowable Vertical Total System Error (TSEz) values found in the referenced documents exceed the ICAO PANS-OPS Minimum Obstacle Clearance (MOC) buffer of 246 ft. at altitudes above 5000 ft.

The PANS OPS (ICAO Doc 8186, Volume III) nominal obstacle clearance margin, excluding the additional buffer for abnormal operation, is 246 ft. The allowable Vertical Total System Error (TSEz) values listed in § 8 (b) of FAA AC 20-129 and in the attachment to Vol. II of the PBN manual could in specific situations result in inadequate obstacle clearances, hence a potentially unsafe situation. The table below provides the differences between FAA AC 20-129 / Attachment II to the PBN and EASA AMC 20-27:

	FAA AC 20-129 / Attachment II to PBN		EASA AMC 20-27		
Altitude Band	FTE _z	TSEz	FTE _z	TSEz	
≤ 5000 ft. (MSL)	200 ft.	224 ft.	150 ft.	199 ft.	
5000 to 10,000 ft. (MSL)	300 ft.	335 ft.*	150 ft.	238 ft.	
≥ 10,000 ft. (MSL)	300 ft.	372 ft.*	150 ft.	298 ft.*	

Note: The values marked with an star (*) exceed the PANS-OPS MOC criteria.

[©] European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved.

As can be seen from the table, nominal obstacle clearance margin is already slightly exceeded, above 5000 feet, even with the reduced specification for FTE_z of AMC 20-27.

Note: The vertical performance limits for BARO-VNAV operations along a specified vertical profile defined in FAA AC 20-138B are more stringent and consistent with ICAO PANS-OPS requirements.

7.4. AIRWORTHINESS APPROVAL

Although the EASA recognises the additional burden put on the OEMs to recertify their aircraft to the new requirements of AMC 20-27, the EASA is taking into account the potential safety issues resulting from the inconsistence between FAA AC 20-129, Attachment II of the ICAO PBN and the ICAO PANS-OPS manual.

Considering that the number of RNP-APCH operations with BARO-VNAV (or APV BARO-VNAV) at airfields at altitudes higher than 5000 feet is limited we have adapted the following guidance with regard to acceptance of previous demonstration of compliance with FAA AC 20-129 for credit for AMC 20-27 airworthiness approval:

- 1. The vertical accuracy requirements of § 6.3.2 of AMC 20-27 will not be changed.
- 2. Aircraft which have not previously been demonstrated to comply with the requirements of FAA AC 20-129 will have to fully comply with the requirements of AMC 20-27.
- 3. Aircraft which have previously been demonstrated to comply with the requirements of FAA AC 20-129 may, under certain conditions, be eligible for acceptance without further demonstration of FTE values, albeit with limitations.

With reference to the conditions referred to in item 3 above, the following general guidelines are applicable:

- The applicant should provide to the EASA proof that the aircraft has previously been approved to the requirements of AC 20-129.
- The applicant should provide to the EASA a document stating how the requirements of AMC 20-27 have been complied with, with the exception of FTE_z/TSE_z requirements.
- The aircraft should be equipped with a suitably scaled indicator to enable the flight crews to comply with operational requirements of AMC 20-27 Section 10, Appendix 4, paragraph 1.3 for monitoring deviations from the intended vertical path.
- The AFM should include a limitation that BARO-VNAV approaches to airfields at elevations above 5000 ft (MSL) are not permitted.

7.5. CONSIDERATIONS FOR EXISTING OPERATIONAL APPROVALS

Operational approvals for BARO-VNAV approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima had been issued by European NAAs prior to publication of AMC 20-27. The EASA understands the implications associated with recognition of existing operational approvals, versus issuance of approvals under a new regime.

Nevertheless, the EASA would strongly recommend that aircraft operators and National Aviation Authorities consider the safety implications of possible deviations below the nominal obstacle clearance surface and apply measures consistent with those taken by the EASA in the field of airworthiness approval, i.e. limit approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima with FAA AC 20-129 compliant aircraft to airfields at elevations not exceeding 5000 feet (MSL).

Note:

The new European Regulation on Air operation will have an effect on existing operational approval. When these rules are applicable, existing approvals can only remain valid if they comply with applicable requirements. If operational approvals have been issued on an

outdated standard, the competent authority has to ensure through oversight that the operator transitions to the new standard to maintain the approval valid. The operational approval process for PBN is regulated in Annex V Part-SPA Subpart SPA.PBN.

8. REMARKS

- 1. This EASA Proposed Certification Memorandum will be closed for public consultation on the 4th of May 2012. Comments received after the indicated closing date for consultation might not be taken into account.
- 2. Comments regarding this EASA Proposed Certification Memorandum should be referred to the Certification Policy and Planning Department, Certification Directorate, EASA. E-mail <u>CM@easa.europa.eu</u> or fax +49 (0)221 89990 4459.
- 3. For any question concerning the technical content of this EASA Proposed Certification Memorandum, please contact:

Name, First Name: HOEKEMA, Hette

Function: Avionics Systems Expert

Phone: +49 (0)221 89990 4302

Facsimile: +49 (0)221 89990 4802

E-mail: hette.hoekema@easa.europa.eu