

Advisory Circular

Federal Aviation Administration

Subject:

CERTIFICATION OF NORMAL

CATEGORY ROTORCRAFT

Date:

9/30/2008

AC No: 27-1B Change: 3

Initiated by: ASW-110

PURPOSE.

a. This Advisory Circular (AC) publishes needed changes to the existing AC material as a result of a safety-focused study.

- This change revises existing material in 6 sections.
- c. The change number and the date of the changed material are shown at the top of each page. The vertical lines in the right or left margin indicates the beginning and end of each change. Pages that have different page numbers, but no text changes, will retain the previous heading information.
- d. This AC does not change regulatory requirements and does not authorize changes in, or deviations from, regulatory requirements. This AC establishes an acceptable means, but not the only means, of compliance. Since the guidance material presented in this AC is not regulatory, terms having a mandatory definition, such as "shall" and "must," etc., as used in this AC, apply either to the reiteration of a regulation itself, or to an applicant who chooses to follow a prescribed method of compliance without deviation.
- 2. <u>PRINCIPAL CHANGES</u>. Sections 27.571, 27.679, 27.695, 27.783, 27.901A, and 27.1351 are revised.
- 3. <u>WEBSITE AVAILABILITY</u>. To access this AC electronically, log on to http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl and then click on AC's.

AC 27-1B, Chg 3 PAGE CONTROL CHART

Remove Pages	Dated	Insert Pages	Dated
C-83 thru C-87 D-39 thru D-40 D-45 thru D-46 D-71 thru D-72 E-5 thru E-6	9/30/99 & 4/25/06 9/30/99 & 2/12/03 9/30/99 9/30/99 9/30/99 9/30/99	C-83 thru C-88 D-39 thru D-40.2 D-45 thru D-46 D-71 thru D-72.2 E-5 thru E-6	/99, 4/25/06, & 9/30/08 9/30/08 9/30/99 & 9/30/08 9/30/99 & 9/30/08 9/30/08 9/30/08

Signed by Scott A. Horn for

Mark R. Schilling Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate Aircraft Certification Service

SUBPART C - STRENGTH REQUIREMENTS (continued)

GENERAL (continued)

		Page No
AC 27.307A AC 27.309	Proof of Structure Design Limitations	
	FLIGHT LOADS	
AC 27.321 AC 27.337 AC 27.339 AC 27.341 AC 27.351 AC 27.361	Flight Loads - General Limit Maneuvering Load Factor Resultant Limit Maneuvering Loads Gust Loads Yawing Conditions Engine Torque	
	CONTROL SURFACE AND SYSTEM LOADS	
AC 27.391 AC 27.395 AC 27.395 AC 27.397 AC 27.399 AC 27.401 AC 27.403 AC 27.403 AC 27.403 AC 27.411 AC 27.413 AC 27.413 AC 27.413 AC 27.427	Control Surface and System Loads - General Control Surface and System Loads - General Control System Control System Limit Pilot Forces and Torques Dual Control System Auxiliary Rotor Assemblies Auxiliary Rotor Assemblies Auxiliary Rotor Attachment Structure Auxiliary Rotor Attachment Structure Ground Clearance: Tail Rotor Guard Stabilizing and Control Surfaces Stabilizing and Control Surfaces Unsymmetrical Loads	C-15 C-17 C-17 C-18 C-18 C-20 C-20 C-21 C-21 C-21 C-22 C-22 C-24
	GROUND LOADS	
AC 27.471 AC 27.473 AC 27.475 AC 27.477 AC 27.479 AC 27.481 AC 27.483 AC 27.485 AC 27.493	Ground Loads - General Ground Loading Conditions and Assumptions Tires and Shock Absorbers Landing Gear Arrangement Level Landing Conditions Tail-Down Landing Conditions One-Wheel Landing Conditions Lateral Drift Landing Conditions Braked Roll Conditions	C-28 C-29 C-29 C-30 C-31 C-31

SUBPART C - STRENGTH REQUIREMENTS(continued)

GROUND LOADS (continued)

	Page No.
AC 27.497	Ground Loading Conditions:
AC 27.501	Landing Gear With Tail Wheels Ground Loading Conditions: C-34
AC 27.501A	Landing Gear With Skids Ground Loading Conditions: C-35
AC 27.505	Landing Gear With Skids Ski Landing Conditions
	WATER LOADS
AC 27.521	Float Landing Conditions
	MAIN COMPONENT REQUIREMENTS
AC 27.547	Main Rotor Structure
AC 27.549	Fuselage, Landing Gear, and Rotor Pylon Structure
	EMERGENCY LANDING CONDITIONS
AC 27.561 AC 27.561A AC 27.561B AC 27.561C AC 27.562 AC 27.563 AC 27.563A	Emergency Landing Conditions - GeneralC-40Emergency Landing Conditions - GeneralC-41Emergency Landing Conditions - GeneralC-42Emergency Landing Conditions - GeneralC-43Emergency Landing Dynamic ConditionsC-43Structural Ditching ProvisionsC-81Structural Ditching ProvisionsC-81
	FATIGUE EVALUATION
AC 27.571 AC 27.571A	Fatigue Evaluation of Flight Structure
	SUBPART D - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
	GENERAL
	Page No.
AC 27.601 AC 27.602 AC 27.603 AC 27.605 AC 27.607	Design D-1 Critical Parts D-2 Materials D-4 Fabrication Methods D-4 Fasteners D-5

SUBPART D - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

GENERAL (continued)

	Page No.
AC 27.609	Protection of Structure
AC 27.610	Lightning Protection
AC 27.610A	Lightning and Static Electricity Protection
AC 27.611	Inspection Provisions
AC 27.613	Material Strength Properties
AC 27.613A	Material Strength Properties
	and Design Values
AC 27.619	Special Factors
AC 27.621	Casting Factors
AC 27.623	Bearing Factors D-27
AC 27.625	Fitting Factors
AC 27.625A	Fitting Factors
AC 27.629	Flutter
	<u>ROTORS</u>
AC 27.653	Pressure Venting and Drainage of Rotor Blades
AC 27.659	Mass Balance
AC 27.661	Rotor Blade Clearance D-31
AC 27.663	Ground Resonance Prevention Means
AC 27.663A	Ground Resonance Prevention Means
	CONTROL SYSTEMS
AC 27.671	Control Systems - General
AC 27.672	Stability Augmentation, Automatic,
7.0 27.012	and Power-Operated Systems
AC 27.673	Primary Flight Control
AC 27.674	Interconnected Controls
AC 27.675	Stops
AC 27.679	Control System Locks
AC 27.681	Limit Load Static Tests
AC 27.683	Operation Tests D-41
AC 27.685	Control System Details
AC 27.685A	Control System Details
AC 27.687	Spring Devices
AC 27.691	Autorotation Control Mechanism
AC 27.695	Power Boost and Power-Operated Control System

SUBPART D - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION (continued)

		Page No.
	LANDING GEAR	
AC 27.723 AC 27.725 AC 27.727 AC 27.727A AC 27.729 AC 27.731 AC 27.733 AC 27.735 AC 27.737	Shock Absorption Tests Limit Drop Test Reserve Energy Absorption Drop Test Reserve Energy Absorption Drop Test Retracting Mechanism Wheels Tires. Brakes Skis	D-50 D-50 D-51 D-51 D-54 D-55 D-56
	FLOATS AND HULLS	
AC 27.751 AC 27.753 AC 27.755	Main Float Buoyancy Main Float Design Hulls	D-60
	PERSONNEL AND CARGO ACCOMMODATIONS	
AC 27.771 AC 27.773 AC 27.775 AC 27.775A AC 27.777 AC 27.779 AC 27.783 AC 27.785 AC 27.785A AC 27.785B AC 27.785B AC 27.785B AC 27.787A AC 27.787A AC 27.801 AC 27.801 AC 27.807 AC 27.807 AC 27.807 AC 27.807B AC 27.807B AC 27.831 AC 27.833	Pilot Compartment View Windshields and Windows. Windshields and Windows. Cockpit Controls. Motion and Effect of Cockpit Controls. Doors. Seats, Berths, Safety Belts, and Harnesses. Seats, Berths, Safety Belts, and Harnesses. Seats, Berths, Litters, Safety Belts, and Harnesses. Cargo and Baggage Compartments. Cargo and Baggage Compartments. Ditching. Flight Crew Emergency Exits Emergency Exits. Emergency Exits. Emergency Exits. Emergency Exits. Emergency Exits. Ventilation. Heaters.	D-63 D-68 D-68 D-68 D-69 D-72.2 D-74 D-74 D-78 D-80 D-80 D-82 D-82 D-91 D-91 D-93 D-93 D-93
	FIRE PROTECTION	
AC 27.853 AC 27.853A AC 27.855	Compartment Interiors Compartment Interiors Cargo and Baggage Compartments SUBPART D - DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION (continued)	D-99
Page viii		

FIRE PROTECTION (continued)

	Pa	age No.		
AC 27.859 AC 27.861 AC 27.861A AC 27.863	Heating Systems	D-105 D-106		
	EXTERNAL LOAD ATTACHING MEANS			
AC 27.865 AC 27.865A AC 27.865B	External Load Attaching Means External Load Attaching Means External Load Attaching Means (previously MG 12)	D-110		
	MISCELLANEOUS (DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION)			
AC 27.871 AC 27.873	Leveling Marks			
SUBPART E - POWERPLANT				
	GENERAL			
AC 27.901 AC 27.901A AC 27.903 AC 27.903A AC 27.907	Installation Installation Engines Engine Vibration	E-5 E-5.2 E-7		
	ROTOR DRIVE SYSTEM			
AC 27.917 AC 27.921 AC 27.923 AC 27.923A AC 27.923B AC 27.927 AC 27.927A AC 27.931 AC 27.935 AC 27.939	Design Rotor Brake Rotor Drive System and Control Mechanism Tests Rotor Drive System and Control Mechanism Tests Rotor Drive System and Control Mechanism Tests Additional Tests Additional Tests Shafting Critical Speeds Shafting Joints Turbine Engine Operating Characteristics	. E-11 . E-12 . E-17 . E-18 . E-19 . E-21 . E-21		

SUBPART E - POWERPLANT (continued)

FUEL SYSTEM (continued)

AC 27.952 Fuel System Crash Resistance			Page No.
AC 27.953 Fuel System Independence	AC 27.951	Fuel System - General	E-29
AC 27.954 Fuel System Lightning Protection	AC 27.952	Fuel System Crash Resistance	E-31
AC 27.955 Fuel Flow	AC 27.953		
AC 27.955A AC 27.959 AC 27.959 AC 27.961 AC 27.961 AC 27.961 Fuel System Hot Weather Operation. E-65 AC 27.961 AC 27.963 AC 27.965 AC 27.967 AC 27.967 AC 27.967 AC 27.967 AC 27.967 AC 27.969 AC 27.969 AC 27.969 AC 27.971 AC 27.971 AC 27.973 AC 27.973 AC 27.973 AC 27.973 AC 27.975 AC 27.976 AC 27.976 AC 27.977 AC 27.977 AC 27.978 AC 27.978 AC 27.978 AC 27.9797 AC 27.997 AC 27.999 AC 27.1011 AC 27.999 AC 27.1011 AC 27.999 AC 27.1011 AC 27.999 AC 27.1013 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1013 AC 27.1015 AC 27.991 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1015 AC 27.992 AC 27.1015 AC 27.902 AC 27.1015 AC 27.1016 AC 27.101	AC 27.954		
AC 27.959 Unusable Fuel Supply E-64 AC 27.961 Fuel System Hot Weather Operation			
AC 27.961 Fuel System Hot Weather Operation	AC 27.955A		
AC 27.961A Fuel System Hot Weather Operation. E-67 AC 27.963 Fuel Tanks: General			
AC 27.963			
AC 27.963A Fuel Tanks: General			
AC 27.963B Fuel Tanks: General			
AC 27.965 Fuel Tank Tests. E-69 AC 27.967 Fuel Tank Installation E-70 AC 27.969 Fuel Tank Expansion Space E-72 AC 27.971 Fuel Tank Sump E-73 AC 27.971 Fuel Tank Sump E-74 AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Filler Connection. E-74 AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Vents E-75 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL			
AC 27.967 Fuel Tank Installation			
AC 27.969 Fuel Tank Expansion Space E-72 AC 27.969A Fuel Tank Expansion Space E-72 AC 27.971 Fuel Tank Sump E-73 AC 27.971 Fuel Tank Sump E-74 AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-74 AC 27.973A Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-75 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-76 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.975B Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-87			
AC 27.969A Fuel Tank Expansion Space E-72 AC 27.971 Fuel Tank Sump E-73 AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-74 AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-75 AC 27.974 Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-75 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-76 AC 27.975A Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.971 Fuel Tank Sump			
AC 27.971A Fuel Tank Sump E-74 AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-74 AC 27.973A Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-75 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-76 AC 27.975A Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.975B Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-81 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.973 Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-74 AC 27.973A Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-75 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-76 AC 27.975A Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.975B Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.973A Fuel Tank Filler Connection E-75 AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-76 AC 27.975A Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.975B Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.975 Fuel Tank Vents E-76 AC 27.975A Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.975B Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991			
AC 27.975A Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.975B Fuel Tank Vents E-77 AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Outlet E-78 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.975B AC 27.977 Fuel Tank Vents E-77 FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.993 A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87	그렇게 하는 얼마나 얼마나 되었다.		
FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.991 Fuel Pumps E-80 AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM	AC 27,977	Fuel Tank Outlet	E-/8
AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87		FUEL SYSTEM COMPONENTS	
AC 27.991A Fuel Pumps E-81 AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87	AC 27 001	Fuel Dumpe	E 80
AC 27.993 Fuel System Lines and Fittings E-81 AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.995 Fuel Valves E-82 AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.997 Fuel Strainer or Filter E-82 AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.997A Fuel Strainer or Filter E-83 AC 27.999 Fuel System Drains E-84 AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.999			
AC 27.999A Fuel System Drains E-85 OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
OIL SYSTEM AC 27.1011 Oil System - General E-86 AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87			
AC 27.1011 Oil System - General	AO 21.333A	r dei Gystein Dians	
AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87		OIL SYSTEM	
AC 27.1013 Oil Tanks E-86 AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87	AC 27.1011	Oil System - General	E-86
AC 27.1015 Oil Tank Tests E-87	AC 27.1013		
	AC 27.1015		
	AC 27.1017		

SUBPART E - POWERPLANT (continued)

OIL SYSTEM	(continued)
------------	-------------

	Pa	ige No
AC 27.1019 AC 27.1019A AC 27.1021 AC 27.1027	Oil Strainer or Filter Oil Strainer or Filter Oil System Drains Transmission and Gearboxes: General	E-89 E-90
	COOLING	
AC 27.1041 AC 27.1041A AC 27.1043 AC 27.1045 AC 27.1045A	Cooling - General General Cooling Tests Cooling Test Procedures Cooling Test Procedures	E-94 E-94 E-97
	INDUCTION SYSTEM	
AC 27.1091 AC 27.1091A AC 27.1093 AC 27.1093A	Air Induction	E-100 E-100
	EXHAUST SYSTEM	
AC 27.1121 AC 27.1123	General Exhaust Piping E	
	POWERPLANT CONTROLS AND ACCESSORIES	
AC 27.1141 AC 27.1141A AC 27.1143 AC 27.1143A AC 27.1143B AC 27.1145 AC 27.1147 AC 27.1151 AC 27.1163	Powerplant Controls: General E Powerplant Controls: General E Engine Controls E Engine Controls E Ignition Switches E Mixture Controls E Rotor Brake Controls E Powerplant Accessories E	E-118 E-118 E-119 E-119 E-120 E-120 E-121
	POWERPLANT FIRE PROTECTION	
AC 27.1183 AC 27.1185 AC 27.1187	Lines, Fittings, and Components E Flammable Fluids E Ventilation E	E-125

SUBPART E - POWERPLANT (continued)

POWERPLANT FIRE PROTECTION (continued)

	Page No.
AC 27.1189 AC 27.1191 AC 27.1193 AC 27.1193A AC 27.1194 AC 27.1195	Shutoff Means E-127 Firewalls E-128 Cowling and Engine Compartment Covering E-132 Cowling and Engine Compartment Covering E-133 Other Surfaces E-134 Fire Detector Systems E-135
	SUBPART F - EQUIPMENT
	GENERAL
AC 27.1301 AC 27.1303 AC 27.1305 AC 27.1305A AC 27.1305B AC 27.1307 AC 27.1309	Function and InstallationF-1Flight and Navigation InstrumentsF-1Powerplant InstrumentsF-7Powerplant InstrumentsF-8Powerplant InstrumentsF-9Miscellaneous EquipmentF-11Equipment, Systems, and InstallationsF-13
	INSTRUMENTS - INSTALLATION
AC 27.1321 AC 27.1322 AC 27.1323 AC 27.1325 AC 27.1327 AC 27.1329 AC 27.1335 AC 27.1337 AC 27.1337	Arrangement and Visibility F-34 Warning, Caution, and Advisory Lights F-34 Airspeed Indicating System F-39 Static Pressure Systems F-40 Magnetic Direction Indicator F-41 Automatic Pilot System F-41 Flight Director Systems F-50 Powerplant Instruments F-51 Powerplant Instruments F-52
	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT
AC 27.1351 AC 27.1353 AC 27.1357 AC 27.1361 AC 27.1365 AC 27.1367	Electrical Systems and Equipment - General F-55 Storage Battery Design and Installation F-58 Circuit Protective Devices F-62 Master Switch F-64 Electric Cables F-64 Switches F-66

SUBPART C - STRENGTH REQUIREMENTS

FATIGUE EVALUATION

AC 27.571. § 27.571 (Amendment 27-26) FATIGUE EVALUATION OF FLIGHT STRUCTURE.

- a. <u>Explanation</u>. An evaluation is required to assure structural reliability of the rotorcraft in flight. This evaluation may take the form of either tests or analysis. During the certification process, fatigue testing is more effective than analysis alone in identifying and preventing cracking that may occur during service. Analysis used for substantiation should be validated by tests.
- (1) Chapter 3 AC 27 MG 11 contains background information and acceptable means of compliance with the requirements. A safe life may be assigned or the structure may be fail safe as prescribed or a combination of these may be used.
- (2) Mandatory inspections, service life (replacement times) etc., determined in complying with the standard shall be placed in the Airworthiness Limitations Section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (also called Maintenance Manual). See Appendix A of FAR Part 27, paragraphs A27.4 and paragraph AC 27.1529 for information.
- (3) Amendment 27-26 amended the standard to require evaluation of the landing gear and their related primary attachments.
- (4) Amendment 27-26 also amended the standard to require evaluation of ground-air-ground cycles on the rotorcraft, and if applicable, of external cargo operations. Previously external cargo operations were evaluated whenever the rotorcraft cargo combination exceeded the "standard" maximum certificated gross weight, and the CG range specified in § 27.25(c). If these limits were not exceeded, an evaluation was not required by the standard prior to Amendment 27-26.

b. Procedures.

- (1) The fatigue evaluation requires consideration of the following factors:
 - (i) Identification of the structure/components to be considered.
 - (ii) The stress during operating conditions.
- (iii) The operating spectrum or frequency of occurrence including frequency of ground-air-ground cycles, as well as external cargo operations.

(iv) Fatigue strength, and/or fatigue crack propagation characteristics, residual strength of the cracked structure.

- (2) Since the design limits, e.g., rotor RPM (maximum and minimum), airspeed, and blade angles (thrust, weight, etc.) affect the fatigue life of the rotor system, it is necessary that flight conditions be conducted at limits that are appropriate for the particular rotorcraft and at the correct combination of these limits. It will be the responsibility of engineering and flight test personnel to determine that the flight strain program proposal includes conditions of flight at the various combinations of rotor RPM, airspeed, thrust, etc., that will be representative of the limits used in service. The flight test personnel should assure that the severity of the maneuvers to be investigated is such that actual service use will not be more severe. Verification that proposed maneuvers are suitable may be achieved by:
- (i) Flying a representative set of maneuvers with the applicant's pilot in the test aircraft at noncritical combinations of weight, CG, and speed. (An FAA/AUTHORITY letter for specific test authorization would ordinarily be required.) If the procedure is used, the applicant should provide adequate preliminary flight strain data from development or other tests to confirm a cleared (non-critical) flight envelope for conduct of these representative maneuvers.
- (ii) Flying a representative set of maneuvers with the applicant's pilot in a similar (certified) model to assess and agree upon the required maneuvers, control deflections, and aircraft rates. The required maneuvers or conditions will be specified in the flight strain program plan.
- (iii) Flying a chase aircraft which has a flight envelope appropriate to allow visual confirmation of the proposed and programmed flight maneuvers.
- (iv) Observation of telemetered flight data to assure desired control deflections, rates, and aircraft attitudes.
 - (v) Some combinations of items b(2)(i) through b(2)(iv) above.
 - (3) Assessing the operation spectrum and the flight loads or strain measurement program will involve airframe, propulsion, and flight test personnel.
- (4) Variation in the operating or loading spectrum among models, and variations in the spectrum for a particular model rotorcraft, should be evaluated. Figure AC 27 MG 11-7 contains typical flight load measurement program conditions to be investigated. An example of a twin turbine spectrum is presented in Figure AC 27 MG 11-9. The tables should be used only as a guide and should be modified as necessary for each particular rotorcraft design.

9/30/2008 AC 27-1B, Chg 3

(5) The difference in loading spectrum for different models that may be anticipated is illustrated by comparing the percentage of time assigned to level flight conditions, specifically 0.8 V_H to 1.0 V_H for three different rotorcraft designs as shown in figure AC 27.571-1. (V_H is the maximum airspeed at maximum continuous power in level flight.) The first column applies to a single-piston-engine powered small rotorcraft used in utility operations. The second column is appropriate for a single-turbine-engine powered seven-place small business and utility rotorcraft. The third column is appropriate for a twin-engine-powered 13 passenger transport rotorcraft. It should be noted that the level flight percentage of occurrences shown in figure AC 27.571-1 for the turbine utility business and turbine transport rotorcraft are examples of particular designs. The high percentage of time shown in this level flight regime could be unconservative for some designs, especially if the stresses under these design conditions produce an infinite fatigue life for the particular component. The fatigue spectrum percentage of occurrences should be modified according to the intended operation usage of the rotorcraft. However, a conservative application should be considered. This variation illustrates the "tailoring" of the loading spectrum for the type of rotorcraft and the anticipated usage.

FIGURE AC 27.571-1

Comparison Percent of Time in Level Flight

Piston <u>Utility</u>		Turbine Utility <u>Business</u>		Twin Turbine <u>Transport</u>	
0.8 V _{NE} 1.0 V _H 1.0 V _{NE}	25% 15% <u>3%</u>	0.8 V _H 0.9 V _H 1.0 V _H	16% 21% <u>24%</u>	0.8 V _H 0.9 V _H 1.0 V _H	15% 20% <u>38%</u>
Total	43%		61%		73%

- (6) External cargo operations are a unique and demanding operation. A "logging" operator may use 50 maximum power applications per flight hour to move logs from a cutting site to a hauling site. Power is used to accelerate, decelerate, or hover prior to load release. Lifting loads over an obstruction or natural barrier is another example of very frequent high power applications for takeoff and for hovering over the release area. Similar types of operations require flight loads data to assess the effects on fatigue critical components.
- (7) The impact of the external cargo operation on standard configuration limits should be assessed to determine whether or not the component service lives, inspections, etc., will be affected. The assessment may be done by calculating an "external cargo configuration" service life for each critical component. The lowest

service life obtained from standard configuration flight loads data and loading spectrum, or from external cargo configuration flight loads data and loading spectrum or from frequent ground-air-ground cycles is generally the approved service life or replacement time. Since the regulatory maintenance and operating rules do not require recording time in service for the different types of operations, this procedure could be used if an "operational cycles" equation for equivalent flight hours is not approved (see (8) below).

- (8) The Airworthiness Limitations Section of the maintenance manual shall contain the required information derived from complying with the standard. If an "operational cycles" equation for "equivalent flight hours" is approved under the standard, the equation is included in this approved section of the manual.
- (9) The applicant should plan to conduct a flight loads survey program for both a standard configuration and an external cargo configuration, if applicable. The ground-air-ground cycle is inherent in these conditions. This procedure will avoid delays associated with reinstallation and calibration of equipment.

AC 27.571A. §27.571 (Amendment 27-33) FATIGUE EVALUATION OF FLIGHT STRUCTURE FOR CATEGORY A CERTIFICATION.

- a. <u>Explanation</u>. Amendment 27-33 added Appendix C to specify the requirements for Category A certification of normal category rotorcraft. The requirement for fatigue tolerance evaluation will require test evidence to support the analysis.
- b. <u>Procedures</u>. For Category A certification, the tests specified in paragraph AC 29.571A are required for fatigue tolerance evaluation. Paragraph AC 29.571A is repeated in this section.
- (1) Fatigue test evidence is necessary for the fatigue evaluation of gears. The test evidence should be provided by rotating tests of complete gearbox specimens operating under power. The tests provide the basis for analysis leading to the establishment of safe life.
- (2) The tests are conducted specifically for the purpose of gear tooth evaluation, and components subjected to the tests do not have to be considered serviceable on completion of the test. Excessive wear on bearings and shafts and marking (including spalling) of bearings and gear teeth are acceptable provided no fatigue damage is evident on the gear teeth. However fatigue damage other than tooth fatigue should be considered for test validity and the integrity of the affected part confirmed as necessary.
- (3) The test conditions (torque versus number of cycles) should permit the setting of mean strength curve(s) to be associated with each primary gear in the drive train. The test conditions, should at a minimum, encompass those power levels for which repeated application inservice is expected under normal circumstances. The S-N curve(s), for the material and type of gear, should be reduced by a factor of safety to

9/30/2008 AC 27-1B, Chg 3

take into account material and manufacturing variability. The factored curve will then be used in conjunction with the flight power spectrum to determine a life (limited or unlimited) for the gears in the primary drive system.

- (4) Special procedures, which do not affect fatigue evaluation of the gear teeth, may be allowed to facilitate completion of the test provided they have been justified and they do not affect life determination. These include periodic interruption for inspection, etc., replacement of non-critical parts and the use of special lubricants, special cooling systems, and methods to prevent unrepresentative deflections at the test torque levels.
- (5) From evidence in relation to the strength of steel gears of conventional design, it is accepted that adequate fatigue strength can be demonstrated by the use of the above safety factor of 1.4 for a single test, 1.35 for two tests, 1.32 for three tests, and 1.3 for four or more tests. Where several tests are to be conducted, specimens should be selected from different manufacturing batches if practicable.
- (6) The demonstration of infinite life for gear teeth will normally require tests of a minimum of 10⁷ cycles duration at factored power levels. Use of shorter duration tests should be justified.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

9/30/2008 AC 27-1B, Chg 3

(2) Location of stops in close proximity to each end of a control system will allow the stop to provide its function most efficiently without undue deflections between the stop and its adjacent surface or its adjacent cockpit control lever or pedals. The location of stops close to the control lever or surface will help meet the requirement that the stop (and its function) not be appreciably affected by wear, slackness, or take-up adjustments. Consideration should be given to limiting the total amount of take-up adjustment of both the stop and the control systems to preclude a hazardous adjustment of the control surface range of travel by either normal or extreme take-up adjustment.

- (3) Each stop is to be substantiated for critical design conditions from either pilot effort, aerodynamic loads, hydraulic loads, and other critical loads, as applicable. The stops can be substantiated for limit loads by the tests of § 27.681.
- (4) The stops to limit the main rotor blade about its hinge points should be positioned to prevent the blades from striking any part of the structure, particularly during startup and shutdown operations. These stops should also limit the flapping of the static main rotor blades of the rotorcraft when they are subjected to ground gusts and rotor wash from nearby taxiing rotorcraft. Provisions should be made to prevent overloading the stops or the blade under conditions of ground gusts and rotor wash effects or during autorotational landing flares. The need for provisions to prevent possible overloads due to ground gusts and close taxiing by adjacent rotorcraft and by autorotational landing can be determined using the instrumented flight load survey aircraft by hover-taxiing another rotorcraft near the instrumented aircraft and by conducting autorotational landing flares with the instrumented aircraft. Substantiation for the final main rotor flapping stop design can be demonstrated by similar tests.
- (5) If features of design are added to the main rotor stop assembly which activate certain portions of the stop assembly only on the ground to meet the requirement that the blade not hit the droop stop during any operation other than starting and stopping the rotor, such features of design must be substantiated to reliably operate by both ground tests and flight tests, as appropriate. Wear and rigging tolerances should be considered in these demonstration tests.

AC 27.679. § 27.679 CONTROL SYSTEM LOCKS.

a. <u>Explanation</u>. The rule requires that if control system locks are provided, means are necessary to prevent the rotorcraft from taking off with the locks engaged or, once airborne, to prevent the locks from engaging in flight.

- b. <u>Procedures</u>. Three main approaches may be used to meet the requirements of this rule.
- (1) The first approach is to provide a means to disengage the locks "automatically" as the pilot operates the controls. If this method is used, the means must disengage the locks in a manner so that they will not automatically re-engage during flight. The means may be physical removal of the locking device from close proximity to the control system interface, with deliberate crew action necessary to return the lock to the control system interface, or the means may be that the mechanism geometry or actions, or both, prevent the locking device from engaging in flight.
- (2) The second approach is to provide locks that prevent take off with the locks engaged. Acceptable means are features which prevent engine startup or which restrict collective control operations to prevent sufficient lift for takeoff.
- (3) The third approach is to provide a means that warns the pilot when a flight control lock system is engaged, such as through a non-cancelable warning message or through distinct control lock markings which are clearly visible to the pilot under all conditions (day and night).
- (4) Unless it can be shown that the control locks cannot be inadvertently engaged (taking into account manufacturing tolerances and maladjustments), a means should be provided that allows, in flight, a single pilot to safely disengage any flight control lock. For example, the control lock design might include frangible fittings. Further, the RFM should describe the appropriate pilot corrective action needed to disengage the control lock system while in flight.
- (5) The rotorcraft Instructions for Continued Airworthiness should include appropriate maintenance checks and procedures to be completed following modification (for example, via STC or field approval), maintenance, alignment, or adjustment that affects the flight control system locks.

AC 27.681. § 27.681 LIMIT LOAD STATIC TESTS.

a. Explanation.

- (1) The rule requires static tests of the control system in showing compliance with limit load requirements.
- (2) The tests are specified to include each fitting, pulley, and bracket of the control system being tested and to include the "most severe loading."
- (3) Also, the rule requires that compliance with bearing factors (reference § 27.623) be shown by individual tests or by analyses for control system joints subject to motion.

b. <u>Procedures</u>.

- (1) Compliance with the requirements of this rule is obtained by static tests conducted on either a static test airframe or on a prototype flying ship. In either case, conformity of the control system and related airframe is necessary to validate the tests.
- (2) The rotor blades or aerodynamic surfaces may be used to react pilot effort loads through the control system, or they may be replaced with fixtures. If fixtures are used, they should be evaluated for geometric and stiffness efforts to ensure test validity.
- (3) The loads to be applied during the limit load static tests are specified in §§ 27.395, 27.397, and 27.399. The loads are applicable to collective, cyclic, yaw, and rotor blade control systems as well as any other flight control systems provided by the design.
- (4) Although Part 27 does not explicitly specify the bearing factors to be used in control system rotating joint tests or analyses, the factors of § 29.685 have been used in past programs. These factors are 3.33 for push-pull systems and 2.0 for cable systems for joints with plain bearings and manufacturers' ratings for ball and roller bearings.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

9/30/1999 AC 27-1B

(10) Section 27.1309

Equipment, systems, and installations.

b. Explanation.

- (1) The rule requires an alternate system if a power boost or power-operated control system is used.
- (2) The alternate system must, in the event of any single failure in the power portion of the system, or in the event of failure of all engines:
 - (i) Be immediately available.
 - (ii) Allow continued safe flight and landing.
 - (3) The alternate system may be:
 - (i) A duplicate power portion of the system; or
 - (ii) A manually operated mechanical system.
 - (4) The power portion of the system includes:
 - (i) The power source (such as hydraulic pumps); and
 - (ii) Items such as valves, lines, and actuator.
- (5) The failure of mechanical parts (such as piston rods and links) must be considered unless their failure is extremely improbable.
- (6) The jamming of power cylinders must be considered unless their jamming is considered extremely improbable.
- c. <u>Procedures</u>. It is assumed in the following discussion that the power boost or power-operated control system being utilized is a typical aircraft hydraulic system.
- (1) The rule requires, without respect to the probability of failure, an alternate system for the <u>power portion</u> of the system. The <u>power portion</u> of the system, by example in the rule, includes hydraulic pumps, valves, lines, and actuators. It has also been interpreted to include seals, servo valves, and fittings.
- (2) If a duplicate power portion of the system is used to meet the requirements of the rule, the requirements may be met by providing a dual independent hydraulic system, including the reservoirs, hydraulic pumps, regulators, connecting tubing, hoses, servo valves, servo-valve cylinder, and power actuator housings. There must be no

commonality in fluid-carrying components. A break in one system should not result in fluid loss in the remaining system.

- (3) Dual actuators should be designed to ensure that any single failure in the duplicated portion of the system, such as a cracked housing, broken interconnecting input, or broken interconnecting output link, does not result in loss of total hydraulic system function.
- (4) A manually operated mechanical system may be used as the alternate system to a single hydraulic system if, after the loss of the single hydraulic system, the pilot can control the rotorcraft without exceptional piloting skill and strength in any normal maneuver for a period of time as long as that required to effect a safe landing. The control forces should not exceed those specified in § 27.397 and flight characteristics should meet the requirements of §§ 27.141 (b) and (b)(3).
- (5) The substantiation of the various system components should include consideration for operation in the normal and alternate system modes.
- (6) The "extremely improbable" criteria noted in § 27.695(c) for failure of mechanical parts may be satisfied by performing component fatigue testing and establishing a service life through this technique.
- (7) Fatigue substantiation of the control actuator is required under § 27.571 and should consider both the stresses imposed by flight loads and the stresses imposed by hydraulic pump pressure pulses. Flight loads factored in a conservative way may be an acceptable means to take into account both effects.
- (8) The possibility of jamming of the power cylinder may be shown as "extremely remote" through a failure analysis that considers every possible system component failure such as, but not limited to, ruptured lines, pump failure, regulator failure, ruptured seals, clogged filters, jammed servo valves, broken interconnecting servo valve inputs, broken interconnecting output links, etc.
- (9) Three acceptable means to meet the requirements of § 27.695(a)(2) could be as follows:
- (i) Provide two transmission-driven hydraulic pumps, provided the pumps are driven by the transmission during all flight conditions including autorotation.
- (ii) Use two electrically-driven hydraulic pumps if electrical power is available to drive the pumps with all engines failed. If this approach is used, the battery must be capable of running both pumps plus all other required equipment necessary for continued safe flight.
- (iii) Use a single transmission-driven pump and an electrically driven pump.

9/30/1999 AC 27-1B

(i) Cyclic.

Switch Direction	Flight Control System /Autopilot Configuration	Aircraft Response
Forward/up	basic trim	nose down
	airspeed/groundspeed mode selected	increased airspeed forward speed reference
	vertical speed mode selected (without airspeed mode engaged)	increased rate of descent/decreased rate of climb
	hover mode selected	increased ground- speed or forward acceleration reference
Left	basic trim	left wing down
	heading mode selected	slow heading reference left
	hover mode selected	increased ground- speed or acceleration reference to left
(ii) Callantina	(account of a second or too	of avia)

(ii) <u>Collective</u> (assumes switch is mounted on top of grip).

Switch Direction	Flight Control System /Autopilot Configuration	Aircraft Response
Forward	control position hold	down collective
	vertical speed mode selected	increased rate of descent/decreased rate of climb
	hover mode selected	decreased hover height reference
Left	control position hold	increase left pedal
	hover mode selected	slow heading reference left

(iii) Opinions are divided concerning the preferred convention for forward and rearward motion of slew switches mounted atop the collective grip. Part of the reason appears to stem from the fact that such a switch is never used in a purely control position trim capacity. The switch has normally remained nonfunctional until a vertical autopilot mode is selected. At that point, the switch is viewed by one pilot/engineer contingent as either an autopilot reference slew function or a power increase/decrease switch which should follow the "forward equals increase" convention. The other group views the switch as a form of control position trim and finds the "forward equals down collective" convention to be more consistent with the sensing used for the cyclic beep switches. An obvious solution is to mount collective/vertical axis switches in a vertical orientation on the grip. Barring that alternative, viable arguments can be made for either philosophy. The recommended convention was selected following a survey of manufacturers and test pilots.

9/30/2008 AC 27-1B, Chg 3

[This page intentionally left blank.]

AC 27.783. § 27.783 DOORS.

a. Explanation.

- (1) Closed cabins must have at least one external door that is adequate and easily accessible for all of the occupants. The standard envisaged a door intended for normal use and for an emergency exit for all passengers. The passenger compartment, itself, should not be partitioned.
- (2) Passenger doors should not be located near main or tail rotors such that persons using the door or doors would be endangered while entering or leaving the aircraft. The discs of engines or other propulsion system devices were not included in this standard. Procedures or instructions may be used to support compliance. Section 27.1565 concerns tail rotor markings.
- (3) Cabin doors of normal category rotorcraft should inherently comply with the exit standards in § 27.807(b) concerning the size of the unobstructed opening, accessibility, location, method of opening, arrangement, probable jamming due to fuselage deformation, and possibly markings inside and outside. The standards for the features and characteristics of exits should be applied to cabin doors unless an "exit" is also installed in the same side of the fuselage. The marking standards of § 27.1557(d) for exits should be applied to doors unless the door is readily identified and its opening features are simple and obvious. It is not necessary to use red and white colors, provided the door instructions and markings are conspicuous.
- (4) If the door is used as a "ditching exit," the threshold of the door/exit must be above the waterline of the rotorcraft while in calm water (§ 27.807(d)). Note that "ditching approval" under § 27.801 is an optional standard.
- (5) If a lock is used as an optional feature, the lock must not engage inadvertently or, as a result of mechanical failure, prevent possible opening of the door from inside or outside the cabin.
- (6) If the door is a sliding door and intended to be opened and closed in flight, the sliding mechanism should positively attach the door to the airframe (e.g., sliding hinge) to minimize the likelihood of the door departing the aircraft in flight. Appropriate flight limitations should also be established to minimize any hazard while operating the door.

[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

[Section AC 27.783 continued on the next page.]

AC 27.901A. § 27.901 (Amendment 27-23) INSTALLATION.

- a. <u>Explanation</u>. Amendment 27-23 changes § 27.901(b)(1) to require a satisfactory determination that the rotorcraft can operate safely throughout adverse environmental conditions such as high altitude and temperature extremes. This amendment was needed to provide consistent application of the environmental qualification aspects of the installation. This amendment also added a new paragraph (§ 27.901(b)(5)) to require design precautions to minimize the potential for incorrect assembly of components and equipment essential to safe operation.
- b. <u>Procedures</u>. All of the policy material pertaining to this section remains in effect with the addition of design precautions. Design precautions should be taken to minimize the possibility of improper assembly of the components essential to the safe operation of the rotorcraft. Fluid lines, electrical connectors, control linkages, etc., should be designed so that they cannot be incorrectly assembled. This can be achieved by incorporating different sizes, lengths, and types of connectors, wires, fluid lines, and mounting methods. The applicant should perform a detailed maintenance assessment to clearly define the maintenance requirements, reliability, and serviceability of the drive system design. The applicant should consider all design qualification tests and service history data, if available. A review of accident data supports the importance of this assessment. Some applicants have utilized drive system vibration monitoring to verify continuing safe operation of their drive system.

[This page left intentionally blank.]

AC 27.903. § 27.903 (Amendment 27-11) ENGINES.

a. Engine Type Certification.

(1) <u>Explanation</u>. Section 27.903(a) is intended to ensure that engines used in type certified aircraft are properly qualified and that the associated installation requirements are established.

(2) Procedures.

- (i) Compliance can be documented by verification that a type certificate data sheet has been issued by the FAA/AUTHORITY for the engine identified by the rotorcraft manufacturer as the engine planned for use in the rotorcraft. Reciprocating engines must have been qualified to a special test plan (§ 33.49(d)) to be eligible in rotorcraft. This eligibility should be verified by a note on the engine type certificate data sheet.
- On some occasions, the engine certification program is conducted concurrently with the rotorcraft certification program. This is technically acceptable provided the engine type certificate is issued prior to the rotorcraft type certificate. However, practical considerations involving the use of unapproved engine installation data and the probability of engine design changes during the engine certification program that impact the rotorcraft certification program dictate that special procedures must be introduced to assure that the final rotorcraft certification program is satisfactory. If the engine under consideration is merely a minor model change from a previously certificated engine and these changes are unlikely to cause rotorcraft certification problems and do not involve significant installation aspects, the rotorcraft project engineer need only to follow the engine certification program by routine checks with the FAA/AUTHORITY office responsible for engine certification and, as a final pre-type certification item, verify that the engine type certificate has been issued. Rotorcraft Type Board agenda/minutes should reflect the ongoing status of the engine TC program. For rotorcraft certification programs involving new or significantly changed engines, the powerplant certification engineer for the rotorcraft should become as familiar with the engine as practicable with particular attention to engine ratings. limitations, performance, engine/rotorcraft interface aspects, and any Part 27 certification requirement involved in the engine program (fuel/oil filters, fuel heaters, integral firewalls, etc.) and establish an appropriate working arrangement with the FAA/AUTHORITY engine certification office to monitor changes in the engine certification progress which may impact the rotorcraft certification program. In addition, any rotorcraft certification activity such as test plans, analysis, compliance inspections, etc., which involves the engine should be accepted on a conditional basis; i.e., pending confirmation of completion of the engine program without changes pertinent to these aspects of rotorcraft program. The rotorcraft applicant should be advised of any limitations in this procedure, and that normally, the engine certification program should

be complete before authorizing formal FAA/AUTHORITY participation in the rotorcraft certification plan; i.e., TIA.

b. Engine cooling fan protection.

- (1) <u>Explanation</u>. Section 27.903(b) is intended to provide safety to the rotorcraft in the event of an assumed cooling fan blade failure or to prescribe a test to show that the cooling fan blade retention means is sufficient that blade failure is not a consideration.
- (2) <u>Procedures</u>. The applicant may select § 27.903(b)(1), (b)(2), or (b)(3) to show compliance with this section. If § 27.903(b)(1) is selected, a demonstration should be conducted to show that at the maximum fan speed to be expected, a failed blade is contained within a housing or shroud which is included in the proposed type design and designated by the applicant as the containment shield. The rotational speed required may be related to an overspeed limiting device or to the maximum transient speed to be expected from analysis or test of the system or component which drives the fan. For components driven directly by the engine, output shaft disconnect and the subsequent terminal speed of the engine may set the test condition. To conduct an overspeed blade failure containment demonstration, applicants have found it convenient to progressively weaken a blade to induce failure at or above the required demonstration speed. Blade failure may be expected to subsequently fail some or all of the remaining blades. This condition, provided all blades are contained, is acceptable for showing compliance with this rule. However, the corresponding loss of cooling may be unacceptable if it causes the loss of any function essential to a controlled landing.
- (3) Section 27.903(b)(2) may be selected; however, without containment, damage to any component or structure in the plane of the fan rotor or any other trajectory to be expected should not cause the loss of any function essential to a controlled landing.

[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

[Section AC 27.903 continued on the next page.

9/30/2008 AC 27-1B, Chg 3

(2) Be easily removable for inspection of the magnetic poles for metallic chips; and,

(3) Prevent the loss of lubricant in the event of failure of the retention device for the removable portion of the chip detector (debris monitor).

[This page intentionally left blank.]

SUBPART F - EQUIPMENT

ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT

AC 27.1351. § 27.1351 (Amendment 27-13) ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT--GENERAL.

a. <u>Explanation</u>. With the advent of more sophisticated rotorcraft and operations under more critical conditions, such as IFR and icing, it is essential that the electrical system be very carefully analyzed and evaluated to assure proper operation under any foreseeable operating condition and that hazards do not result from any malfunctions or failures.

b. Procedures.

- (1) <u>Electrical System Capacity</u>. Rotorcraft electrical systems have grown in capacity, complexity, and impact on safety. This paragraph requires adequate electrical system capacity for safe operation of load circuits essential for safe operation at continuous rated power. If this capacity can be shown by electrical measurements, an electrical load analysis is not required. However, if the measurement method of compliance is chosen, data should be provided to show that the measured loads represent the worst case electrical loading for components or combination of components.
- (i) Load circuits (systems) that are essential for safe operation are those systems necessary to maintain controlled flight and land safely and are generally those systems required to show compliance with the certification regulations. This includes most electrical utilization systems.
- (ii) An electrical utilization system is a system of electrical equipment, devices, and connected wiring using electric energy to perform a specific aircraft function.
- (iii) The specific utilization systems, which are necessary to maintain controlled flight and land safely, will vary with the type of rotorcraft and with the nature of operations. Examples of systems which may be essential are basic flight instruments, minimum navigation equipment, minimum radio communications, and flight control systems.

(2) Function.

(i) Generating systems should be analyzed, inspected, or tested to ensure that no probable malfunction in the generating system or in the generator drive system may cause loss of service to systems essential for safe operation. A probable malfunction is any single electrical or mechanical component malfunction or failure that

is likely to occur based on past service experience. This past service experience can include malfunction of components of previously approved rotorcraft, other aircraft, or qualitative analysis of similar components in rotorcraft applications. Analyses should be performed on the electrical power system emphasizing the exclusion of single point failures and the possibility of latent failures. Test methods should be developed that uncover latent faults. To identify latent failure causing events, consider the functions and the results of the safety analysis, as well as any failure detection monitor that may be incorporated. Evaluate the system using various combinations of unforeseen internal signal patterns and states. Also, evaluate the non-monitored functions by selecting test conditions that use every signal path and decision point between the input and output. Refer to MG-2 for electrical system test methods. These analyses should be extended to multiple malfunctions when:

- (A) The first malfunction would not be detected during normal operation of the system, including periodic checks established at intervals which are consistent with the degree of hazard involved; or
 - (B) The first malfunction would inevitably lead to other malfunctions.
- (ii) The generator drive system includes the prime movers (propulsion engines or other) and coupling devices such as gearboxes or constant speed drives.
 - (iii) Where crew corrective action is necessary:
- (A) Adequate warning should be provided for any malfunction or failure requiring such corrective action;
- (B) Controls should be located to permit such corrective action during any probable flight situation;
- (C) If corrective action must be taken within a specified time to continue safe operation of the generating system, it should be demonstrated that such corrective action can be accomplished within the specified time during any probable flight situation; and
- (D) The procedure to be followed by the crew should be detailed in the Rotorcraft Flight Manual.
- (iv) Chapter 11 of Advisory Circular 43.13-1A, "Acceptable Methods, Techniques, and Practices; Aircraft Inspection and Repair," includes guidance on installation of electrical systems (routing, separation, tying, clamping, j-box installations, etc.). Special emphasis should be placed on wire routing during the rotorcraft compliance inspection. Control wires to the rotorcraft's generators should be routed separately from generator output wiring. This should begin at the generator and continue to the voltage regulator. Additionally, wiring installation design should be

9/30/2008 AC 27-1B, Chg 3

documented sufficiently to maintain configuration control for manufacturing and to assure that the electromagnetic characteristics remain the same as the certification sample.

- (3) <u>Generating System</u>. When electrical power is needed for essential equipment, this paragraph requires at least one generator with adequate capacity for safe operation. Complete electrical failures have been caused by loss of voltage control in the voltage regulator. Overvoltage conditions can destroy electronic equipment. An acceptable method of overvoltage protection is the use of a separate overvoltage sensing relay to trip the generator off the line when overvoltage is detected. Another acceptable method is use of a voltage regulator with built-in overvoltage protection.
- (4) <u>Generator Ratings:</u> Generator ratings are often the result of installation temperature limitations. The determination of these limitations, if any, is by testing the actual installation. The procedures for performing generator cooling tests are as follows:

(i) <u>Test Requirements</u>.

(A) <u>General</u>. The applicant should contact the generator (alternator) manufacturer and obtain the maximum limits (continuous, 2 minute intermittent and 5 second transient maximum limits) for the unit to be tested. This will normally be in terms of temperatures at various locations within the unit (stator, bearings, diodes, heat sinks, brushes, etc.) or in terms of pressure drop across the generator. The manufacturer should either supply an instrumented unit or give complete details for instrumenting the test unit.

(B) Instrumentation.

- (1) Load Bank. A load bank will usually be necessary to load the test unit to the amperage limit for which approval is requested.
- (2) Ammeter. An ammeter should be provided with sufficient resolution to assure the amperage load is being maintained at the desired level.
- (3) Temperature/Pressure Readouts. Readouts which are compatible with the temperature or pressure sensors installed in the test unit should be provided.
- (4) <u>Calibration Records</u>. Calibration records should be available for all instrumentation.
- (5) Recordings. Permanent recordings should be provided for time, temperatures, current, and/or pressure. The recording device should have provisions for placing event marks on the recording medium.

(C) <u>Regulatory References</u>. Sections 27.1301, 27.1309, 27.1351, 27.1521(f), 27.1041, 27.1043, 27.1045 (through Amendment 27-19).

(D) <u>Miscellaneous</u>. The results obtained from the tests should be corrected for hot-day conditions using a standard lapse rate (3.6° F/1,000 feet). The tests are conducted to determine the maximum generator capacity that does not result in surpassing the limits given from the manufacturer. This is for a continuous rating, any credit for short time over current ratings must also be verified by the same methods, particularly for short time ratings longer than 5 seconds.

[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

[Section AC 27.1351 continued on the next page.]